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#### Abstract

: Presented is an algorithm which in a finite (but exponential) number of steps computes all solutions of an absolute value equation $A x+B|x|=b$ ( $A, B$ square), or fails. Failure has never been observed for randomly generated data. The algorithm can also be used for computation of all solutions of a linear complementarity problem.
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## 1 Introduction

We consider here the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x+B|x|=b \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ ), called an absolute value equation. This equation was first introduced in [7] and has been since studied by Mangasarian [2], 3], 4], Mangasarian and Meyer [5], Prokopyev [6] and Rohn [8], [9]. In all these papers, the authors are interested in finding some solution of (1.1); the problem of finding all solutions of (1.1) has been left aside so far apparently because of its expectedly high computational complexity.

In this paper we describe in MATLAB-like style an algorithm named absvaleqnall (ABSolute VALue EQuatioN, ALL solutions) called by

$$
[X, a l l]=\text { absvaleqnall }(A, B, b)
$$

which in a finite (but exponential) number of steps produces a matrix $X$ whose columns are solutions of (1.1), and a $\pm 1$-number all with the following property: if all $=1$, then $X$ contains all solutions of (1.1); if all $=-1$, then the columns of $X$ are still solutions of (1.1), but it is not guaranteed that all of them have been included. Among several hundred examples computed, we have never faced the case of all $=-1$ for randomly generated data. After formulating the algorithm and proving its properties just mentioned in Section 3, we present in Section 5 a randomly generated $7 \times 7$ example having 10 solutions and a pseudorandomly generated $10 \times 10$ example having $2^{10}=1024$ solutions.

## 2 Notations

We use the following notations. $A_{k \bullet}$ and $A_{\bullet}$ denote the $k$ th row and the $k$ th column of $A$, respectively. Matrix inequalities, as $A \leq B$ or $A<B$, are understood componentwise. The absolute value of a matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is defined by $|A|=\left(\left|a_{i j}\right|\right)$. The same notations also apply to vectors that are considered one-column matrices. $I$ is the identity matrix and $e=(1, \ldots, 1)^{T}$ is the vector of all ones. For each $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ we denote

$$
T_{z}=\operatorname{diag}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
z_{1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & z_{2} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & z_{n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## 3 The algorithm

The algorithm is described in a MATLAB-style code in Fig. 3.1. Following we prove its main property.

Theorem 1. The algorithm (Fig. 3.1) in a finite number of steps produces a matrix $X$ whose columns are solutions of the equation (1.1). If all $=1$, then $X$ contains all solutions of (1.1).
(02) $X=[]$; all $=1$;
(03) $n=\operatorname{length}(b)$;
(09) else
(10) all $=-1$; return
(11) end
(12) while $y \neq e$
(15) $y_{k}=1 ; z_{k}=-z_{k}$;
(16) if $1-2 z_{k} C_{k k} \neq 0$
(20)
(21)
(22)
(23)
(24)

```
```

```
(01) function \([X\), all \(]=\operatorname{absvaleqnall}(A, B, b)\)
```

```
(01) function \([X\), all \(]=\operatorname{absvaleqnall}(A, B, b)\)
(04) \(y=0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ; z=e \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\);
(04) \(y=0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ; z=e \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\);
(05) if \(A+B T_{z}\) is nonsingular
(05) if \(A+B T_{z}\) is nonsingular
(06) \(\quad x=\left(A+B T_{z}\right)^{-1} b\);
(06) \(\quad x=\left(A+B T_{z}\right)^{-1} b\);
(07) \(C=-\left(A+B T_{z}\right)^{-1} B\);
(07) \(C=-\left(A+B T_{z}\right)^{-1} B\);
(08) if \(T_{z} x \geq 0, X=[X x]\); end
(08) if \(T_{z} x \geq 0, X=[X x]\); end
(13) \(k=\min \left\{j \mid y_{j}=0\right\}\);
(13) \(k=\min \left\{j \mid y_{j}=0\right\}\);
(14) for \(j=1: k-1, y_{j}=0\); end
(14) for \(j=1: k-1, y_{j}=0\); end
(17) \(\alpha=2 z_{k} /\left(1-2 z_{k} C_{k k}\right)\);
(17) \(\alpha=2 z_{k} /\left(1-2 z_{k} C_{k k}\right)\);
(18) \(x=x+\alpha x_{k} C_{\bullet k} ;\)
(18) \(x=x+\alpha x_{k} C_{\bullet k} ;\)
(19) \(\quad C=C+\alpha C_{\bullet k} C_{k} \bullet\);
(19) \(\quad C=C+\alpha C_{\bullet k} C_{k} \bullet\);
```

        \(C=C+\alpha C_{\bullet} C_{k} C^{\prime}\)
    ```
        \(C=C+\alpha C_{\bullet} C_{k} C^{\prime}\)
        if \(T_{z} x \geq 0, X=[X x]\); end
        if \(T_{z} x \geq 0, X=[X x]\); end
    else
    else
        all \(=-1 ;\) return
        all \(=-1 ;\) return
    end
    end
end
```

end

```

Figure 3.1: An algorithm for computing all solutions of \(A x+B|x|=b\).

Proof. According to Theorem 2.1 in [1], the subalgorithm consisting solely of lines (04), (12)-(15), and (24) is finite and constructs all the \(\pm 1\)-vectors \(z\) in \(\mathbb{R}^{n}\), with each two subsequently constructed vectors differing in exactly one entry (because of the updating in line (15); \(y\) is an auxiliary ( 0,1 )-vector used for finding the \(k\) for which \(z_{k}\) should be changed to \(\left.-z_{k}\right)\). Thus, the while loop is finite, which proves finiteness of the whole algorithm.

Next, in part 2.2 of the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [8] it is proved that after updating in lines (18), (19), the quantities \(x\) and \(C\) always satisfy \(x=\left(A+B T_{z}\right)^{-1} b, C=-\left(A+B T_{z}\right)^{-1} B\) for the current \(z\) (invertibility of \(A+B T_{z}\) is guaranteed by fulfillment of the condition in line (16)). This updating is used in order to circumvent the necessity of solving a large number of systems of linear equations.

A new column \(x\) is added to \(X\) either in line (08), or in line (20). In both cases we have \(x=\left(A+B T_{z}\right)^{-1} b\) (as we have shown in the previous paragraph) and \(T_{z} x \geq 0\), hence \(T_{z} x=|x|\) and \(b=\left(A+B T_{z}\right) x=A x+B T_{z} x=A x+B|x|\), so that \(x\) is a solution of (1.1).

Finally, if all \(=1\), then then the algorithm has constructed all the \(\pm 1\)-vectors \(z\), and all the matrices of the form \(A+B T_{z}, z\) a \(\pm 1\)-vector, have been found nonsingular (lines (05), (16)). Assume \(x\) is a solution of (1.1). Put \(z_{i}=1\) if \(x_{i} \geq 0\) and \(z_{i}=-1\) otherwise \((i=1, \ldots, n)\), then \(z\) is a \(\pm 1\)-vector satisfying \(T_{z} x \geq 0\), so that \(\left(A+B T_{z}\right) x=A+B|x|=b\)
and \(x=\left(A+B T_{z}\right)^{-1} b\), and \(T_{z} x \geq 0\). Thus, at the moment the algorithm constructs this vector \(z\), the condition \(T_{z} x \geq 0\) is satisfied and \(x\) is added into \(X\) (lines (08) or (20)). This proves that in the case of all \(=1\) all the solutions of the equation (1.1) have been included into \(X\) as its columns.

We have this immediate consequence of the algorithm construction and of Theorem 1 :
Proposition 2. In the output of the algorithm, we have all \(=1\) if and only if \(A+B T_{z}\) is nonsingular for each \(\pm 1\)-vector \(z\).

This result explains why it is almost certain that we get all solutions of (1.1) for randomly generated data: it is almost impossible to generate randomly singular matrices.

\section*{4 Numerical aspects}

The algorithm works as shown in infinite precision arithmetic. However, care should be taken in finite precision arithmetic because frequent updates of \(x\) and \(C\) may lead to essential deterioration of their accuracy. As a remedy, we suggest changing line (20) to
(20) if \(T_{z} x \geq 0, x=\left(A+B T_{z}\right)^{-1} b ; C=-\left(A+B T_{z}\right)^{-1} B ; \quad X=\left[\begin{array}{ll}X & x\end{array}\right]\); end i.e., to restart \(x\) and \(C\) whenever a new column is being added into \(X\).

\section*{5 Examples}

If we generate the data in MATLAB randomly by
```

>> A=2*rand(n,n)-1; B=2*rand(n,n)-1; b=2*rand (n,1)-1;

```
(i.e., with entries randomly distributed over \((-1,1)\) ), then, as a rule, about half of the examples have no solution at all and if solutions exist, their number is usually relatively small (typically less than \(n\) ). However, exceptions do exist. The following randomly generated \(7 \times 7\) example has 10 solutions.
```

>> tic, n=7; rand('state',671); A=2*rand(n,n)-1, B=2*rand(n,n)-1,
>> b=2*rand(n,1)-1, [x,all]=absvaleqnall(A,B,b), toc
A =

| -0.1479 | -0.5985 | -0.2265 | -0.2292 | -0.2426 | -0.4978 | 0.4772 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.3503 | 0.7914 | -0.8554 | 0.2560 | -0.4149 | -0.3221 | -0.5674 |
| -0.8144 | 0.8176 | -0.9111 | -0.9181 | 0.1953 | -0.9376 | 0.0201 |
| 0.1143 | -0.8706 | -0.1203 | 0.5198 | -0.6242 | -0.7633 | -0.1536 |
| 0.7850 | -0.7964 | 0.6195 | -0.5218 | 0.9041 | 0.7736 | 0.9708 |
| -0.4198 | -0.5983 | 0.9180 | -0.5057 | -0.6677 | 0.1967 | 0.0734 |
| -0.1962 | 0.6255 | -0.3860 | 0.1035 | 0.4396 | -0.7893 | -0.9860 |

```
```

B =

| -0.8464 | -0.5703 | -0.9208 | -0.0867 | 0.2831 | 0.9318 | 0.8203 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -0.7984 | 0.3861 | -0.1074 | -0.1288 | 0.8478 | 0.8475 | 0.8466 |
| 0.3445 | 0.4156 | 0.7606 | -0.4585 | 0.9195 | 0.0428 | 0.0485 |
| -0.1394 | 0.8962 | -0.2990 | -0.2622 | -0.6214 | -0.5709 | -0.1978 |
| 0.8221 | 0.1798 | -0.2713 | 0.9308 | -0.9663 | 0.9149 | -0.0731 |
| 0.8508 | -0.2720 | -0.7906 | -0.8783 | 0.5006 | -0.9402 | 0.6437 |
| 0.7253 | 0.0865 | 0.5792 | -0.1374 | -0.0348 | 0.4932 | -0.2036 |

b =
-0.6525
0.3719
0.6019
-0.3199
0.2327
-0.3168
0.5135
x =

| 0.2842 | -1.9018 | 0.1484 | -0.6615 | -4.3204 | -1.8897 | 0.2118 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.2852 | -0.3674 | 0.4041 | 0.5318 | -0.2405 | 0.4361 | 0.3700 |
| -0.0841 | -0.7374 | 0.7863 | 0.5816 | -1.2114 | -0.3516 | 0.1697 |
| -0.0106 | 2.2570 | 0.1354 | 0.9473 | 6.0074 | 2.5083 | 0.0233 |
| 0.2235 | -1.0900 | -0.1987 | -0.3510 | -2.2160 | -0.7775 | 0.2024 |
| 0.0125 | 0.4788 | -0.3220 | -0.2792 | -0.1360 | -0.0891 | -0.0745 |
| 0.0045 | 0.8552 | 0.2679 | 0.6275 | 2.8807 | 1.2929 | 0.0600 |

all =
1
Elapsed time is 0.118065 seconds.

```
(Computation has been performed on a not-too-fast netbook.) The following pseudorandomly generated \(10 \times 10\) example (notice premultiplication by 0.1 in \(A\), taking the inverse of \(B\), and positivity of \(b\) ) has \(2^{10}=1024\) solutions. We write down neither the data that can be reconstructed because rand('state', 1) is used, nor the solution matrix \(x\) which is too large; we output in the variable sols the number of columns of \(x\) only.
```

>> tic, n=10; rand('state',1); A=0.1*(2*rand(n,n)-1); B=rand(n,n);
>> B=inv(B); b=rand(n,1); [x,all]=absvaleqnall(A,B,b);
>> sols=size(x,2), all, toc
sols =
1 0 2 4
all =
1
Elapsed time is 0.243606 seconds.

```

\section*{6 Computation of all solutions of a linear complementarity problem}

A linear complementarity problem
\[
x^{+}=M x^{-}+q
\]
can be recast as an absolute value equation
\[
(I+M) x+(I-M)|x|=2 q
\]
and solved as such. In this way, our algorithm can be used for computation of all its solutions.
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