

An Algorithm for Solving the Absolute Value Equation: An Improvement

Rohn, Jiří 2010

Dostupný z http://www.nusl.cz/ntk/nusl-41158

Dílo je chráněno podle autorského zákona č. 121/2000 Sb.

Tento dokument byl stažen z Národního úložiště šedé literatury (NUŠL).

Datum stažení: 29.09.2024

Další dokumenty můžete najít prostřednictvím vyhledávacího rozhraní nusl.cz .



An Algorithm for Solving the Absolute Value Equation: An Improvement

Jiří Rohn

Technical report No. V-1063

20.01.2010



An Algorithm for Solving the Absolute Value Equation: An Improvement

Jiří Rohn¹

Technical report No. V-1063

20.01.2010

Abstract:

Presented is an algorithm which for each $A,B\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ and $b\in\mathbb{R}^n$ in a finite number of steps either finds a solution of the equation Ax+B|x|=b, or finds a singular matrix S satisfying $|S-A|\leq |B|$.

Keywords:

Absolute value equation, algorithm, singularity.

 $^{^{1}}$ Supported by the Czech Republic Grant Agency under grants 201/09/1957 and 201/08/J020, and by the Institutional Research Plan AV0Z10300504.

1 Introduction

In our earlier paper [1] we presented an algorithm (Fig. 3.1 below) which for each $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in a finite number of steps either finds a solution of the absolute value equation

$$Ax + B|x| = b, (1.1)$$

or states existence of a singular matrix S satisfying

$$|S - A| \le |B|,\tag{1.2}$$

and, in most cases, also finds such an S. The cases when existence of a matrix S satisfying (1.2) is stated, but S itself is not found, are extremely rare, but they still exist. Among 100,000 randomly generated 5×5 examples, the author has found only one example of such type, namely the one given in Section 5. In this paper we present an improvement of the previous algorithm (Fig. 4.1) which eliminates occurrences of the above-described situations. The improved algorithm for each data $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in a finite number of steps either finds an x satisfying (1.1), or finds a singular matrix S satisfying (1.2). As we shall see, an essential redesigning of a part of the algorithm was necessary to achieve this purpose.

We use the following notations. $A_{k\bullet}$ and $A_{\bullet k}$ denote the kth row and the kth column of a matrix A, respectively. Matrix inequalities, as $A \leq B$ or A < B, are understood componentwise. The absolute value of a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is defined by $|A| = (|a_{ij}|)$. The same notations also apply to vectors that are considered one-column matrices. I is the unit matrix, e_k is the kth column of I, and $e = (1, \ldots, 1)^T$ is the vector of all ones. $Y_n = \{y \mid |y| = e\}$ is the set of all ± 1 -vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , so that its cardinality is 2^n . For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define its sign vector $\mathrm{sgn}(x)$ by

$$(\operatorname{sgn}(x))_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i \ge 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } x_i < 0 \end{cases} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

so that $sgn(x) \in Y_n$. For each $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote

$$T_y = \operatorname{diag}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & y_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

2 Auxiliary result

The following result gives an explicit way how to construct a required singular matrix S under circumstances that may occur during the algorithm.

Proposition 1. Let

$$(A + BT_{z'})x' = (A + BT_{z''})x''$$

hold for some $z', z'' \in Y_n$ and $x' \neq x''$ such that for each ℓ , $z'_\ell z''_\ell = -1$ implies $x'_\ell x''_\ell \leq 0$. Then for x = x' - x'' the matrix

$$S = A - T_y |B| T_z, (2.1)$$

where y is given by

$$y_j = \begin{cases} (Ax)_j/(|B||x|)_j & \text{if } (|B||x|)_j > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } (|B||x|)_j = 0 \end{cases} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$
 (2.2)

and

$$z = \operatorname{sgn}(x), \tag{2.3}$$

is a singular matrix satisfying $|S - A| \le |B|$ and Sx = 0.

Proof. From the proof of Proposition 2.4 in [1] it follows that under our assumptions on z', x', z'', x'' there holds

$$|Ax| \le |B||x|,$$

where x = x' - x'', and Corollary 2.3 in [1] implies that the matrix S constructed by (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) is singular and satisfies $|S - A| \le |B|$ and Sx = 0.

3 The former algorithm

In [1] we proposed the **signaccord** algorithm (Fig. 3.1). It was supported there by the following theorem.

Theorem 2. For each $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and each $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the **signaccord** algorithm (Fig. 3.1) in a finite number of steps either finds a solution x of the equation (1.1), or states existence of a singular matrix S satisfying (1.2) (and, in most cases, also finds such an S).

As we shall see in Section 5, the possibility of stating existence of a singular matrix without actually finding such a matrix is not excluded. This may happen if the condition

$$\log_2 p_k > n - k \tag{3.1}$$

is satisfied at some step; then the algorithm terminates in the fourth **if** ... **end** statement without having constructed a singular matrix S satisfying $|S-A| \leq |B|$ (although its existence is guaranteed).

```
function [x, S, flag] = signaccord (A, B, b)
% Finds a solution to Ax + B|x| = b, or states
% singularity of [A - |B|, A + |B|].
x = []; S = []; flag = 'singular';
if A is singular, S = A; return, end
p = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n;
z = \operatorname{sgn}(A^{-1}b);
if A + BT_z is singular, S = A + BT_z; return, end
x = (A + BT_z)^{-1}b;
C = -(A + BT_z)^{-1}B;
while z_j x_j < 0 for some j
    k = \min\{j \mid z_j x_j < 0\};
   if 1 + 2z_k C_{kk} \le 0
      S = A + B(T_z + (1/C_{kk})e_k e_k^T);
      return
    end
    p_k = p_k + 1;
   if \log_2 p_k > n - k, x = []; return, end
    z_k = -z_k;
   \alpha = 2z_k/(1 - 2z_k C_{kk});
   x = x + \alpha x_k C_{\bullet k};
    C = C + \alpha C_{\bullet k} C_{k \bullet};
flag = 'solution';
```

Figure 3.1: The former **signaccord** algorithm from [1].

4 The improved algorithm

Here we describe the improved algorithm **absvaleqn** (Fig. 4.1) which (in infinite precision arithmetic) gives a result for any data.

Theorem 3. For each $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and each $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the algorithm absvaleqn (Fig. 4.1) in a finite number of steps either finds a solution x of the equation (1.1), or finds a singular matrix S satisfying (1.2).

The improvement is placed in between the lines (17) and (25) of the algorithm where a previously missing singular matrix S is constructed along the lines of Proposition 1. The newly added variable r provides for finite termination of the algorithm. The proof is omitted here, but it can be inferred from the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [1].

5 Example

The following randomly generated example was mentioned in the Introduction.

```
A =
   78.2134
            -31.1765
                        60.6102
                                  -37.0822
                                              56.8726
   58.2907
              43.4605
                                   -9.8557
                        19.6398
                                              78.7528
   70.4107
            -10.3979
                       -91.2714
                                   76.0946
                                              63.0426
  -87.0915
            -40.7813
                        43.1212
                                   18.4124
                                              66.3227
            -97.4141
  -15.8190
                        84.0572
                                  -17.1518
                                              71.9448
B =
   48.7043
            -11.4057
                       -45.9936
                                  -32.0912
                                             -48.5738
  -17.5735
             -30.9182
                        46.6939
                                   -5.8549
                                               5.7216
   34.4625
               4.9679
                          5.6077
                                  -42.2342
                                             -32.9722
   27.4187
              43.0308
                          8.4773
                                   38.7742
                                              -6.8549
  -45.7192
            -18.8891
                        32.3623
                                    9.3232
                                             -15.2663
b =
   34.9380
   81.5419
  -19.1015
   89.3878
   -5.9995
```

Running the former algorithm in MATLAB, we obtain

```
>> [x,S,flag]=signaccord(A,B,b)
x =
      []
S =
      []
flag =
interval matrix singular
```

The reason for the premature termination is the fact that after the seventh iteration we have $p_5 = 2$, hence the condition (3.1) is satisfied and the algorithm exits the **while** loop.

On the contrary, the improved algorithm, also after seven iterations, produces a singular matrix.

```
>> [x,S]=absvaleqn(A,B,b)
x =
     []
S =
  29.5091 -19.7708
                       29.6467
                                 -4.9910
                                            8.2988
  75.8642
            74.3787
                                 -4.0008
                       51.0747
                                           84.4744
  35.9482 -15.3658
                     -87.4962
                               118.3288
                                           30.0704
-114.5102
            -83.8121
                       48.8282
                                -20.3618
                                           59.4678
   29.9002
           -78.5250
                     105.8439
                               -26.4750
                                           56.6785
```

We can check that the computed matrix S satisfies (1.2) (up to rounding errors) and is rank deficient.

```
>> abs(B)-abs(S-A)
ans =
         0
                    0
                         15.0301
                                          0
                                                     0
                         15.2590
    0.0000
              -0.0000
                                          0
                                               0.0000
         0
                    0
                          1.8325
                                          0
         0
                    0
                          2.7703
                                          0
                                              -0.0000
         0
                    0
                         10.5756
                                          0
                                              -0.0000
>> rank(S)
ans =
     4
```

```
(01)
         function [x, S] = absvaleqn(A, B, b)
(02)
         % Finds either a solution x to Ax + B|x| = b, or
         % a singular matrix S satisfying |S - A| \leq |B|.
(03)
         x = []; S = []; i = 0; r = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n; X = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n};
(04)
(05)
         if A is singular, S = A; return, end
         z = \operatorname{sgn}(A^{-1}b);
(06)
         if A + BT_z is singular, S = A + BT_z; return, end
(07)
        x = (A + BT_z)^{-1}b;
(08)
         C = -(A + BT_z)^{-1}B;
(09)
         while z_j x_j < 0 for some j
(10)
(11)
             i = i + 1;
(12)
             k = \min\{j \mid z_i x_i < 0\};
             if 1 + 2z_k C_{kk} \le 0
(13)
                S = A + B(T_z + (1/C_{kk})e_k e_k^T);
(14)
(15)
                x = []; return
(16)
             if ((k < n \text{ and } r_k > \max_{k < j} r_j) \text{ or } (k = n \text{ and } r_n > 0))
(17)
                x = x - X_{\bullet k};
(18)
(19)
                for j = 1 : n
                   if (|B||x|)_i > 0, y_i = (Ax)_i/(|B||x|)_i; else y_i = 1; end
(20)
(21)
                end
(22)
                z = \operatorname{sgn}(x);
                S = A - T_u |B| T_z;
(23)
                x = []; \mathbf{return}
(24)
(25)
             end
(26)
             r_k = i;
             X_{\bullet k} = x;
(27)
(28)
             z_k = -z_k;
             \alpha = 2z_k/(1 - 2z_k C_{kk});
(29)
             x = x + \alpha x_k C_{\bullet k};
(30)
             C = C + \alpha C_{\bullet k} C_{k \bullet};
(31)
(32)
         end
```

Figure 4.1: The improved algorithm absvaleqn.

Bibliography

[1] J. Rohn. An algorithm for solving the absolute value equation. Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, 18:589-599, 2009.