



národní  
úložiště  
šedé  
literatury

## **Zpřístupňování šedé literatury – dostupnost, transparentnost, budoucnost?**

Fürstová, Iveta  
2011

Dostupný z <http://www.nusl.cz/ntk/nusl-81438>

Dílo je chráněno podle autorského zákona č. 121/2000 Sb.

Licence Creative Commons Uveďte autora-Neužívejte dílo komerčně-Nezasahujte do díla 3.0 Česko

Tento dokument byl stažen z Národního úložiště šedé literatury (NUŠL).

Datum stažení: 28.09.2024

Další dokumenty můžete najít prostřednictvím vyhledávacího rozhraní [nusl.cz](http://nusl.cz) .

# Satisfaction Survey with the Cooperation with the National Repository of Grey Literature

Iveta Fürstová

[iveta.furstova@techlib.cz](mailto:iveta.furstova@techlib.cz)

National Technical Library

# Outline

210 mm

- Basic information about the survey
- Topics
- Evaluation

# Basic information

210 mm

## Satisfaction Survey with the Cooperation with the National Repository of Grey Literature

- Goal: feedback → collaboration, situation ŠL
- Respondents: Institutions addressed (2010, 2011)
- Form: an online survey
- Published: 28th August - 15th October 2011
- Number of respondents: 80
- Number of questions: 14 (compulsory 10)
- Replies: 17

# Survey

210 mm

## Průzkum spokojenosti se spoluprací s NUŠL



\*Povinné pole

**1. Jaké je podle Vašeho názoru povědomí české veřejnosti o dostupnosti šedé literatury? \***

1 2 3 4 5

velmi dobré      velmi špatné

**2. Jak hodnotíte NUŠL jako pilotní realizaci projektu řešeného NTK a VŠE? \***

1 2 3 4 5

velmi dobrá      velmi špatná

**3. Šedá literatura není zcela přesně terminologicky vymezena. Považujete výroční zprávu Vaší instituce za: \***

- Šedou literaturu (nepublikovanou, polopublikovanou)
- Bílou literaturu (publikovanou)
- Nevím
- Obě

**4. Patří podle Vašeho názoru plný text závěrečné zprávy z projektu (grantu) do NUŠL? \***

# Questions

210 mm

## 3 topics:

### 1. Grey Literature

→ goal: What is the respondent's view on the grey literature?

### 2. Collaboration + communication

→ goal: What is the satisfaction with the cooperation and communication?

### 3. Access to Grey Literature


→ goal: What is the situation of the publication of grey literature in the respondent?

# Evaluation

210 mm

## Your institution is:

- Academy of Science – 65%
- University – 29%
- Other – 6%




# Evaluation

210 mm

**What is known of the Czech public about the availability of grey literature?**

- 1 (very good) – 0%
- 2 (good) – 6%
- 3 (average) – 18%
- 4 (bad) – 53%
- 5 (very bad) – 24%






# Evaluation

210 mm


**Do you consider your institution's annual report for:**

- Grey literature – 76%
- White literature – 12%
- Both – 12%
- I don't no – 0%



# Exkurz 1: Typology

210 mm




# Evaluation

210 mm

**How do you rate NRGL as a pilot project solved NTL and the University of Economics?**

- 1 (very good) – 18%
- 2 (good) – 41%
- 3 (average) – 35%
- 4 (bad) – 0%
- 5 (very bad) – 6%




# Evaluation

210 mm

**We will include the text of the final report of the project (grant) to NRGL?**

- Yes – 63%
- No – 29
- I don't no – 18%




# Evaluation

210 mm

**What is the collection of grey literature in your institution?**

- 1 (very good) – 6%
- 2 (good) – 12%
- 3 (average) – 47%
- 4 (bad) – 6%
- 5 (very bad) – 24%




# Evaluation

210 mm

**It exists in your institution's internal document about publishing?**

- Yes – 53%
- No – 18%
- I don't no – 29%
- Comming soon – 0%




# Evaluation

210 mm

How do you rate that as NTL followed collaboration with your institution?

- 1 (very good) – 53%
- 2 (good) – 24%
- 3 (average) – 24%
- 4 (bad) – 0%
- 5 (very bad) – 0%



## Exkurz 2: Start cooperation

210 mm

### How to start work with NRGL?

1. The interest of the institution → NRGL contact staff team, information on <http://nust.techlib.cz>
2. NTL will appeal to the institution by an official letter with a proposal for cooperation → NRGL
  - + Information about NRGL
  - + License terms
  - + Options and forms of cooperation
  - + Personal meeting




# Evaluation

210 mm

**If you already NRGL collaborate, how satisfied are you with the form of cooperation?**

- 1 (very good) – 35%
- 2 (good) – 18%
- 3 (average) – 12%
- 4 (bad) – 6%
- 5 (very bad) – 0%




# Evaluation

210 mm

## Would you recommend NRGL connection to other institutions?

- Yes – 53%
- No – 6%
- I don't no – 41%



# Questions?

Thank you for your attention!

Iveta Fürstová

Contact: [iveta.furstova@techlib.cz](mailto:iveta.furstova@techlib.cz)

<http://nusl.techlib.cz>

<http://www.nusl.cz>