

### **Efficient Algorithm for Large Sparse Equality Constrained Nonlinear Programming Problems**

Lukšan, Ladislav 1996 Dostupný z <http://www.nusl.cz/ntk/nusl-33626>

Dílo je chráněno podle autorského zákona č. 121/2000 Sb.

Tento dokument byl stažen z Národního úložiště šedé literatury (NUŠL). Datum stažení: 15.08.2024

Další dokumenty můžete najít prostřednictvím vyhledávacího rozhraní [nusl.cz](http://www.nusl.cz).

## INSTITUTE OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC

## E-cient Algorithm for Large Sparse Equality Constrained Nonlinear Programming Problems

 $\mathbf{L}$  center  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  if  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  if  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  if  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  i

Technical report is a report  $\mathcal{L}$ 

February 1996

Institute of Computer Science- Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic Pod vodrenskou v - Prague v - Pra phone fax e-mail: luksan@uivt.cas.cz

## INSTITUTE OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

### ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC

## E-cient Algorithm for Large Sparse Equality Constrained Nonlinear Programming Problems

 $\mathbf{L}$  center  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  if  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  if  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  if  $\mathbf{L}$  is  $\mathbf{L}$  i

Technical report is a report  $\mathcal{L}$ February

#### Abstract

An efficient method for large sparse equality constrained nonlinear programming problems is proposed- This method is based on partial elimination of variables in inde nite KKT system- The direction vector is determined directly using sparse Gill Murray decomposition- the Lagrange multipliers is obtained iteratively using the smoothed iterative conjugate gradient methods is preliminarily transformed which is preliminarily transformed which leads to a special merit function- The eciency of our algorithm is demonstrated by extensive numerical experiments.

#### Keywords

Nonlinear programming, sparse problem, equality constraints, truncated Newton method range space method special merit function inde nite system conjugate gradient method, residual smoothing.

this work was supported under grant No. 20179070918 given by the Czech Republic Grant Agency "

### Introduction

Consider the problem of finding a point  $x^* \in R^n$ , such that

$$
x^* = \arg\min_{x \in \mathcal{F}} F(x),\tag{1.1}
$$

where  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a feasible set defined by the system of equations

$$
\mathcal{F} = \{x \in R^n : c_k(x) = 0, 1 \le k \le m\}.
$$
\n(1.2)

where  $m \leq n$  (in fact we consider only local minimum). Here  $F : R^n \to R$  and  $c_k : R^n \to R$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , are twice continuously differentiable functions, whose gradients and Hessian matrices will be denoted by  $\nabla F(x)$ ,  $\nabla c_k(x)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . and  $\nabla^2 F(x)$ ,  $\nabla^2 c_k(x)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , respectively. Furthermore, we use the notation  $c(x) = |c_1(x), \ldots, c_m(x)|^T$  and  $A(x) = |a_1(x), \ldots, a_m(x)| = |\nabla c_1(x), \ldots, \nabla c_m(x)|$  and we suppose that the matrix  $A(x)$  has a full column rank. Then the solution  $x^* \in R^n$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  are the Karush $\mathbf{A}$ exists a vector  $u^* \in R^m$ , such that

$$
\nabla_x L(x^*, u^*) = \nabla F(x^*) + A(x^*)u^* = 0,
$$
\n(1.3)

$$
\nabla_u L(x^*, u^*) = c(x^*) = 0,
$$
\n(1.4)

where

$$
L(x, u) = F(x) + uT c(x)
$$

is the Lagrangian function, whose gradient and Hessian matrix will be denoted by

$$
g(x, u) = \nabla_x L(x, u) = \nabla F(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} u_k \nabla c_k(x),
$$
  

$$
G(x, u) = \nabla_x^2 L(x, u) = \nabla^2 F(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} u_k \nabla^2 c_k(x),
$$

and  $(x^*,u^*) \in R^{n+m}$  is the KKT pair (first order necessary conditions). Let  $Z(x)$  be the matrix whose columns form an orthonormal pasis in the null space of  $A^+(x)$  so that  $A^-(x)Z(x) = 0$  and  $Z^-(x)Z(x) = 1$ . If, in addition to (1.5)–(1.4), the matrix  $Z^+(x^*)G(x^*,u^*)Z(x^*)$  is positive definite, then the point  $x^*\in R^n$  is a solution of the problem (r.c.) (conditions-conditions-conditions-conditions).

Basic methods for a solution of the problem 
- 
- are iterative and their iter ation step has the form

$$
x^+ = x + \alpha d, \tag{1.5}
$$

$$
u^+ = u + \alpha v, \tag{1.6}
$$

where  $(d, v) \in R^{n+m}$  is a direction pair  $(d \in R^n$  is a direction vector) and  $\alpha > 0$  is a stepsize- In this contribution we con ne our attention to methods derived from the

Newton method used for a solution of the KKT system 
- 
-- The iteration step of the Newton method has the form  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ 

$$
\begin{bmatrix} G(x,u) & A(x) \\ A^T(x) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d \\ v \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} g(x,u) \\ c(x) \end{bmatrix} . \tag{1.7}
$$

This is a system of  $n+m$  linear equations with  $n+m$  unknowns  $(d, v) \in R^{n+m}$ . The matrix the contract of the contract of the contract of the

$$
K = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} G & A \\ A^T & 0 \end{array} \right] \tag{1.8}
$$

is always independent of the matrix G is not positive definition of the matrix G is not positive definition of general even if the matrix  $Z^\ast$  GZ is. This fact can lead to some difficulties. Therefore, it is advantaged in transform the system (i.e.) in such as the system in such as to contain if  $\rho$  and  $\rho$ a positive designed in the left corner-by per corner-by additional corner of done by additional cornerof the second equation multiplied by  $A$  to the second by  $A$  to the second by  $A$  to the second by  $A$ yields

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc} B & A \\ A^T & 0 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} d \\ v \end{array}\right] = -\left[\begin{array}{c} b \\ c \end{array}\right].\tag{1.9}
$$

where

$$
B = G + \rho A A^{T},
$$
  

$$
b = g + \rho A c = \nabla F + A u + \rho A c.
$$

 $\blacksquare$  and the formed to the formed to

$$
Bd = -(b + Av), \t(1.10)
$$

$$
A^T B^{-1} A v = c - A^T B^{-1} b. \tag{1.11}
$$

If the matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are dense, then we can construct matrix  $A^+B^ ^+A$ , determine  $^$ vector v using 
- and compute vector d by substituting v into 
-- If the matrices A and B are large and sparse, then matrices B  $^{-}$  and, especially, A-B  $^{-}$ A are usually dense and we cannot use the system of th iteratively using the smoothed conjugate gradient method  $[10]$  or directly using the sparse Bunch Parlett decomposition- the matrix and matrix and matrix the matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  , dimension  $n + m$  and its nonzero elements, derived from the matrix A, are usually far from the main diagonal which can lead to considerable  $\mathbf{I}$ to nd another possibility which removes these insuciences-

In this contribution, we will concentrate on a combined direct and iterative method,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is based on the equations of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is based on the solved directly solved dir using the sparse Gill-Murray  $[5]$  decomposition

$$
LDL^T = B + E,\t\t(1.12)
$$

where L is a nonsingular lower triangular matrix D is a positive de nite diagonal matrix and E is a positive semide nite diagonal matrix- The matrix E is determined in such as to a finite dependence positive designations of the matrix  $\mathbf{m}$  is such the matrix  $\mathbf{m}$  $\mathbb{R}$  then E define then E define the solved iteratively using the solved iteratively using the solved iteratively using the solved in the solved in

smoothed conjugate gradient method- An advantage of this approach consists in the fact that the matrix  $B$  has a lower dimension  $n$  and its elements are not usually too far from the main diagonal which leads to a lower ll in- Moreover equation 
 can be solved approximately, like the truncated Newton method for unconstrained optimization - However this procedure lays a higher emphasis on the determination of the parameter in 
- 
- i-e- on the choice of a merit function for the stepsize selection.

The contribution is organized as follows- In Section we propose some results concerning system 
- de ne the special merit function 
- suitable for inexact solution to the system 
- and show a correctness of the Armijo type line search procedure- Section contains a detailed description of our algorithm for large sparse equality constrained nonlinear programming problems together with results obtained by extensive numerical experiments.

In this contribution, we denote by  $\|.\|$  the Euclidean (or spectral) norm and by  $\|.\|_1$ the latter is not absolute values-

#### $\overline{2}$ Direction determination and stepsize selection

 $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{I}$  are can use the Gill $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{I}$  are can use the Gillian and  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{I}$  are called  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{I}$  are called  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{I}$  ar  $\mathbf{I}$  is the matrix  $\mathbf{I}$  is a frequent situation when  $\mathbf{I}$  is a frequent situation when  $\mathbf{I}$  is a frequent of  $\mathbf{I}$ the matrix  $B + E$  can be different enough from the matrix B, and good convergence properties of the Newton method can be lost- Therefore it can be advantageous to use the following the following theorems hold for the matrix of the matrix  $\alpha$  (the form and the matrix  $D = G + \rho A A^{-}$ :

theorem in the negative-term of positive-term of positive-term of positive-term of positive-term of positive-t values of the matrix of the number of  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and let let let let let let let  $\mathcal{P}_2$  and  $\mathcal{P}_3$  and  $\mathcal{P}_4$ zero eigenvalues of the matrix  $Z^+ \mathbf{G} Z$ . Then  $k_+ = l_- + m$ ,  $k_+ = l_+ + m$  and  $k_0 = l_0$ .

-------------

**Theorem 2.** Let the matrix  $Z^T G Z$  be positive definite. Then there exists a number  $\overline{\rho} > 0$ , such that the matrix B is positive definite whenever  $\rho \geq \overline{\rho}$ .

Proof- See -

**Theorem 3.** Let the matrix K be nonsingular. Then there exists a number  $\overline{\rho} > 0$ , such that the matrix  $A^{T}B^{-1}A$  is positive definite whenever  $\rho \geq \overline{\rho}$ .

Proof- a First we prove that there exists a number such that the matrix  $G + \rho A A^{T}$  is nonsingular whenever  $\rho \geq \rho_0$ . From Theorem 1, we can deduce that nonsingularity of the matrix  $K$  implies nonsingularity of the matrix  $Z^+(GZ)$ . Therefore, there exists a number  $G > 0$  such that  $\|Z^T G Z z\| \geq |Gz| \, \forall z \in R^{n-m}$ . Denote  $Y = A(A^T A)^{-1}$  so that  $A^T Y = I$ ,  $Z^T Y = 0$  and  $\|Y\| \leq A/\underline{A}^2$ , where  $A = \|A\|$  and  $\underline{A}$ is the lowest singular value of the matrix A. Then every vector  $x \in R^n$  can be uniquely expressed in the form  $x = Yy + Zz$ , where  $y \in R^m$  and  $z \in R^{n-m}$ . Suppose that

$$
(G + \rho A A^T)x = GYy + GZz + \rho Ay = 0
$$

for some nonzero vector  $x \in R^n$ . Then necessarily

$$
Z^T G Y y + Z^T G Z z = 0 \tag{2.1}
$$

and

$$
y^T Y^T G Y y + y^T Y^T G Z z + \rho y^T y = 0.
$$
\n
$$
(2.2)
$$

From 
- we obtain

$$
\frac{\overline{GA}}{\underline{A}^2} \|y\| \ge \|Z^T G Y y\| = \|Z^T G Z z\| \ge \underline{G} \|z\|,
$$

where  $G = ||G||$ , so that  $||z|| \leq (GA)/(\underline{GA^2})||y||$ . On the other hand, we can write

$$
y^T Y^T G Y y + y^T Y^T G Z z + \rho y^T y \ge \rho \|y\|^2 - \frac{\overline{G A}^2}{\underline{A}^4} \|y\|^2 - \frac{\overline{G A}}{\underline{A}^2} \|y\| \|z\|
$$
  

$$
\ge \left[ \rho - \frac{\overline{G A}^2}{\underline{A}^4} \left(1 + \frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G}}\right) \right] \|y\|^2,
$$

so that (2.2) cannot be satisfied if  $||x|| > 0$  and  $\rho \ge \rho_0$ , where  $\rho_0 > (GA^2/\underline{A}^4)(1 +$  $(\overline{G}/G)$ , which is a contradiction.

(b) Denote  $D_0 = G + \rho_0 A A^{\dagger}$ . Since the matrix  $D_0$  is nonsingular by (a), its schur complement  $A^*B_0^-A$  in the matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$  is also nonsingular. Let  $\mu$  be an eigenvalue of the matrix  $A^* \, B_0^{-*} A$  and  $w$  be a corresponding eigenvector. Then we obtain successively

$$
A^T B_0^{-1} A w = \mu w
$$
  
\n
$$
B_0^{-1} A A^T B_0^{-1} A w = \mu B_0^{-1} A w
$$
  
\n
$$
(I + (\rho - \rho_0) B_0^{-1} A A^T) B_0^{-1} A w = (1 + (\rho - \rho_0) \mu) B_0^{-1} A w
$$
  
\n
$$
(1 + (\rho - \rho_0) \mu)^{-1} B_0^{-1} A w = (I + (\rho - \rho_0) B_0^{-1} A A^T)^{-1} B_0^{-1} A w
$$
  
\n
$$
(1 + (\rho - \rho_0) \mu)^{-1} A^T B_0^{-1} A w = A^T (B_0 + (\rho - \rho_0) A A^T)^{-1} A w
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu (1 + (\rho - \rho_0) \mu)^{-1} w = A^T B^{-1} A w
$$

provided  $\rho - \rho_0 \neq -1/\mu$ . Consider the function  $\lambda(\mu) = \mu/(1 + (\rho - \rho_0)\mu)$  for a given  $\rho \geq \rho_0$ . If  $\mu > 0$ , then  $\lambda(\mu) > 0$  for an arbitrary  $\rho \geq \rho_0$ . If  $\mu < -1/(\rho - \rho_0) < 0$  then again  $\Delta(\mu) > 0$ . Therefore, if either  $\mu > 0$  or  $\mu \le -1/(\rho - \rho_0) \le 0$  for an eigenvalues of the matrix  $A^+B_0^-A$ , then all eigenvalues  $\lambda(\mu)$  of the matrix  $A^+B^-$  <sup>+</sup>A are positive. This situation appears if  $\rho \geq \overline{\rho} > \rho_0 - 1/\mu_0$ , where  $\mu_0 < 0$  is the greatest negative eigenvalue of the matrix  $A^* B_0^- A$ .

Theorem has a practical corolary- It shows that there exists a transformation of system (s), sach that the system (sixe) has positive demonstration matrix- and the system of  $\mathcal{S}$ advantageous for application of the conjugate gradient method to 
--

In the subsequent considerations, we will suppose that  $LDL^T = B + E$  is the Gill-Murray decomposition such that  $\underline{B}\|d\|^2\leq d^TLDL^Td\leq B\|d\|^2\;\forall d\in R^n,$  where  $\underline{B}$  and B are some constants independent on the current iteration- The left inequality is a

consequence of the Gill Murray decomposition- If the right inequality is not satis ed then the matrix B has to be modi ed before decomposition-

Using partial elimination of variables, we can transform  $(1.9)$  (with  $LDL^{\pm}$  instead of  $B$ ) to the form

$$
LDL^T d = -(b + Av), \qquad (2.3)
$$

$$
A^T (L^{-1})^T D^{-1} L^{-1} A v = c - A^T (L^{-1})^T D^{-1} L^{-1} b.
$$
 (2.4)

We will use the following merit function

$$
P(\alpha) = F(x + \alpha d) + (u + v)^{T} c(x + \alpha d) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||c(x + \alpha d)||^{2} + \sigma ||c(x + \alpha d)||_{1}
$$
 (2.5)

for the stepsize selection 
 is an additional penalty parameter- Together with this merit function we also use its piecewise linear approximation

$$
\overline{P}(\alpha) = P(0) + \alpha d^{T}(b + Av) + \sigma(||c + \alpha A^{T}d)||_{1} - ||c||_{1})
$$
\n(2.6)

and we denote by  $a_1 + (0) / a\alpha = \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} (1 + (\alpha) - 1 - (0)) / \alpha$  the corresponding directional derivative-that main advantage of the merit function  $\mathbf{f}$ a good descent property is the interest solution to the system (i.e., ). In the case  $\pi$ theorem holds

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that  $\underline{B} \|d\|^2 \le d^T L D L^T d \le B \|d\|^2 \ \forall d \in R^n$ . Let  $v \in R^m$  be an inexact solution of the equation (2.4) such that  $||r||_1 \le ||c||_1$ , where  $r \in R^m$  is the residual vector determined by the formula

$$
r = c - A^{T} (L^{-1})^{T} D^{-1} L^{-1} b - A^{T} (L^{-1})^{T} D^{-1} L^{-1} A v = c + A^{T} d \qquad (2.7)
$$

and  $d \in R^n$  is a solution of the equation (2.3). Then  $dP_{+}(0)/d\alpha \leq P(1) - P(0) \leq$  $-\underline{B} \|d\|^2$ .

Proof- Dierentiating 
- or 
- we get

$$
dP_{+}(0)/d\alpha = d^{T}(b + Av) + \sigma \left( \sum_{c_{k}=0} |a_{k}^{T}d| + \sum_{c_{k}>0} a_{k}^{T}d - \sum_{c_{k}<0} a_{k}^{T}d \right)
$$
  

$$
= d^{T}(b + Av) + \sigma \left( \sum_{c_{k}=0} (|c_{k} + a_{k}^{T}d| - |c_{k}|) + \sum_{c_{k}>0} (c_{k} + a_{k}^{T}d - |c_{k}|) \right)
$$
  

$$
- \sum_{c_{k}<0} (c_{k} + a_{k}^{T}d + |c_{k}|)
$$
  

$$
\leq d^{T}(b + Av) + \sigma (\|c + A^{T}d\|_{1} - \|c\|_{1}) = \overline{P}(1) - \overline{P}(0).
$$

- and other hand the other hand that the other hand the other hand the other hand the second term in the second term of the second term in the sec

$$
\overline{P}(1) - \overline{P}(0) = d^{T}(b + Av) + \sigma(||c + A^{T}d||_{1} - ||c||_{1}) = -d^{T}LDL^{T}d + \sigma(||r||_{1} - ||c||_{1})
$$

which together with the assumptions  $d^TLDL^Td \geq \underline{B} \|d\|^2$  and  $\|r\|_1 \leq \|c\|_1$  gives assertion of the theorem.  $\Box$ 

Note that the main reason for use of the Gill Murray decomposition 
- is a re quired positive definiteness of the matrix  $LDL^\tau$  which is essential for proof of Theorem  $4.$ 

Let  $v \in R^m$  be an inexact solution of the equation (2.4) satisfying assumptions of Theorem 4 and  $d \in R^n$  be the corresponding solution of the equation (2.3). Then we can use the standard Armijo rule for steplength determination i- a construction i- a complete  $\mathcal{C}$ is chosen so that it is the first member of the sequence  $\beta'$ ,  $\gamma = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ , such that

$$
P(\alpha) - P(0) \leq \underline{\varepsilon}\alpha(\overline{P}(1) - \overline{P}(0)),\tag{2.8}
$$

where it is a subsequent consideration of the subsequent consideration will assume that there is a consideratio constants  $\overline{g}$ ,  $\overline{G}$ ,  $\overline{c}$ ,  $\overline{A}$ ,  $\underline{A}$ , independent of the current iteration, such that  $\|\nabla F(x+\alpha d)\|$  $\overline{g}$ ,  $\|\nabla^2 F(x + \alpha d)\| \leq \overline{G}$ ,  $\|c(x + \alpha d)\| \leq \overline{c}$ ,  $\|A(x + \alpha d)\| \leq \overline{A}$ ,  $\|\nabla^2 c_k(x + \alpha d)\| \leq \overline{G}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ ,  $||A(x + \alpha d)w|| \geq \underline{A}||w|| \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  hold, respectively, for all  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let assumptions of Theorem 4 be satisfied (together with assumptions of boundedness given above  $\mathcal{L}$  the constant  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  are existing the constant  $\mathcal{L}$ iteration- such that

$$
P(\alpha) \le \overline{P}(\alpha) + \alpha^2 \overline{K} \|d\|^2 \tag{2.9}
$$

 $\forall 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$ 

Proof- Since 
- implies

$$
r = c - A^{T} (L^{-1})^{T} D^{-1} L^{-1} (\nabla F + \rho A c) - A^{T} (L^{-1})^{T} D^{-1} L^{-1} A (u + v)
$$

and since  $\|r\|\leq \|r\|_1\leq \|c\|_1\leq \sqrt{m}\|c\|$  and

$$
w^{T} A^{T} (L^{-1})^{T} D^{-1} L^{-1} A w \ge \frac{1}{\overline{B}} ||A w||^{2} \ge \frac{A^{2}}{\overline{B}} ||w||^{2}
$$

 $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^m$  hold by assumptions, we can write

$$
\frac{\underline{A}^2}{\overline{B}}\|u+v\| \le \|A^T (L^{-1})^T D^{-1} L^{-1} A(u+v)\| \le \overline{c}(1+\sqrt{m}) + \frac{\overline{A}}{\underline{B}}(\overline{g}+\rho \overline{A}\overline{c}),
$$

so that

$$
||u + v|| \le \frac{\overline{B}}{\underline{A}^2} \left[ \overline{c} (1 + \sqrt{m}) + \frac{\overline{A}}{\underline{B}} (\overline{g} + \rho \overline{A} \overline{c}) \right] \triangleq \overline{U}.
$$

Applying the Taylor expansion to every term of 
- and using 
- we get

$$
P(\alpha) \leq \overline{P}(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \overline{G}||d||^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^m |u_k + v_k|\overline{G}||d||^2
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{1}{2}\rho\alpha^2 \overline{A}^2||d||^2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^m |c_k|\overline{G}||d||^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma\alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \overline{G}||d||^2
$$
  

$$
\leq \overline{P}(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \left[ (1 + \overline{U}\sqrt{m} + \rho\overline{c}\sqrt{m} + \sigma m)\overline{G} + \rho\overline{A}^2 \right] ||d||^2 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \overline{P}(\alpha) + \alpha^2 \overline{K}||d||^2
$$

 $\forall 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  ( $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are assumed to be constants).

**Theorem 5.** Let the assumptions of Lemma 1 hold and let  $d \neq 0$ . Then there exist an integer  $k \geq 0$  and a number  $\alpha > 0$ , independent of the current iteration, such that the Armijo rule gives the value  $\alpha = \beta^j$ , satisfying (2.8), with  $j \leq k$  and  $\alpha \geq \underline{\alpha}$ . Moreover

$$
P(\alpha) - P(0) \le -\alpha \varepsilon B \|d\|^2. \tag{2.10}
$$

$$
\overline{P}(\alpha) - \overline{P}(0) - \alpha(\overline{P}(1) - \overline{P}(0)) = \sigma(\|c + \alpha A^T d\|_1 - \|c\|_1) - \alpha \sigma(\|c + A^T d\|_1 - \|c\|_1)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \sigma(\alpha \|c + A^T d\|_1 + (1 - \alpha) \|c\|_1 - \|c\|_1
$$
  
\n
$$
-\alpha \|c + A^T d\|_1 + \alpha \|c\|_1) = 0
$$

 $\forall 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , we can write

$$
P(\alpha) - P(0) \leq \overline{P}(\alpha) - \overline{P}(0) + \alpha^2 \overline{K} ||d||^2 \leq \alpha (\overline{P}(1) - \overline{P}(0) + \alpha \overline{K} ||d||^2)
$$
  

$$
\leq \alpha (\overline{P}(1) - \overline{P}(0))(1 - \alpha \frac{\overline{K}}{\underline{B}})
$$

by Lemma 1 and Theorem 4, so that (2.8) holds whenever  $\alpha \leq (B/K)(1-\varepsilon)$ . Let  $k \geq 0$  be chosen so that it is the lowest integer such that  $\underline{\beta}^k \leq (\underline{B}/\overline{K})(1-\underline{\varepsilon})$  and let  $\alpha = \beta'$  be given by the Armijo rule to satisfy (2.8). Then

$$
\alpha = \underline{\beta}^j \ge \underline{\beta}^k \ge \underline{\beta} \frac{\underline{B}}{\overline{K}} (1 - \underline{\varepsilon}) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \underline{\alpha}.
$$
 (2.11)

Using (Alian Canada Alian A

$$
P(\alpha) - P(0) \le \alpha \underline{\varepsilon}(\overline{P}(1) - \overline{P}(0)) \le -\underline{\alpha \varepsilon}B||d||^2.
$$

 $\mathcal{N}$ matrix  $A^+(L^-)^+D^-L^-A$  is positive definite (since A has a full column rank and  $LDL^+$  is positive definite), so that the equation (2.4) can be solved by the smoothed conjugate gradient method - The iterative process is terminated if a sucient accuracy, guaranteeing superlinear rate of convergence (see  $[3]$ ), is reached and, at the same time, the condition  $\|r\|_1 \leq \|c\|_1$  is satisfied. These facts imply the following algorithm for the direction determination

Algorithm 1. Direction determination.

———————————————

- Step 1: Initiation. Set  $v_0 := v, r_0 := c A^2 (L^{-1})^2 D^{-1} L^{-1} v, v_0 := v_0, r_0 := r_0$  $\omega := \min(\omega, \|r_0\|), \text{ and } j := 0.$
- **Step 2:** CG iteration. If  $j \geq n+3$ , then go to Step 6, otherwise set  $j := j+1$ . Compute  $\beta_{j-1} := ||\tilde{r}_{j-1}||^2$ . If  $j = 1$ , then set  $p_{j-1} := \tilde{r}_{j-1}$ , otherwise set  $p_{i-1} := r_{i-1} + (\rho_{i-1}/\rho_{i-2})p_{i-2}$ . Compute  $q_{i-1} := A^{-}(L^{-1})^{-}D^{-1}L^{-1}A p_{i-1}$ and  $\gamma_{j-1} := \beta_{j-1} / p_{j-1}^T q_{j-1}$  and set  $v_j := v_{j-1} + \gamma_{j-1} p_{j-1}, r_j := r_{j-1}$ j-qj--
- **Step 3:** Residual smoothing. Compute  $\lambda_j := -(r_{j-1} \tilde{r}_j)^T \tilde{r}_j / ||r_{j-1} \tilde{r}_j||^2$  and set  $v_i = v_j + \lambda_i (v_{i-1} - v_i), \quad i = i_1 + \lambda_i (v_{i-1} - v_i).$
- **Step 4:** Test for sufficient precision. If  $||r_j||_1 > \omega ||r_0||_1$ , then go to Step 2.
- **Step 5:** Test for sufficient descent. If  $||r_j||_1 > ||c||_1$ , then go to Step 2.
- step et settimination- set van dij compute the metal computer of the district of the district of the district  $-L^{-1}L^{-1}L^{-1}(0+Av)$  and terminate the computation.

Note that the main reasons for residual smoothing in Step 3 are requirements  $\|r\|_1 \leq$  $\omega\|r_0\|_1$  and  $\|r\|_1\leq \|c\|_1,$  so that the norm  $\|r\|_1$  should always be as small as possible.

### Numerical experiments

Now we summarize results from the previous section and give a detailed description of our algorithm- throw algorithms also the sparse case in algorithm to gether. with smoothed conjugate gradient method for direction determination and the classical Armijo rule for stepsize selection-

Algorithm 2. Equality constrained optimization  $(GM+CG)$ .

 ${\rm \textbf{Data:}} ~~\rho \geq 0, \, \sigma \geq 0, \, 0 < \beta < 1, \, 0 < \underline{\varepsilon} < 1, \, 0 < \overline{\omega} < 1, \, \delta > 0.$ 

**Input:** Sparsity pattern of the matrices  $\nabla^2 F$  and A. Initial choice of the vextor x.

- Step  Initiation- Determine sparsity pattern of the matrix B and carry out its symbolic Gill Murray decomposition- the value field of the state of the value  $\sim$ the vector club contract contract the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract
- $\blacksquare$ If  $||c|| \leq \overline{\delta}$  and  $||g|| \leq \overline{\delta}$ , then terminate the computation (the solution is found- Otherwise set i  i -
- step steppenmanning of the Hessian matrix-and matrix-and matrix-and mppermanning of the th the Hessian matrix  $\mathcal{W}$  as in  $\mathcal{W}$ Compute the matrix  $B := G + \rho A A^T$  and carry out its numerical Gill-Murray decomposition-
- step stermine determination- determination- the direction- and determine the direction- $\mathbf{d}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$ function  $P(\alpha)$  and its piecewise linear approximation  $\overline{P}(\alpha)$ .
- **Step 5:** Termination of the stepsize selection. If  $P(\alpha) P(0) \leq \varepsilon \alpha (P(1) P(0))$ , then set  $x := x + \alpha d$ ,  $u := u + \alpha v$  and go to Step 2.
- $\blacksquare$  Step  $\blacksquare$  . The step in merit function  $P(\alpha)$  and go to Step 5.

Computational efficiency of Algorithm 2 was tested using 18 sparse problems, listed in the Appendix which had either or variables- We used parameters   $\rho = 0.5$ ,  $\varepsilon = 10^{-1}$ ,  $\omega = 0.9$ ,  $\theta = 10^{-1}$ , in all numerical experiments. Values of the

parameter depended on the problem solved as will be shown below- They were selected to give good results-

The summary of results for all problems is given in Table - This table contains the total number of iterations NIT, the total number of function evaluations NFV, the total number of gradient evaluations NGR, the total number of conjugate gradient iterations NCG and the total CPU time on Pentium PC (90 MHz) for double precision arithmetic implementation- The rows correspond to the direct method with the Bunch-Parlett (BP) decomposition of the matrix B, our method  $(GM+CG)$  realized by Algorithm 2 and the smoothed conjugate gradient method  $(CG)$  applied directly to inde nite system 
- and preconditioned using the positive de nite matrix

$$
C = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} LDL^{T} & A \\ A^{T} & A^{T}(L^{-1})^{T}D^{-1}L^{-1}A + I \end{array} \right],
$$
 (3.1)

where  $LDL^+$  is an incomplete Gill-Murray decomposition of the matrix  $D$  (more details  $\blacksquare$ about preconditioners (and can be found in -plys = all (= - ) decided the values of the values of  $\rho = 0.1, \rho = 0.001$  for problems 5,9, respectively, and the value  $\rho = 0.0$  in the other cases-because the values-the values-the-values-the-values-the-values-the-values-the-values-the-values-the-values- $\rho = 1.0$  for problems 8,9,13,15,16, respectively, and the value  $\rho = 50.0$  in the other cases-cases, we use the contract the couplet of the value of the values of the values of the values of the values  $\rho = 100.0, \ \rho = 0.1, \ \rho = 100.0$  for problems 5,8,9,10,13,14,15, respectively, and the value para value in the cases-all methods presented in the methods presented in Table in Table in Table in Tab using the modular interactive system for universal functional optimization  $\text{UFO}$  [8].





From the results presented in Table we can draw several conclusions- First our algorithm  $(GM+CG)$  is faster and has much lower storage requirements than the direct method is also much faster than the pure iterative method is also much faster than the pure iterative m  $\mathbf{1}$  - seconditioner  $\mathbf{1}$  - algorithm depends on the parameter  $\mathbf{1}$ which the some to a some to be adjusted at the pure  $\lambda$  to the problem to be solved-pure solved-pure solvediterative method with the preconditioner  $\mathbf{A}$  with the property-term in have also tested two preconditioners  $C = A^T D A$ , with D a positive definite diagonal approximation to the matrix  $LDL^{\dagger}$ , and  $C^{-} \equiv (A^{\dagger}A)^{-1}A^{\dagger}LDL^{\dagger}A(A^{\dagger}A)^{-1}$ , applied to the system 
-- Eciency obtained in both these cases was worse than that without preconditioning-

### Appendix

This Appendix contains 18 original sparse problems for equality constrained optimization- We use for prime k and l the notation div
k- l for integer division i-e- maximum integer not greater than killed after integer distribution in the second model integer distribution in the second model in  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k}$  and  $k = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k}$ can be found in  $[7]$ .

Problem 1. Chained Rosenbrock function with trigonometric-exponential constrains.

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [100(x_i^2 - x_{i+1})^2 + (x_i - 1)^2]
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = 3x_{k+1}^3 + 2x_{k+2} - 5 + \sin(x_{k+1} - x_{k+2})\sin(x_{k+1} + x_{k+2}) + 4x_{k+1}
$$
  
\n
$$
- x_k \exp(x_k - x_{k+1}) - 3
$$
  
\n
$$
1 \le k \le m = n - 2
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = -1.2, \mod(i, 2) = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 1.0, \mod(i, 2) = 0
$$

Problem 2. Chained Wood function with Broyden banded constraints.

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} [100(x_{2i-1}^2 - x_{2i})^2 + (x_{2i-1} - 1)^2 + 90(x_{2i+1}^2 - x_{2i+2})^2 + (x_{2i+1} - 1)^2
$$
  
+  $10(x_{2i} + x_{2i+2} - 2)^2 + (x_{2i} - x_{2i+2})^2/10]$   

$$
c_k(x) = (2 + 5x_{k+5}^2)x_{k+5} + 1 + \sum_{i=k-5}^{k+1} x_i(1 + x_i)
$$
  

$$
1 \le k \le m = n - 7
$$
  

$$
\overline{x}_i = -2, \mod(i, 2) = 1
$$
  

$$
\overline{x}_i = 1, \mod(i, 2) = 0
$$

Problem Chained Powell singular function with simpli ed trigonometric exponential constraints-

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} [(x_{2i-1} + 10x_{2i})^2 + 5(x_{2i+1} - x_{2i+2})^2 + (x_{2i} - 2x_{2i+1})^4 + 10(x_{2i-1} - x_{2i+2})^4]
$$
  
\n
$$
c_1(x) = 3x_1^3 + 2x_2 - 5 + \sin(x_1 - x_2)\sin(x_1 + x_2)
$$
  
\n
$$
c_2(x) = 4x_n - x_{n-1}\exp(x_{n-1} - x_n) - 3
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 3, \mod(i, 4) = 1
$$

$$
\overline{x}_i = -1, \mod(i, 4) = 2
$$
  

$$
\overline{x}_i = 0, \mod(i, 4) = 3
$$
  

$$
\overline{x}_i = 1, \mod(i, 4) = 0
$$

 $\overline{p}$ 

Problem 4. Chained Cragg-Levy function with tridiagonal constraints.

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} [(\exp(x_{2i-1}) - x_{2i})^4 + 100(x_{2i} - x_{2i+1})^6 + \tan^4(x_{2i+1} - x_{2i+2}) + x_{2i-1}^8
$$
  
+  $(x_{2i+2} - 1)^2$ ]  

$$
c_k(x) = 8x_{k+1}(x_{k+1}^2 - x_k) - 2(1 - x_{k+1}) + 4(x_{k+1} - x_{k+2}^2)
$$
  

$$
1 \le k \le m = n - 2
$$
  
 $\overline{x}_i = 1, \quad i = 1$   
 $\overline{x}_i = 2, \quad i > 1$ 

Problem Generalized Broyden tridiagonal function with ve diagonal constraints-

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |(3 - 2x_i)x_i - x_{i-1} - x_{i+1} + 1|^p
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = 8x_{k+2}(x_{k+2}^2 - x_{k+1}) - 2(1 - x_{k+2}) + 4(x_{k+2} - x_{k+3}^2) + x_{k+1}^2 - x_k
$$
  
\n
$$
+ x_{k+3} - x_{k+4}^2
$$
  
\n
$$
p = 7/3, \quad x_0 = x_{n+1} = 0, \quad 1 \le k \le m = n - 4
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = -1, \quad \forall i
$$

Problem 6. Generalized Broyden banded function with exponential constraints.

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |(2+5x_i^2)x_i + 1 + \sum_{j=\max(1,i-5)}^{\min(n,i+1)} x_j(1+x_j)|^p
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = 4x_{2k} - (x_{2k-1} - x_{2k+1}) \exp(x_{2k-1} - x_{2k} - x_{2k+1}) - 3
$$
  
\n
$$
p = 7/3, \ 1 \le k \le m = n/2
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = -1, \ \forall i
$$

ed a concern diagonal function with simplified with simplified and simplified and concern and concern and conce straints.

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |n + i(1 - \cos x_i) - \sin x_{i+1} + \sin x_{i-1}|
$$

$$
c_1(x) = 4(x_1 - x_2^2) + x_2 - x_3^2
$$
  
\n
$$
c_2(x) = 8x_2(x_2^2 - x_1) - 2(1 - x_2) + 4(x_2 - x_3^2) + x_3 - x_4^2
$$
  
\n
$$
c_3(x) = 8x_{n-1}(x_{n-1}^2 - x_{n-2}) - 2(1 - x_{n-1}) + 4(x_{n-1} - x_n^2) + x_{n-2}^2 - x_{n-3}
$$
  
\n
$$
c_4(x) = 8x_n(x_n^2 - x_{n-1}) - 2(1 - x_n) + x_{n-1}^2 - x_{n-2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 1, \forall i
$$

Problem 8. Augmented Lagrangian function with discrete boundary value constraints.

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/5} \left[ \exp\left(\prod_{j=1}^{5} x_{5i+1-j}\right) + 10\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{5} x_{5i+1-j}^{2} - 10 - \lambda_{1}\right)^{2} + \left(x_{5i-3}x_{5i-2} - 5x_{5i-1}x_{5i} - \lambda_{2}\right)^{2} + \left(x_{5i-4}^{3} + x_{5i-3}^{3} + 1 - \lambda_{3}\right)^{2}\right) \right]
$$
  

$$
c_{k}(x) = 2x_{k+1} + h^{2}(x_{k+1} + h(k+1) + 1)^{3}/2 - x_{k} - x_{k+2}
$$

 $\lambda_1 = -0.002008, \quad \lambda_2 = -0.001900, \quad \lambda_3 = -0.000261, \quad h = 1/(n+1), \quad 1 \leq k \leq$  $m = n - 2$ 

 $x_i = -1$ ,  $\text{mod}(i, 2) - 1$  $\sim$  is the state of the s

e e en eren an modification with simplified in the simplified and monopolitical constraints.

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} [(x_{2i-1} - 3)^2 / 1000 - (x_{2i-1} - x_{2i}) + \exp(20(x_{2i-1} - x_{2i}))]
$$
  
\n
$$
c_1(x) = 4(x_1 - x_2^2) + x_2 - x_3^2 + x_3 - x_4^2
$$
  
\n
$$
c_2(x) = 8x_2(x_2^2 - x_1) - 2(1 - x_2) + 4(x_2 - x_3^2) + x_1^2 + x_3 - x_4^2 + x_4 - x_5^2
$$
  
\n
$$
c_3(x) = 8x_3(x_3^2 - x_2) - 2(1 - x_3) + 4(x_3 - x_4^2) + x_2^2 - x_1 + x_4 - x_5^2 + x_1^2 + x_5 - x_6^2
$$
  
\n
$$
c_4(x) = 8x_{n-2}(x_{n-2}^2 - x_{n-3}) - 2(1 - x_{n-2}) + 4(x_{n-2} - x_{k+1}^2) + x_{n-3}^2 - x_{n-4}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ x_{n-1} - x_n^2 + x_{n-4}^2 + x_n - x_{n-5}
$$
  
\n
$$
c_5(x) = 8x_{n-1}(x_{n-1}^2 - x_{n-2}) - 2(1 - x_{n-1}) + 4(x_{n-1} - x_n^2) + x_{n-2}^2 - x_{n-3}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ x_n + x_{k-2}^2 - x_{k-3}
$$
  
\n
$$
c_6(x) = 8x_n(x_n^2 - x_{n-1}) - 2(1 - x_n) + x_{n-1}^2 - x_{n-2} + x_{n-2}^2 - x_{n-3}
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = -1, \forall i
$$

Problem Generalized Brown function with Broyden tridiagonal constraints-

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} [(x_{2i-1}^2)^{(x_{2i}^2+1)} + (x_{2i}^2)^{(x_{2i-1}^2+1)}]
$$
  

$$
c_k(x) = (3 - 2x_{k+1})x_{k+1} + 1 - x_k - 2x_{k+2}
$$

$$
1 \le k \le m = n - 2
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = -1, \mod(i, 2) = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 1, \mod(i, 2) = 0
$$

Problem 11. Chained HS46 problem.

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{(n-2)/3} [(x_{j+1} - x_{j+2})^2 + (x_{j+3} - 1)^2 + (x_{j+4} - 1)^4 + (x_{j+5} - 1)^6]
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+1}^2 x_{l+4} + \sin(x_{l+4} - x_{l+5}) - 1, \text{ mod } (k, 2) = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+2} + x_{l+3}^4 x_{l+4}^2 - 2, \text{ mod}(k, 2) = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
j = 3(i - 1), \quad l = 3 \text{ div}(k - 1, 2), \quad 1 \le k \le m = 2(n - 2)/3
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 2.0, \text{ mod}(i, 3) = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 1.5, \text{ mod}(i, 3) = 2
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 0.5, \text{ mod}(i, 3) = 0
$$

Problem 12. Chained HS47 problem.

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{(n-1)/4} [(x_{j+1} - x_{j+2})^2 + (x_{j+2} - x_{j+3})^2 + (x_{j+3} - x_{j+4})^4 + (x_{j+4} - x_{j+5})^4]
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+1} + x_{l+2}^2 + x_{l+3}^2 - 3 \text{ mod}(k, 3) = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+2} + x_{l+3}^2 + x_{l+4} - 1 \text{ mod}(k, 3) = 2
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+1}x_{l+5} - 1 \text{ mod}(k, 3) = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
j = 4(i - 1), \quad l = 4 \text{ div}(k - 1, 3), \quad 1 \le k \le m = 3(n - 1)/4
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 2.0, \text{ mod}(i, 4) = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 1.5, \text{ mod}(i, 4) = 2
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = -1.0, \text{ mod}(i, 4) = 3
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 0.5, \text{ mod}(i, 4) = 0
$$

Problem Chained modi ed HS problem-

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{(n-2)/3} [(x_{j+1} - 1)^2 + (x_{j+2} - x_{j+3})^2 + (x_{j+4} - x_{j+5})^4]
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+1} + x_{l+2}^2 + x_{l+3} + x_{l+4} + x_{l+5} - 5
$$
, mod $(k, 2) = 1$   
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+3}^2 - 2(x_{l+4} + x_{l+5}) - 3
$$
, mod $(k, 3) = 0$ 

 $j = 3(i-1), \quad l = 3 \text{ div}(k-1,2), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m = 2(n-2)/3$ 

 $\mathbf{v}$  is a model of the contract of the co  $\cdots$  , and  $\cdots$  $x_i = -0.0, \text{ mod}(i, 0) - 0$ 

Problem Chained modi ed HS problem-

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{(n-2)/3} [(x_{j+1} - x_{j+2})^2 + (x_{j+3} - 1)^2 + (x_{j+4} - 1)^4 + (x_{j+5} - 1)^6]
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+1}^2 + x_{l+2} + x_{l+3} + 4x_{l+4} - 7
$$
,  $mod(k, 2) = 1$   
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+3}^2 - 5x_{l+5} - 6
$$
,  $mod(k, 3) = 0$ 

$$
j = 3(i - 1), \quad l = 3 \text{ div}(k - 1, 2), \quad 1 \le k \le m = 2(n - 2)/3
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 10, \quad \text{mod}(i, 3) = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 7.0, \quad \text{mod}(i, 3) = 2
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = -3.0, \quad \text{mod}(i, 3) = 0
$$

Problem Chained modi ed HS problem-

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{(n-1)/4} [(x_{j+1} - x_{j+2})^2 + (x_{j+2} - x_{j+3})^2 + (x_{j+3} - x_{j+4})^4 + (x_{j+4} - x_{j+5})^4]
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+1}^2 + 2x_{l+2} + 3x_{l+3} - 6
$$
,  $mod(k, 3) = 1$   
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+2}^2 + 2x_{l+3} + 3x_{l+4} - 6
$$
,  $mod(k, 3) = 2$   
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+3}^2 + 2x_{l+4} + 3x_{l+5} - 6
$$
,  $mod(k, 3) = 0$ 

$$
j = 4(i - 1), \quad l = 4 \text{ div}(k - 1, 3), \quad 1 \le k \le m = 3(n - 1)/4
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 35, \quad \text{mod}(i, 4) = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = -31, \quad \text{mod}(i, 4) = 2
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 11, \quad \text{mod}(i, 4) = 3
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = -5.0, \quad \text{mod}(i, 4) = 0
$$

Problem Chained modi ed HS problem-

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{(n-1)/4} [(x_{j+1} - x_{j+2})^4 + (x_{j+2} + x_{j+3} - 2)^2 + (x_{j+4} - 1)^2 + (x_{j+5} - 1)^2]
$$
  

$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+1}^2 + 3x_{l+2} - 4
$$
, mod $(k, 3) = 1$ 

$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+3}^2 + x_{l+4} - 2x_{l+5} \text{ , mod}(k,3) = 2
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+2}^2 - x_{l+5} \text{ , mod}(k,3) = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
j = 4(i-1), l = 4 \text{ div}(k-1,3), 1 \le k \le m = 3(n-1)/4
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 2.5, \text{ mod}(i,4) = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 0.5, \text{ mod}(i,4) = 2
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 2.0, \text{ mod}(i,4) = 3
$$

Problem Chained modi ed HS problem-

 $x_i = -1.0, \text{ mod } i, \pm j = 0$ 

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{(n-1)/4} [(4x_{j+1} - x_{j+2})^2 + (x_{j+2} + x_{j+3} - 2)^4 + (x_{j+4} - 1)^2 + (x_{j+5} - 1)^2]
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+1}^2 + 3x_{l+2} , \mod(k,3) = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+3}^2 + x_{l+4} - 2x_{l+5} , \mod(k,3) = 2
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+2}^2 - x_{l+5} , \mod(k,3) = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
j = 4(i-1), l = 4 \dim(k-1,3), 1 \le k \le m = 3(n-1)/4
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 2, \forall i
$$

Problem Chained modi ed HS problem-

$$
F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{(n-1)/4} [(x_{j+1} - x_{j+2})^4 + (x_{j+2} + x_{j+3} - 2)^2 + (x_{j+4} - 1)^2 + (x_{j+5} - 1)^2]
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+1}^2 + 3x_{l+2} \qquad , \mod(k, 3) = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+3}^2 + x_{l+4} - 2x_{l+5} \qquad , \mod(k, 3) = 2
$$
  
\n
$$
c_k(x) = x_{l+2}^2 - x_{l+5} \qquad , \mod(k, 3) = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
j = 4(i - 1), \quad l = 4 \dim(k - 1, 3), \quad 1 \le k \le m = 3(n - 1)/4
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x}_i = 2, \quad \forall i
$$

# Bibliography

- J-R-Bunch B-N-Parlett Direct methods for solving symmetric inde nite systems of linear equations-definitions-definitions-definitions-definitions-definitions-definitions-definitions-definitions-
- A-R-Curtis M-J-D-Powell J-K-Reid On the estimation of sparse Jacobian matri ces- IMA Journal of Aplied Mathematics 
 -
- re avec the Steinstate Steinstate Steinstate March 1989, and the steinstate and the steins are the steins merical Analysis  $19$  (1982)  $400-408$ .
- R-Fletcher Practical methods of optimization- Wiley Chichester -
- P-E-Gill W-Murray Newton type methods for nconstrained and linearly con strained optimization-between  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are  $\mathcal{M}$
- N-I-M-Gould On practical conditions for the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the general equality quadratic programming problem- Mathematical Program ming  $32$  (1985)  $90-99$ .
- W-Hock K-Schitkowski Test Examples for Nonlinear Programming Codes- Lec ture to the construction of the Mathematical Systems Voltocratical Systems Vol-France in the Systems Vol-Berlin, 1981.
- la la managari mui Danmai mari a manai mari la manai and and and manasa lait anno la monte sa dell'un for onterest and the non-time of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{V}}$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{V}}$  ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{V}}$  is the search  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{V}}$ V-662, Institute of Computer Science, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic, 1995.
- P-S-Vassilevski D-Lazarov Preconditioning mixed nite element saddle point el liptic problems- Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications 
 -
- R-Weiss Convergence behavior of generalized conjugate gradient methods- Thesis-University of Karlsruhe, 1990.