



národní  
úložiště  
šedé  
literatury

## **Linear Interval Equations: Computing Sufficiently Accurate Enclosures in NP-Hard**

Rohn, Jiří  
1995

Dostupný z <http://www.nusl.cz/ntk/nusl-33581>

Dílo je chráněno podle autorského zákona č. 121/2000 Sb.

Tento dokument byl stažen z Národního úložiště šedé literatury (NUŠL).

Datum stažení: 10.04.2024

Další dokumenty můžete najít prostřednictvím vyhledávacího rozhraní [nysl.cz](http://nysl.cz) .

**Linear Interval Equations: Computing  
Sufficiently Accurate Enclosures is NP-Hard**

Jiří Rohn

Technical report No. 621

January 1995

**Institute of Computer Science, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic**  
**Pod vodárenskou věží 2, 182 07 Prague 8, Czech Republic**  
**phone: (+422) 66414244 fax: (+422) 8585789**  
**e-mail: rohn@uivt.cas.cz**

# Linear Interval Equations: Computing Sufficiently Accurate Enclosures is NP-Hard<sup>1</sup>

Jiří Rohn<sup>2</sup>

Technical report No. 621  
January 1995

## **Abstract**

It is proved that if there exists a polynomial-time algorithm which for each system of linear interval equations with a strongly regular  $n \times n$  interval matrix computes an enclosure of the solution set with absolute accuracy better than  $\frac{1}{4n^4}$ , then P=NP.

## **Keywords**

Linear interval equations, enclosure, NP-hardness

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported in part by the Czech Republic Grant Agency under grant GAČR 201/95/1484

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Computer Science, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, and Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic (rohn@kam.ms.mff.cuni.cz)

# 1 Introduction

This report is partly a transcript of a poster<sup>3</sup>. The main result (Theorem 1) shows that one of the basic problems in validated computations is more difficult than expected.

## 2 Enclosures

For a system of linear interval equations

$$A^I x = b^I \quad (2.1)$$

( $A^I$  square), *enclosure* is defined as an interval vector  $[\underline{y}, \overline{y}]$  satisfying

$$X \subseteq [\underline{y}, \overline{y}]$$

where  $X$  is the solution set:

$$X = \{x; Ax = b \text{ for some } A \in A^I, b \in b^I\}.$$

If  $A^I$  is regular, then there exists the narrowest (or: optimal) enclosure  $[\underline{x}, \overline{x}]$  given by

$$\underline{x}_i = \min_X x_i,$$

$$\overline{x}_i = \max_X x_i$$

for each  $i$ . Computing  $[\underline{x}, \overline{x}]$  was proved to be NP-hard (Rohn and Kreinovich [5]). But it turns out that the same is true for computing "sufficiently accurate" enclosures:

## 3 The result

**Theorem 1** *Suppose there exists a polynomial-time algorithm which for each strongly regular  $n \times n$  interval matrix  $A^I$  and each  $b^I$  (both with rational bounds) computes a rational enclosure  $[\underline{y}, \overline{y}]$  of  $X$  satisfying*

$$\overline{x}_i \leq \overline{y}_i \leq \overline{x}_i + \frac{1}{4n^4} \quad (3.1)$$

*for each  $i$ . Then  $P=NP$ .*

## 4 Comments

$A^I = [A_c - \Delta, A_c + \Delta]$  is called strongly regular if  $\varrho(|A_c^{-1}| \Delta) < 1$  (a well-known sufficient regularity condition).

---

<sup>3</sup>presented at the international workshop Applications of Interval Computations, El Paso, Texas, February 1995 (sections 2 to 4)

P and NP are the well-known complexity classes. The conjecture that  $P \neq NP$ , although unproved, is widely believed to be true (Garey and Johnson [1]).

Hence, the problem of computing sufficiently accurate enclosures is by far more *difficult* than previously believed: an existence of a polynomial-time algorithm yielding the accuracy (3.1) would imply polynomial-time solvability of all problems in the class NP, thereby making an enormous breakthrough in theoretical computer science.

## 5 Proof

1) Denote  $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in R^n$  and  $Z = \{z \in R^n; |z| = e\}$ , so that  $Z$  is the set of all  $\pm 1$ -vectors. We shall use matrix norms

$$\|A\|_s = e^T |A| e = \sum_i \sum_j |a_{ij}|$$

and

$$\|A\|_{\infty,1} = \max\{\|Az\|_1; z \in Z\} \quad (5.1)$$

(where  $\|x\|_1 = \sum_i |x_i|$ ; cf. [2]).  $[\alpha]$  denotes the integer part of a real number  $\alpha$ .

2) A real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is called an *MC*-matrix if it is of the form

$$a_{ij} \begin{cases} = n & \text{if } i = j \\ \in \{0, -1\} & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ). For an *MC*-matrix  $A$  we obviously have

$$n \leq e^T A e \leq \|A\|_{\infty,1} \leq \|A\|_s \leq n(2n - 1). \quad (5.2)$$

Also,

$$z_i (Az)_i > 0 \quad (5.3)$$

holds for each  $z \in Z$  and each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We shall essentially use the fact that computing  $\|A\|_{\infty,1}$  is NP-hard for *MC*-matrices [3, Thm. 2.6]. In the sequel we shall construct, for a given  $n \times n$  *MC*-matrix  $A$ , a linear interval system with interval matrix of size  $3n \times 3n$  such that if  $\bar{y}_i$  satisfies (3.1), then

$$\|A\|_{\infty,1} = [\|A\|_s + 2 - \frac{1}{\bar{y}_i}].$$

Hence, if such a  $\bar{y}_i$  can be computed in polynomial time, then  $\|A\|_{\infty,1}$  can also be computed in polynomial time and since this is an NP-hard problem,  $P=NP$  will follow.

3) For a given  $n \times n$  *MC*-matrix  $A$  (which is diagonally dominant and therefore nonsingular), consider a linear interval system

$$A^I x = b^I \quad (5.4)$$

with  $A^I = [A_c - \Delta, A_c + \Delta]$ ,  $b^I = [b_c - \delta, b_c + \delta]$  given by

$$A_c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I & 0 \\ -I & 0 & A^{-1} \\ 0 & A^{-1} & A^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta \epsilon \epsilon^T \end{pmatrix}$$

(all the blocks are  $n \times n$ ,  $I$  is the unit matrix),

$$b_c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \beta e \end{pmatrix}$$

(all the blocks are  $n \times 1$ ) and

$$\beta = \frac{1}{\|A\|_s + 2}. \quad (5.5)$$

We shall first prove that  $A^I$  is strongly regular. Since

$$A_c^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & -I & I \\ -I & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$$

(as it can be easily verified), we have

$$|A_c^{-1}| \Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \beta \epsilon \epsilon^T \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta |A| \epsilon \epsilon^T \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix has eigenvalues  $\lambda = 0$  (multiple) and  $\lambda = \beta \|A\|_s$ . Hence  $\varrho(|A_c^{-1}| \Delta) = \beta \|A\|_s < 1$  due to (5.5), and  $A^I$  is strongly regular.

4) For the linear interval system (5.4), consider a solution  $x$  satisfying  $\tilde{A}x = \tilde{b}$  for some  $\tilde{A} \in A^I$ ,  $\tilde{b} \in b^I$ . If we decompose  $x$  as

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x^1 \\ x^2 \\ x^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= 0 \\ x^1 &= A^{-1} x^3 \\ A' x^3 &= b' \end{aligned}$$

for some  $A', b'$  satisfying  $|A^{-1} - A'| \leq \beta e e^T$  and  $|b'| \leq \beta e$ , hence  $x^3$  is a solution of the linear interval system

$$[A^{-1} - \beta e e^T, A^{-1} + \beta e e^T]x' = [-\beta e, \beta e] \quad (5.6)$$

whose matrix is obviously again strongly regular. From [4, Thm. 2.2] we have that for each  $z \in Z$  the equation

$$A^{-1}x = \beta(\|x\|_1 + 1)z \quad (5.7)$$

has a unique solution  $x_z$ . A direct substitution shows that the solution has the form

$$x_z = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta\|Az\|_1}Az.$$

Now, from the same Theorem 2.2 in [4] we have that each solution of (5.6) belongs to the convex hull of the  $x_z$ 's, hence also

$$x^3 \in \text{Conv}\left\{\frac{\beta}{1 - \beta\|Az\|_1}Az; z \in Z\right\}$$

which implies

$$x^1 = A^{-1}x^3 \in \text{Conv}\left\{\frac{\beta}{1 - \beta\|Az\|_1}z; z \in Z\right\}.$$

Thus for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we have

$$x_i^1 \leq \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta \max\{\|Az\|_1; z \in Z\}} = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta\|A\|_{\infty,1}}$$

and the upper bound is obviously achieved at some  $x_z$  which, due to (5.7) and (5.3), solves the equation

$$(A^{-1} - \beta z z^T)x_z = \beta z. \quad (5.8)$$

Hence for the  $3n$ -dimensional solution  $x$  of (5.4) we have

$$\bar{x}_i = \bar{x}_i^1 = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta\|A\|_{\infty,1}} \quad (5.9)$$

for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  (cf. [5]).

5) Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Due to (5.9), (5.5) and (5.2) we have  $\bar{x}_i \in (0, 1)$  and

$$\beta \geq \frac{1}{n(2n-1)+2} = \frac{1}{2n^2-n+2},$$

hence

$$\bar{x}_i \geq \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta n} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{2n^2-n+2}}{1 - \frac{n}{2n^2-n+2}} = \frac{1}{2n^2 - 2n + 2}.$$

Since the real function  $\frac{\xi^2}{1-\xi}$  is increasing in  $(0, 1)$ , we have

$$\frac{\bar{x}_i^2}{1 - \bar{x}_i} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{(2n^2-2n+2)^2}}{1 - \frac{1}{2n^2-2n+2}} = \frac{1}{(2n^2 - 2n + 2)(2n^2 - 2n + 1)} > \frac{1}{4n^4}.$$

Hence, if  $\bar{y}_i$  satisfies (3.1), then

$$0 \leq \bar{y}_i - \bar{x}_i < \frac{\bar{x}_i^2}{1 - \bar{x}_i}$$

which implies

$$0 \leq \bar{y}_i - \bar{x}_i < \bar{x}_i \bar{y}_i$$

and

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{\bar{x}_i} - \frac{1}{\bar{y}_i} < 1. \quad (5.10)$$

Now, from (5.9) we have

$$\|A\|_{\infty,1} = \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\bar{x}_i}$$

and adding this to (5.10), we obtain

$$\|A\|_{\infty,1} \leq \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\bar{y}_i} < \|A\|_{\infty,1} + 1.$$

Since  $\|A\|_{\infty,1}$  is integer for an  $MC$ -matrix  $A$  (due to (5.1)), the last result implies

$$\|A\|_{\infty,1} = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\bar{y}_i} \right\rceil = \lceil \|A\|_s + 2 - \frac{1}{\bar{y}_i} \rceil.$$

Thus, if  $\bar{y}_i$  satisfying (3.1) can be computed by a polynomial-time algorithm, then the same is true for  $\|A\|_{\infty,1}$  and since computing  $\|A\|_{\infty,1}$  is NP-hard for  $MC$ -matrices [3],  $P=NP$  follows.  $\square$

## 6 The symmetric case

Let  $A^I = [A_c - \Delta, A_c + \Delta]$  be a symmetric interval matrix (i.e., the bounds  $A_c - \Delta$  and  $A_c + \Delta$  are symmetric) and let  $X^s$  be the set of solutions of (2.1) corresponding to systems with symmetric matrices only:

$$X^s = \{x; Ax = b \text{ for some } A \in A^I, b \in b^I, A \text{ symmetric}\}.$$

Again,  $[y, \bar{y}]$  is called an enclosure of  $X^s$  if  $X^s \subseteq [y, \bar{y}]$  holds. The narrowest enclosure is  $[\underline{x}^s, \bar{x}^s]$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{x}_i^s &= \min_{X^s} x_i, \\ \bar{x}_i^s &= \max_{X^s} x_i \end{aligned}$$

for each  $i$ . We have an analogous result:

**Theorem 2** *Suppose there exists a polynomial-time algorithm which for each strongly regular symmetric  $n \times n$  interval matrix  $A^I$  and each  $b^I$  (both with rational bounds) computes a rational enclosure  $[y, \bar{y}]$  of  $X^s$  satisfying*

$$\bar{x}_i^s \leq \bar{y}_i \leq \bar{x}_i^s + \frac{1}{4n^4}$$

*for each  $i$ . Then  $P=NP$ .*



*Proof.* The system (5.4) constructed in the proof of Theorem 1 has a symmetric interval matrix  $A^I$  and each  $\bar{x}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , is achieved at the solution of a system whose matrix is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I & 0 \\ -I & 0 & A^{-1} \\ 0 & A^{-1} & A^{-1} - \beta z z^T \end{pmatrix}$$

(eq. (5.8)), hence it is symmetric (since an  $MC$ -matrix  $A$  is symmetric). Thus we have

$$\bar{x}_i = \bar{x}_i^s$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and the proof of Theorem 1 applies to this case as well.  $\square$

# Bibliography

- [1] M. E. Garey and D. S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*, Freeman, San Francisco 1979
- [2] G. H. Golub and C. F. van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore 1983
- [3] S. Poljak and J. Rohn, *Checking robust nonsingularity is NP-hard*, Math. Control Signals Syst. 6(1993), 1-9
- [4] J. Rohn, *Systems of linear interval equations*, Lin. Alg. Appls. 126(1989), 39-78
- [5] J. Rohn and V. Kreinovich, *Computing exact componentwise bounds on solutions of linear systems with interval data is NP-hard*, to appear in SIAM J. Matr. Anal. Appl., April 1995