

úložiště literatury

An Algorithm for Computing All Solutions of an Absolute Value Equation Rohn, Jiří 2010 Dostupný z http://www.nusl.cz/ntk/nusl-41908

Dílo je chráněno podle autorského zákona č. 121/2000 Sb.

Tento dokument byl stažen z Národního úložiště šedé literatury (NUŠL). Datum stažení: 29.08.2024

Další dokumenty můžete najít prostřednictvím vyhledávacího rozhraní nusl.cz .



Institute of Computer Science Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic

An Algorithm for Computing All Solutions of an Absolute Value Equation

Jiří Rohn

Technical report No. V-1091

30.11.2010

Pod Vodárenskou věží 2, 18207 Prague 8, phone: +420266051111, fax: +420286585789, e-mail:rohn@cs.cas.cz



Institute of Computer Science Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic

An Algorithm for Computing All Solutions of an Absolute Value Equation

Jiří Rohn¹

Technical report No. V-1091

30.11.2010

Abstract:

Presented is an algorithm which in a finite (but exponential) number of steps computes all solutions of an absolute value equation Ax + B|x| = b (A, B square), or fails. Failure has never been observed for randomly generated data. The algorithm can also be used for computation of all solutions of a linear complementarity problem.

Keywords: Absolute value equation, algorithm, all solutions, linear complementarity problem.

 $^{^{1}}$ This work was supported by the Czech Republic Grant Agency under grants 201/09/1957 and 201/08/J020, and by the Institutional Research Plan AV0Z10300504.

1 Introduction

We consider here the equation

$$Ax + B|x| = b \tag{1.1}$$

(where $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$), called an absolute value equation. This equation was first introduced in [7] and has been since studied by Mangasarian [2], [3], [4], Mangasarian and Meyer [5], Prokopyev [6], and Rohn [8], [9]. In all these papers, the authors are interested in finding *some* solution of (1.1); the problem of finding *all* solutions of (1.1) has been left aside so far apparently because of its expectedly high computational complexity.

In this paper we describe in MATLAB-like style an algorithm named **absvaleqnall** (AB-Solute VALue EQuation, ALL solutions) called by

[X,all]=absvaleqnall(A,B,b)

which in a finite (but exponential) number of steps produces a matrix X whose columns are solutions of (1.1), and a ± 1 -number all with the following property: if all = 1, then X contains all solutions of (1.1); if all = -1, then the columns of X are still solutions of (1.1), but it is not guaranteed that all of them have been included. Among several hundred examples computed, we have never faced the case of all = -1 for randomly generated data. After formulating the algorithm and proving its properties just mentioned in Section 3, we present in Section 5 a randomly generated 7×7 example having 10 solutions and a pseudorandomly generated 10×10 example having $2^{10} = 1024$ solutions.

2 Notations

We use the following notations. $A_{k\bullet}$ and $A_{\bullet k}$ denote the kth row and the kth column of A, respectively. Matrix inequalities, as $A \leq B$ or A < B, are understood componentwise. The absolute value of a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is defined by $|A| = (|a_{ij}|)$. The same notations also apply to vectors that are considered one-column matrices. I is the identity matrix and $e = (1, \ldots, 1)^T$ is the vector of all ones. For each $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote

$$T_{z} = \operatorname{diag}(z_{1}, \dots, z_{n}) = \begin{pmatrix} z_{1} & 0 & \dots & 0\\ 0 & z_{2} & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z_{n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

3 The algorithm

The algorithm is described in a MATLAB-style code in Fig. 3.1. Following we prove its main property.

Theorem 1. The algorithm (Fig. 3.1) in a finite number of steps produces a matrix X whose columns are solutions of the equation (1.1). If all = 1, then X contains all solutions of (1.1).

(01)	function $[X, all] = absvaleqnall (A, B, b)$
(02)	X = []; all = 1;
(03)	n = length(b);
(04)	$y = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n; \ z = e \in \mathbb{R}^n;$
(05)	if $A + BT_z$ is nonsingular
(06)	$x = (A + BT_z)^{-1}b;$
(07)	$C = -(A + BT_z)^{-1}B;$
(08)	if $T_z x \ge 0, X = [X \ x];$ end
(09)	else
(10)	$all = -1; \mathbf{return}$
(11)	end
(12)	while $y \neq e$
(13)	$k = \min\{ j \mid y_j = 0 \};$
(14)	for $j = 1 : k - 1, y_j = 0$; end
(15)	$y_k = 1; z_k = -z_k;$
(16)	$\mathbf{if}\ 1 - 2z_k C_{kk} \neq 0$
(17)	$\alpha = 2z_k/(1 - 2z_k C_{kk});$
(18)	$x = x + \alpha x_k C_{\bullet k};$
(19)	$C = C + \alpha C_{\bullet k} C_{k \bullet};$
(20)	if $T_z x \ge 0, X = [X \ x]$; end
(21)	else
(22)	all = -1; return
(23)	\mathbf{end}
(24)	end

Figure 3.1: An algorithm for computing all solutions of Ax + B|x| = b.

Proof. According to Theorem 2.1 in [1], the subalgorithm consisting solely of lines (04), (12)-(15), and (24) is finite and constructs all the ± 1 -vectors z in \mathbb{R}^n , with each two subsequently constructed vectors differing in exactly one entry (because of the updating in line (15); y is an auxiliary (0, 1)-vector used for finding the k for which z_k should be changed to $-z_k$). Thus, the **while** loop is finite, which proves finiteness of the whole algorithm.

Next, in part 2.2 of the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [8] it is proved that after updating in lines (18), (19), the quantities x and C always satisfy $x = (A + BT_z)^{-1}b$, $C = -(A + BT_z)^{-1}B$ for the current z (invertibility of $A + BT_z$ is guaranteed by fulfillment of the condition in line (16)). This updating is used in order to circumvent the necessity of solving a large number of systems of linear equations.

A new column x is added to X either in line (08), or in line (20). In both cases we have $x = (A + BT_z)^{-1}b$ (as we have shown in the previous paragraph) and $T_z x \ge 0$, hence $T_z x = |x|$ and $b = (A + BT_z)x = Ax + BT_z x = Ax + B|x|$, so that x is a solution of (1.1).

Finally, if all = 1, then then the algorithm has constructed all the ± 1 -vectors z, and all the matrices of the form $A + BT_z$, z a ± 1 -vector, have been found nonsingular (lines (05), (16)). Assume x is a solution of (1.1). Put $z_i = 1$ if $x_i \ge 0$ and $z_i = -1$ otherwise (i = 1, ..., n), then z is a ± 1 -vector satisfying $T_z x \ge 0$, so that $(A + BT_z)x = A + B|x| = b$ and $x = (A + BT_z)^{-1}b$, and $T_z x \ge 0$. Thus, at the moment the algorithm constructs this vector z, the condition $T_z x \ge 0$ is satisfied and x is added into X (lines (08) or (20)). This proves that in the case of all = 1 all the solutions of the equation (1.1) have been included into X as its columns.

We have this immediate consequence of the algorithm construction and of Theorem 1:

Proposition 2. In the output of the algorithm, we have all = 1 if and only if $A + BT_z$ is nonsingular for each ± 1 -vector z.

This result explains why it is almost certain that we get all solutions of (1.1) for randomly generated data: it is almost impossible to generate randomly singular matrices.

4 Numerical aspects

The algorithm works as shown in infinite precision arithmetic. However, care should be taken in finite precision arithmetic because frequent updates of x and C may lead to essential deterioration of their accuracy. As a remedy, we suggest changing line (20) to

(20) if $T_z x \ge 0$, $x = (A + BT_z)^{-1}b$; $C = -(A + BT_z)^{-1}B$; $X = [X \ x]$; end i.e., to restart x and C whenever a new column is being added into X.

5 Examples

If we generate the data in MATLAB randomly by

>> A=2*rand(n,n)-1; B=2*rand(n,n)-1; b=2*rand(n,1)-1;

(i.e., with entries randomly distributed over (-1, 1)), then, as a rule, about half of the examples have no solution at all and if solutions exist, their number is usually relatively small (typically less than n). However, exceptions do exist. The following randomly generated 7×7 example has 10 solutions.

```
>> tic, n=7; rand('state',671); A=2*rand(n,n)-1, B=2*rand(n,n)-1,
>> b=2*rand(n,1)-1, [x,all]=absvaleqnall(A,B,b), toc
A =
```

-0.1479	-0.5985	-0.2265	-0.2292	-0.2426	-0.4978	0.4772
0.3503	0.7914	-0.8554	0.2560	-0.4149	-0.3221	-0.5674
-0.8144	0.8176	-0.9111	-0.9181	0.1953	-0.9376	0.0201
0.1143	-0.8706	-0.1203	0.5198	-0.6242	-0.7633	-0.1536
0.7850	-0.7964	0.6195	-0.5218	0.9041	0.7736	0.9708
-0.4198	-0.5983	0.9180	-0.5057	-0.6677	0.1967	0.0734
-0.1962	0.6255	-0.3860	0.1035	0.4396	-0.7893	-0.9860

В =						
-0.8464	-0.5703	-0.9208	-0.0867	0.2831	0.9318	0.8203
-0.7984	0.3861	-0.1074	-0.1288	0.8478	0.8475	0.8466
0.3445	0.4156	0.7606	-0.4585	0.9195	0.0428	0.0485
-0.1394	0.8962	-0.2990	-0.2622	-0.6214	-0.5709	-0.1978
0.8221	0.1798	-0.2713	0.9308	-0.9663	0.9149	-0.0731
0.8508	-0.2720	-0.7906	-0.8783	0.5006	-0.9402	0.6437
0.7253	0.0865	0.5792	-0.1374	-0.0348	0.4932	-0.2036
b =						
-0.6525						
0.3719						
0.6019						
-0.3199						
0.2327						
-0.3168						
0.5135						
х =						
0.2842	-1.9018	0.1484	-0.6615	-4.3204	-1.8897	0.2118
0.2852	-0.3674	0.4041	0.5318	-0.2405	0.4361	0.3700
-0.0841	-0.7374	0.7863	0.5816	-1.2114	-0.3516	0.1697
-0.0106	2.2570	0.1354	0.9473	6.0074	2.5083	0.0233
0.2235	-1.0900	-0.1987	-0.3510	-2.2160	-0.7775	0.2024
0.0125	0.4788	-0.3220	-0.2792	-0.1360	-0.0891	-0.0745
0.0045	0.8552	0.2679	0.6275	2.8807	1.2929	0.0600
0.1584	0.1048	0.2798				
0.3711	0.3815	0.2885				
0.1642	0.2708	-0.0792				
-0.1676	-0.2813	-0.0201				
0.1049	-0.0257	0.2208				
-0.0478	-0.0703	0.0114				
-0.0899	-0.1583	-0.0032				

all =

1 Elapsed time is 0.118065 seconds.

(Computation has been performed on a not-too-fast netbook.) The following pseudorandomly generated 10×10 example (notice premultiplication by 0.1 in *A*, taking the inverse of *B*, and positivity of *b*) has $2^{10} = 1024$ solutions. We write down neither the data that can be reconstructed because rand('state',1) is used, nor the solution matrix *x* which is too large; we output in the variable *sols* the number of columns of *x* only.

6 Computation of all solutions of a linear complementarity problem

A linear complementarity problem

$$x^+ = Mx^- + q$$

can be recast as an absolute value equation

$$(I+M)x + (I-M)|x| = 2q$$

and solved as such. In this way, our algorithm can be used for computation of all its solutions.

Bibliography

- M. Fiedler, J. Nedoma, J. Ramík, J. Rohn, and K. Zimmermann, *Linear Optimization Problems with Inexact Data*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006. 3
- [2] O. Mangasarian, Absolute value equation solution via concave minimization., Optimization Letters, 1 (2007), pp. 3–8. 2
- [3] O. Mangasarian, Absolute value programming, Computational Optimization and Applications, 36 (2007), pp. 43–53. 2
- [4] O. L. Mangasarian, A Generalized Newton Method for Absolute Value Equations, Optimization Letters, 3 (2009), pp. 101–108.
- [5] O. L. Mangasarian and R. R. Meyer, Absolute value equations, Linear Algebra Appl., 419 (2006), pp. 359–367. 2
- [6] O. Prokopyev, On Equivalent Reformulations for Absolute Value Equations, Comput. Optim. Appl., 44 (2009), pp. 363–372.
- [7] J. Rohn, Systems of linear interval equations, Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 126 (1989), pp. 39–78. 2
- An[8] J. algorithm theabsoluteRohn, for solving value equation, Electronic Journal of Algebra, 18(2009),589-599. Linear pp. http://www.math.technion.ac.il/iic/ela/ela-articles/articles/vol18_pp589--599.pdf. 2, 3
- Rohn, [9] J. Analgorithm forsolving theabsolutevalueequation: Animprovement. Technical Report 1063.Institute Computer Science, of Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, January 2010.http://uivtx.cs.cas.cz/~rohn/publist/absvaleqnreport.pdf. 2