

Solution of a Semi-Coercive Contact Problem in a Non-linear Thermo-Elastic Rheology

Nedoma, Jiří 2001

Dostupný z http://www.nusl.cz/ntk/nusl-34024

Dílo je chráněno podle autorského zákona č. 121/2000 Sb.

Tento dokument byl stažen z Národního úložiště šedé literatury (NUŠL).

Datum stažení: 10.06.2024

Další dokumenty můžete najít prostřednictvím vyhledávacího rozhraní nusl.cz .



Solution of a Semi-Coercive Contact Problem in a Non-Linear Thermo-Elastic Rheology

Jiří Nedoma, Ivan Hlaváček

Technical report No. 821

July 2001

Solution of a Semi-Coercive Contact Problem in a Non-Linear Thermo-Elastic Rheology

Jiří Nedoma, Ivan Hlaváček

Technical report No. 821

July 2001

Abstract:

In the paper a contact problem in non-linear thermo-elastic rheology is studied. A problem of unilateral contact between bodies in non-linear thermo-elasticity firstly leads to a generalization of non-linear stress-strain relation. The stress-strain relation is derived from a positive definite strain energy density function. The weak solution is defined on the basis of a variational inequality. Then the secant modules method is used. We prove the convergence of the secant modules method to the exact solution. The problem analysed corresponds with model problems of mechanics, geomechanics, biomechanics and technology.

Keywords:

Non-linear thermo-elasticity, semi-coercive contact problem, secant modules method, finite element method

1 Introduction

In mechanics, geomechanics, biomechanics as well as in technological practice there is a variety of variational formulations. Thus variational inequalities physically describe the principle of virtual work in its inequality form. Such problems are represented by contact problems with or without friction in linear elasticity, thermo-elasticity, plasticity and thermo-plasticity, see e.g. [4], [5], [6], [8], [10], [11], [20] in elasticity and plasticity and [13], [14], [15], [16], [17] in thermo-elasticity and thermo-plasticity and [19] in non-linear elasticity. In [9] we analyse a generalized semi-coercive contact problem with friction arising in static linear thermo-elasticity for the case that bodies of arbitrary shapes, being in a mutual contact, are loaded by external forces.

In the present paper a semi-coercive contact problem in non-linear thermo-elastic rheology is formulated and then analysed. We develop the N-dimensional stress-strain relation (N=2,3) derived from a positive definite strain energy density function W of the form $W=A^{\lambda}(e_{ij})$, where A is a scalar-valued function of the strains e_{ij} and λ is a positive parameter. The parameter λ determines the degree of non-linearity for the strain dependent anisotropic elastic coefficients, which are functions of the displacement vector \mathbf{u} . For $0 < \lambda < 1$ the parameter λ has the effect of producing a softening stress-strain curve, for $\lambda > 1$ has the effect of producing a hardening strain curve. For $\lambda = 1$ it produces the strain-less elastic curves, where the non-linearity stems from the anisotropic coefficients depending on the displacement \mathbf{u} only.

2 Formulation of the Problem

To develop the N-dimensional stress-strain relation (N = 2, 3) a positive definite strain energy density function will be used. Let the strain energy density function W be defined by

$$W = A^{\lambda}(e_{ij}), \qquad (2.1)$$

where A is a scalar-valued function of the strains e_{ij} and λ is a positive parameter [1], [2], [19]. In this paper we shall assume that the function A is defined as

$$A = c_{ijkl}(\mathbf{u})e_{ij}(\mathbf{u})e_{kl}(\mathbf{u}), \quad e_{ij}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad i, j, k, l = 1, \dots N,$$
 (2.2)

where c_{ijkl} are elastic coefficients generally depending on the displacement vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_i)$ and e_{ij} are the components of the small strain tensor. Assume that

$$c_{ijkl} = c_{klij} = c_{jikl}$$
.

A repeated index implies summation from 1 to N. According to the theory of continuum mechanics and thermodynamics the stress tensor components are defined by the well-known relation

$$\tau_{ij} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial e_{ij}} \tag{2.3}$$

Hence and using (2.2)

$$\tau_{ij} = \lambda [A(e_{ij})]^{\lambda - 1} \frac{\partial A(e_{ij})}{\partial e_{ij}} = 2\lambda [A(e_{ij})]^{\lambda - 1} c_{ijkl}(\mathbf{u}) e_{kl} = c_{ijkl}^*(\mathbf{u}) e_{kl}.$$

$$(2.4)$$

The scalar coefficient $2\lambda[A(e_{ij})]^{\lambda-1}$ depends upon the state of strain and can simulate hardening and softing behaviours of materials and

$$c_{ijkl}^*(\mathbf{u}) = 2\lambda [A(e_{ij})]^{\lambda - 1} c_{ijkl}(\mathbf{u})$$
(2.5)

are strain dependent non-linear elastic coefficients. The parameter λ determines the degree of non-linearity for the strain dependent elastic coefficients $c_{ijkl}^*(\mathbf{u})$. If $\lambda < 1$ then the parameter λ has the effect of producing a softening stress-strain curve, if $\lambda = 1$ it produces the strain-less elastic curve,

where the non-linearity of the coefficients $c_{ijkl}^*(\mathbf{u})$ depends on the displacements \mathbf{u} only, while for $\lambda > 1$ it has the effect of producing a hardening stress-strain curve.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a region occupied by a system of elastic bodies Ω^ι , so that $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \Omega^\iota$. Let Ω^ι have Lipschitz boundaries $\partial \Omega^\iota$ and assume that $\partial \Omega = \Gamma_\tau \cup \Gamma_u \cup \Gamma_c \cup \mathcal{R}$, where the disjoint parts Γ_τ, Γ_u and Γ_c are open subsets and the surface measure of \mathcal{R} is zero. Moreover, let $\Gamma_u = {}^1\Gamma_u \cup {}^2\Gamma_u$ and $\Gamma_c^{kl} = \partial \Omega^k \cap \partial \Omega^l, \ k \neq l, \ \overline{\Gamma}_c = \bigcup_{k,l} \Gamma_c^{kl}$.

Let $u_n = u_i n_i$, $\mathbf{u}_t = \mathbf{u} - u_n \mathbf{n}$, $\tau_n = \tau_{ij} n_j n_i$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_t = \boldsymbol{\tau} - \tau_n \mathbf{n}$ be normal and tangential components of displacement and stress vectors $\mathbf{u} = (u_i)$, $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_i)$, $\tau_i = \tau_{ij} n_j$, i, j = 1, ..., N, $\mathbf{n} = (n_i)$ is the unit outward normal vector to $\partial \Omega$.

Let body forces $\mathbf{F} \in [L^2(\Omega)]^N$, surface forces $\mathbf{P} \in [L^2(\Gamma_\tau)]^N$ and heat sources $W \in L^2(\Omega)$ be given. Then the model to be solved represents a contact problem in non-linear thermo-elasticity.

3 Weak Solution of the Non-Linear Problem

In the next we shall investigate the following model problem:

Problem (\mathcal{P}): Let $N = 2(3), s \geq 2$. Find a pair of functions (T, \mathbf{u}) , a scalar function T and a vector function \mathbf{u} , satisfying

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\kappa_{ij}^{\iota} \frac{\partial T^{\iota}}{\partial x_j} \right) \equiv W^{\iota}, \quad \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}(\mathbf{u}^{\iota})}{\partial x_j} + F_i^{\iota} = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N, \quad \iota = 1, \dots, s \text{ in } \Omega^{\iota},$$
(3.1)

$$\tau_{ij}^{\iota} = c_{ijkl}^{*\iota}(\mathbf{u}^{\iota}) e_{kl}(\mathbf{u}^{\iota}) - \beta_{ij}^{\iota}(T^{\iota} - T_0^{\iota}), \quad i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, N, \quad \iota = 1, \dots, s, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\kappa_{ij} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_j} n_i = 0, \quad \tau_{ij} n_j = P_i, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N \text{ on } \Gamma_\tau,$$
(3.3)

$$\kappa_{ij} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} n_i = 0, \ u_i = u_{0i}, \ i, j = 1, \dots, N \text{ on } {}^1\Gamma_u,$$
(3.4)

$$T = T_1, u_i = u_{0i}, i = 1, ..., N, \text{ on } {}^2\Gamma_u,$$
 (3.5)

$$T^{k} = T^{l}, \quad \kappa_{ij} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{i}} n_{i|(k)} = \kappa_{ij} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{i}} n_{i|(l)} \text{ on } \Gamma_{c}^{kl}, \qquad (3.6)$$

$$u_n^k(\mathbf{x}) - u_n^l(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, \tau_n^k(\mathbf{x}) = -\tau_n^l(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \tau_n^{kl}(\mathbf{x}) \le 0,$$
 (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} -u_n(\mathbf{x}) &\leq 0, \tau_n(\mathbf{x}) = -\tau_n(\mathbf{x}) = \tau_n(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \\ &(u_n^k(\mathbf{x}) - u_n^l(\mathbf{x}))\tau_n^{kl}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_c^{kl}, \\ &\boldsymbol{\tau}_t^{kl}(\mathbf{x}) &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_c^{kl}. \end{aligned}$$
 (3.8)

Both the problems in thermics and non-linear elasticity can be solved separately and the coupling term $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\beta_{ij}^\iota(T^\iota-T_0^\iota))$ has a meaning of the body forces. Since we assume that $\beta_{ij}^\iota\in C^1(\overline{\Omega}^\iota)$, $T^\iota,T_0^\iota\in H^1(\Omega^\iota)$, then $\beta_{ij}^\iota(T^\iota-T_0^\iota)\in H^1(\Omega^\iota)$ and therefore $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\beta_{ij}^\iota(T^\iota-T_0^\iota))\in L^2(\Omega^\iota)$. In what follows, we set $\tilde{F}_i^\iota=F_i^\iota-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\beta_{ij}^\iota(T^\iota-T_0^\iota))$.

Let us introduce the sets of virtual temperatures and displacements and the set of admissible displacements by

$${}^{1}V = \{z \mid z \in {}^{1}W \equiv H^{1}(\Omega^{1}) \times \cdots \times H^{1}(\Omega^{s}), z = T_{1} \text{ on } \cup {}^{2}\Gamma_{\tau}\},$$

$$V_{0} = \{\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v} \in [H^{1}(\Omega^{1})]^{N} \times \cdots \times [H^{1}(\Omega^{s})]^{N}, \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{u}\},$$

$$K = \{\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v} \in V_{0}, v_{n}^{k} - v_{n}^{l} < 0 \text{ on } \bigcup_{k,l} \Gamma_{c}^{kl}\},$$

and the set of all displacements and rotations

$$R^{\iota} = \{ \mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v} \in [H^{1}(\Omega^{\iota})]^{N}, e_{ij}(\mathbf{v}) = 0 \text{ a.e. } \}, \ R = \sqcap_{\iota=1}^{s} R^{\iota}.$$

For
$$N=3$$
 $R^{\iota}=\{\mathbf{v}\mid\mathbf{v}\in[H^{1}(\Omega^{\iota})]^{3},\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{a}^{\iota}+\mathbf{b}^{\iota}\times\mathbf{x}\},$ for $N=2$ $R^{\iota}=\{\mathbf{v}\mid\mathbf{v}\in[H^{1}(\Omega^{\iota})]^{2},v_{1}=a_{1}^{\iota}-b^{\iota}x_{2},v_{2}=a_{2}^{\iota}+b^{\iota}x_{1}\},$ where $\mathbf{a}^{\iota},\mathbf{b}^{\iota}$ are arbitrary real vectors for $N=3$ or b^{ι} any real scalar for $N=2$.

We see that K is a convex cone and it can be shown that K is a closed convex subset of V_0 . Let $P_V = V_0 \cap R$ and let $V_0 = P_V \oplus Q_0$ be the orthogonal decomposition of V_0 .

To formulate the variational (weak) formulation of the above problem (\mathcal{P}) , we multiply (3.1a) by z-T and (3.1b) by v_i-u_i , integrate over Ω and use boundary conditions. Then after some modifications we obtain the following variational problem $(\mathcal{P})_v$:

Find a pair of functions $(T, \mathbf{u}), T \in {}^{1}V, \mathbf{u} \in K$, satisfying

$$b(T, z - T) \geq s(z - T) \quad \forall z \in {}^{1}V_{h}, \qquad (3.9)$$

$$a(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) \ge (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) \ \forall \mathbf{v} \in K,$$
 (3.10)

where

$$b(T,z) = \sum_{\iota=1}^{s} b^{\iota}(T^{\iota}, z^{\iota}) = \int_{\Omega} \kappa_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{i}} d\mathbf{x},$$

$$s(z) = \sum_{\iota=1}^{s} s^{\iota}(z^{\iota}) = \int_{\Omega} Qz d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Gamma_{\tau}} q_{0}z ds,$$

$$l(z) = \frac{1}{2} b(z, z) - s(z),$$

$$a(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{\iota=1}^{s} a(\mathbf{w}^{\iota}; \mathbf{u}^{\iota}, \mathbf{v}) = 2 \int_{\Omega} \lambda [A(e_{ij}(\mathbf{w}))]^{\lambda-1} c_{ijkl}(\mathbf{w}) e_{ij}(\mathbf{u}) e_{kl}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{x},$$

$$(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{\iota=1}^{s} (\mathbf{f}^{\iota}, \mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Omega} F_{i}^{\iota} v_{i} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Gamma_{\tau}} P_{i} v_{i} ds.$$

For the existence of a potential energy functional $L(\mathbf{v})$, such that its Gâteaux differential

$$DL(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = a(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}),$$

the following condition is necessary (see e.g. Gajewski et al. (1974) [3]):

$$\int_{\Omega} D c_{ijkl}^*(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) e_{kl}(\mathbf{u}) e_{ij}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} D c_{ijkl}^*(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) e_{kl}(\mathbf{u}) e_{ij}(\mathbf{w}) d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V.$$

Then we have

$$L(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} a(t\mathbf{v}; t\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) dt d\mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v})$$

or in an equivalent form

$$T \in {}^{1}V, Dl(T, z - T) > 0 \ \forall z \in {}^{1}V,$$
 (3.11)

$$\mathbf{u} \in K, DL(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) > 0 \ \forall \mathbf{v} \in K,$$
 (3.12)

where l(z) and $L(\mathbf{v})$ are defined above.

4 Secant Modules Method

The thermal part of the problem can be analysed as in [9], [17]. The non-linear elastic problem can be solved by the secant modules method (see e.g. [12], [16], [17]).

The **secant modules method** consists in solving a sequence of variational inequalities of the form

$$\mathbf{u}_{n+1} \in K, a(\mathbf{u}_n; \mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{n+1}) \ge (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{n+1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (4.1)

where \mathbf{u}_n is the *n*-th approximate solution of the problem studied.

Hence the problem studied leads to the solution of a sequence of variational inequalities with variable coefficients of the semi-coercive type of the form:

Let $\mathbf{u}_n \in K$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ be such that

$$a(\mathbf{u}_n; \mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{n+1}) \ge (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{n+1}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in K.$$
 (4.2)

Let us assume that the bilinear form $a(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ is symmetric in \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} and such that

$$a(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \geq c_0 \| \mathbf{u} \|^2, c_0 = \text{const.} > 0,$$
 (4.3)

$$|a(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})| \leq c_1 ||\mathbf{u}|| ||\mathbf{v}||,$$
 (4.4)

there exists a functional \mathcal{L} such that

$$a(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), \qquad (4.5)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}a(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) - \frac{1}{2}a(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) - \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}) + \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}) \ge 0$$
(4.6)

and that \mathcal{L} has the second Gâteaux differential $D^2\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$, the mapping $\mathbf{w} \longmapsto D^2\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ is continuous on every segment and that

$$D^{2}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) > c \parallel \mathbf{u} \parallel^{2}, c = \text{const.} > 0$$

$$(4.7)$$

holds. Assume that $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}), D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}), D^2\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y})$ and $a(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$ are independent of an addition of $\mathbf{p} \in R$ in all variables. Let

$$a(\mathbf{w}_n; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) \to a(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) \ \forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z} \in V_0 \text{ if } \mathbf{w}_n \to \mathbf{w}$$
.

Moreover, for $\mathbf{f} \in V_0'$

$$(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}) < 0 \ \forall \mathbf{p} \in R \cap K \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}) \neq 0 \ \forall \mathbf{p} \in R \cap V_0 \setminus \{0\}$$
 (4.8)

hold, where (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}) is defined above. Assume that the only element $\mathbf{p} \in R \cap K$ such that also $-\mathbf{p} \in R \cap K$ is $\mathbf{p} = 0$.

As a result we have the following theorem

Theorem 1 Let (4.3), (4.4), (4.6), (4.7) be satisfied for $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in Q_0$, and let (4.5), (4.8) hold. Then

(i) the functionals

$$L(\mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}), \omega(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2}a(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) - (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u})$$
(4.9)

are coercive and weakly lower semi-continuous in K.

(ii) the problem to find $\mathbf{u} \in K$ such that

$$D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) \ge (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) \ \forall \mathbf{v} \in K$$
 (4.10)

has a unique solution.

The problem to find $\mathbf{u}_{n+1} \in K$

(iii) for $\mathbf{u}_n \in K$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$a(\mathbf{u}_n; \mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{n+1}) > (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{n+1}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in K, \tag{4.11}$$

has a unique solution and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Pi_Q \mathbf{u}_n = \Pi_Q \mathbf{u} \,, \tag{4.12}$$

where Π_Q denotes the projection of V_0 onto Q_0 . If $\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbf{u}_{n_k} = \mathbf{w}$, then \mathbf{w} is the solution of (4.10).

Proof The coerciveness of L follows from the fact that

$$L(\mathbf{v}) \ge c_0 \parallel \mathbf{v} \parallel -c_1, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in K, \tag{4.13}$$

where c_0, c_1 are positive constants (see e.g. [12], Lemma 2.1). Hence

$$\lim_{\mathbf{v} \in K, \|\mathbf{v}\| \to \infty} \inf \frac{L(\mathbf{v})}{\|\mathbf{v}\|} \ge c_0 > 0.$$

Due to the definition of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v})$, the functional L is convex and G-differentiable and therefore, it is weakly lower semi-continuous. Hence the existence of the solution of (4.10), due to the coerciveness and weakly lower semi-continuity follows immediately. Similarly

$$\omega(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2}a(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) - (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u}) \ge c_2 \parallel \mathbf{u} \parallel -c_3 \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in K$$
(4.14)

is valid uniformly with respect to \mathbf{v} . By a similar way we prove the existence of the solution of (4.11). To prove the uniqueness of the solution of (4.10) we assume that \mathbf{u}^1 and \mathbf{u}^2 are two solutions of (4.10). Then $\mathbf{u}^2 = \mathbf{u}^1 + \mathbf{p}$, $\mathbf{p} \in R$, $\mathbf{u}^1 + \mathbf{p} \in K$ and $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}) = 0$ holds. By assumption (4.8b) we obtain $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$ and the uniqueness. Similarly we prove the uniqueness of a solution of (4.11). Let us denote by Π_Q the projector of V_0 onto V_0 . Now we shall prove the convergence of (4.12) for $n \to \infty$. According to the previous results, inserting $\mathbf{v} = 0$ in (4.11) and using (4.3), (4.14), then there exists a sequence $\{\mathbf{u}_n\}$ such that

$$\parallel \mathbf{u}_n \parallel < c \ \forall n \,. \tag{4.15}$$

We have

$$c \parallel \Pi_{Q} \mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \Pi_{Q} \mathbf{u}_{n} \parallel \le a(\mathbf{u}_{n}; \mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_{n}, \mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_{n}). \tag{4.16}$$

After some modification and the convergence of $L(\mathbf{u}_n)$ we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| \Pi_Q \mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \Pi_Q \mathbf{u}_n \| = 0.$$
 (4.17)

Then after some modifications and using (4.15), (4.16) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}c \parallel \Pi_{Q}\mathbf{u}_{n} - \Pi_{Q}\mathbf{u} \parallel^{2} \leq D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}_{n}, \mathbf{u}_{n} - \mathbf{u}) - D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{n} - \mathbf{u}) = a(\mathbf{u}_{n}; \mathbf{u}_{n}, \mathbf{u}_{n} - \mathbf{u}) - D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{n} - \mathbf{u}) \leq D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_{n}) + a(\mathbf{u}_{n}; \mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \mathbf{u}_{n} - \mathbf{u}_{n+1}) + a(\mathbf{u}_{n}; \mathbf{u}_{n} - \mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \mathbf{u}_{n} - \mathbf{u}) \to 0.$$

Let there exist a subsequence $\mathbf{u}_{n_k} \to \mathbf{u}^*, k \to \infty$. Then

$$a(\mathbf{u}_{n_k-1}; \mathbf{u}_{n_k}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{n_k}) \ge (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{n_k}) \ \forall \mathbf{v} \in K,$$
 (4.18)

hence

$$a(\mathbf{u}_{n_k-1}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}^*) \ge (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}^*) + \varepsilon_{n_k}(\mathbf{v}), \varepsilon_{n_k}(\mathbf{v}) \to 0.$$

Since

$$a(\mathbf{u}_{n_k-1}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}^*) = a(\mathbf{u}_{n_k} + \mathbf{u}_{n_k-1} - \mathbf{u}_{n_k}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}^*) = a(\mathbf{u}_{n_k} + \Pi_Q \mathbf{u}_{n_k-1} - \Pi_Q \mathbf{u}_{n_k}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}^*)$$

then using (4.17) and the fact that $a(\mathbf{w}_n; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) \to a(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) \ \forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z} \in V$, if $\mathbf{w}_n \to \mathbf{w}$, we find that

$$a(\mathbf{u}_{n_k-1}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}^*) \to a(\mathbf{u}^*; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}^*) = D\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}^*).$$

Hence \mathbf{u}^* is the solution of (4.10), and $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{u}$, which completes the proof.

5 Numerical Approximation of the Problem

Let the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, N=2(3), be triangulated. Then we divide $\overline{\Omega}=\Omega\cup\partial\Omega$ into a system of m triangles T_h in the 2D case and into a system of m tetrahedra in the 3D case, generating a triangulation T_h such that $\overline{\Omega}=\cup_{i=1}^m T_{hi}$ and such that two neighbouring triangles have only a vertex or an entire side common in the 2D case, and/or that two neighbouring tetrahedra have only a vertex or an entire edge or an entire face common in the 3D case. Let $h=\max_{1\leq i\leq m}(\operatorname{diam}T_{hi})$ and let a family of triangulation $\{T_h\}, h\to 0_+$, be regular in the standard sense. We further assume that the sets $\overline{\Gamma}_u\cap\overline{\Gamma}_\tau$, $\overline{\Gamma}_u\cap\overline{\Gamma}_c$, $\overline{\Gamma}_\tau\cap\overline{\Gamma}_c$ coincide with vertices or edges of T_h . Let

$$V_h = \{ \mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v} \in [C(\Omega)]^N, \mathbf{v} \mid_{T_h} \in [P_1]^N, \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_u \ \forall T_h \in \mathcal{T}_h \},$$

where P_1 is the space of all linear polynomials, and

$$K_h = \{ \mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v} \in V_h, v_n^k - v_n^l \le 0 \text{ on } \bigcup_{k,l} \Gamma_c^{kl} \} = K \cap V_h.$$

We see that K_h is a convex and closed subset of $V_h \, \forall h$. Then using the FEM-secant modules method the problem leads to a sequence of approximate problems of variational inequalities with variable coefficients of the semi-coercive type of the form: find $\mathbf{u}_{n+1}^h \in K_h$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ such that

$$a(\mathbf{u}_n^h; \mathbf{u}_{n+1}^h, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{n+1}^h) \ge (\mathbf{f}^h, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{n+1}^h) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in K_h.$$

$$(5.1)$$

The analysis of such problems is parallel to that of FEM approximation of variational inequalities in linear elasticity (see e.g. in [7], [9], [17]), as the variational inequality problem (5.1) represents a system of linear variational inequalities in the theory of elasticity, where the elastic coefficients c_{ijkl} are replaced by variable coefficients $c_{ijkl}^* = c_{ijkl}^*(\mathbf{u}_n^h) = \lambda A^{\lambda-1}(e_{ij}(\mathbf{u}_n^h))c_{ijkl}(\mathbf{u}_n^h)$. Similarly as in the linear case using the well-known Falk's lemma we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_{n+1}^h\|_{1} \to 0 \text{ for } h \to 0.$$
 (5.2)

The algorithms are modifications of those used in the theory of contact problems in linear elasticity, which have been discussed e.g. in [17], [18].

Acknowledgement:

This research was supported by the grant COPERNICUS-HIPERGEOS II-KIT No. 977006 and the grant of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic No OK-407.

Bibliography

- [1] Fung, Y.C. (1965). Generalized Hooke's Law. Foundations of Solid Mechanics. Prentice-Hall, N.J.
- [2] Fung, Y.C. (1968). Biomechanics (its scope, history, and some problem of continuum mechanics in physiology). Appl. Mech.Rev. 21(1),1-20.
- [3] Gajewski, H., Gröger, K, Zacharias, K. (1974). Nichtlineare Operatorgleichungen und Operatordifferentialgleichungen. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin.
- [4] Haslinger, J., Hlaváček, I. (1980,1981). Contact between elastic bodies. Apl.Mat. 25(1980), 324-348, 26(1981), 263-290, 321-344.
- [5] Haslinger, J., Hlaváček, I., Nečas, J. (1996). Numerical Methods for Unilateral Problems in Solid Mechanics. In: Handbook of Numerical Analysis. Vol.IV, 313-486, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam.
- [6] Hlaváček, I., Lovišek, J. (1977). A finite element analysis for the Signorini problem in plane elastostatics. Apl.Mat. 22(3), 215-228.
- [7] Hlaváček, I., Lovíšek, J. (1980). Finite element analysis of the Signorini problem in semi-coercive cases. Apl.Mat. 25,273-285.
- [8] Hlaváček, I., Haslinger, J., Nečas, J., Lovišek, J. (1988). Solution of Variational Inequalities in Mechanics. Springer Vlg., New York.
- [9] Hlaváček, I., Nedoma (2001). On a solution of a generalized semi-coercive contact problem in thermoelasticity. Technical Report V-820, ICS AS CR, Prague.
- [10] Kikuchi, N., Oden, J.T. (1988). Contact Problems in Elasticity: A Study of Variational Inequalities and Finite Element Methods. SIAM, Philadelphia.
- [11] Nečas, J., Hlaváček, I. (1981). Mathematical Theory of Elastic and Elasto-Plastic Bodies: An Introduction. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- [12] Nečas, J., Hlaváček, I. (1983). Solution of Signorini's contact problem in the deformation theory of plasticity by secant modules method. Apl.Mat. 28(3),199-214.
- [13] Nedoma, J. (1983). On one type of Signorini problem without friction in linear thermo-elasticity. Apl.Mat. 28(6), 393-407.
- [14] Nedoma, J. (1987). On the Signorini problem with friction in linear thermo-elasticity. The quasi-coupled 2D-case. Apl. Mat. 32(3),186-199.
- [15] Nedoma, J. (1994). Finite element analysis of contact problems in thermo-elasticity. The semi-coercive case. J.Comput.Appl.Math. 50,411-423.
- [16] Nedoma, J. (1997). Finite element approximation of a coupled contact-Stefan-like problem arising from the time discretization in deformation theory of thermo-plasticity. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 82,313-334.
- [17] Nedoma, J. (1998). Numerical Modelling in Applied Geodynamics. John Wiley&Sons, Chichester, New York, Weinheim, Brisbane, Singapore, Toronto.

- [18] Nedoma, J., Bartoš, M., Kestřánek, Z., Kestřánek jr, Z., Stehlík, J. (1999). Numerical Methods for Constrained Optimization in 2D and 3D Biomechanics. Numer. Linear Algebra with Appl. 6, 557-586.
- [19] Nedoma, J., Klézl, Z., Bartoš, M., Kestřánek, Z. sen. Kestřánek, Z. jr. (2000). Numerical modelling in spinal biomechanics. Proc. 16th IMACS World Congress, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- [20] Panagiotopoulos, P.D. (1985). Inequality Problems in Mechanics and Applications. Convex and Non-Convex Energy Functions. Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Stuttgart.