



národní
úložiště
šedé
literatury

Zpřístupňování šedé literatury – dostupnost, transparentnost, budoucnost?

Fürstová, Iveta
2011

Dostupný z <http://www.nusl.cz/ntk/nusl-81438>

Dílo je chráněno podle autorského zákona č. 121/2000 Sb.

Licence Creative Commons Uveďte autora-Neužívejte dílo komerčně-Nezasahujte do díla 3.0 Česko

Tento dokument byl stažen z Národního úložiště šedé literatury (NUŠL).

Datum stažení: 02.07.2022

Další dokumenty můžete najít prostřednictvím vyhledávacího rozhraní nusl.cz .

Satisfaction Survey with the Cooperation with the National Repository of Grey Literature

Iveta Fürstová

iveta.furstova@techlib.cz

National Technical Library

Outline

210 mm

- Basic information about the survey
- Topics
- Evaluation

Basic information

210 mm

Satisfaction Survey with the Cooperation with the National Repository of Grey Literature

- Goal: feedback → collaboration, situation ŠL
- Respondents: Institutions addressed (2010, 2011)
- Form: an online survey
- Published: 28th August - 15th October 2011
- Number of respondents: 80
- Number of questions: 14 (compulsory 10)
- Replies: 17

Survey

210 mm

Průzkum spokojenosti se spoluprací s NUŠL



*Povinné pole

1. Jaké je podle Vašeho názoru povědomí české veřejnosti o dostupnosti šedé literatury? *

1 2 3 4 5

velmi dobré velmi špatné

2. Jak hodnotíte NUŠL jako pilotní realizaci projektu řešeného NTK a VŠE? *

1 2 3 4 5

velmi dobrá velmi špatná

3. Šedá literatura není zcela přesně terminologicky vymezena. Považujete výroční zprávu Vaší instituce za: *

- Šedou literaturu (nepublikovanou, polopublikovanou)
- Bílou literaturu (publikovanou)
- Nevím
- Obě

4. Patří podle Vašeho názoru plný text závěrečné zprávy z projektu (grantu) do NUŠL? *

Questions

210 mm

3 topics:

1. Grey Literature

→ goal: What is the respondent's view on the grey literature?

2. Collaboration + communication

→ goal: What is the satisfaction with the cooperation and communication?

3. Access to Grey Literature


→ goal: What is the situation of the publication of grey literature in the respondent?

Evaluation

210 mm

Your institution is:

- Academy of Science – 65%
- University – 29%
- Other – 6%




Evaluation

210 mm

What is known of the Czech public about the availability of grey literature?

- 1 (very good) – 0%
- 2 (good) – 6%
- 3 (average) – 18%
- 4 (bad) – 53%
- 5 (very bad) – 24%




Evaluation

210 mm


Do you consider your institution's annual report for:

- Grey literature – 76%
- White literature – 12%
- Both – 12%
- I don't no – 0%



Exkurz 1: Typology

210 mm




Evaluation

210 mm

How do you rate NRGL as a pilot project solved NTL and the University of Economics?

- 1 (very good) – 18%
- 2 (good) – 41%
- 3 (average) – 35%
- 4 (bad) – 0%
- 5 (very bad) – 6%




Evaluation

210 mm

We will include the text of the final report of the project (grant) to NRGL?

- Yes – 63%
- No – 29
- I don't no – 18%




Evaluation

210 mm

What is the collection of grey literature in your institution?

- 1 (very good) – 6%
- 2 (good) – 12%
- 3 (average) – 47%
- 4 (bad) – 6%
- 5 (very bad) – 24%




Evaluation

210 mm

It exists in your institution's internal document about publishing?

- Yes – 53%
- No – 18%
- I don't no – 29%
- Comming soon – 0%




Evaluation

210 mm

How do you rate that as NTL followed collaboration with your institution?

- 1 (very good) – 53%
- 2 (good) – 24%
- 3 (average) – 24%
- 4 (bad) – 0%
- 5 (very bad) – 0%



Exkurz 2: Start cooperation

210 mm

How to start work with NRGL?


1. The interest of the institution → NRGL contact staff team, information on <http://nusi.techlib.cz>
2. NTL will appeal to the institution by an official letter with a proposal for cooperation → NRGL
 - + Information about NRGL
 - + License terms
 - + Options and forms of cooperation
 - + Personal meeting

Evaluation

210 mm

If you already NRGL collaborate, how satisfied are you with the form of cooperation?

- 1 (very good) – 35%
- 2 (good) – 18%
- 3 (average) – 12%
- 4 (bad) – 6%
- 5 (very bad) – 0%




Evaluation

210 mm

Would you recommend NRGL connection to other institutions?

- Yes – 53%
- No – 6%
- I don't no – 41%



Questions?

Thank you for your attention!

Iveta Fürstová

Contact: iveta.furstova@techlib.cz

<http://nusl.techlib.cz>

<http://www.nusl.cz>