



národní  
úložiště  
šedé  
literatury

## **Approximation of the Mixed-Hybrid Formulation of the Porous Media Flow Problem**

Maryška, Jiří  
1994

Dostupný z <http://www.nusl.cz/ntk/nusl-33564>

Dílo je chráněno podle autorského zákona č. 121/2000 Sb.

Tento dokument byl stažen z Národního úložiště šedé literatury (NUŠL).

Datum stažení: 22.05.2024

Další dokumenty můžete najít prostřednictvím vyhledávacího rozhraní [nusl.cz](http://www.nusl.cz) .

**APPROXIMATION OF THE  
MIXED-HYBRID FORMULATION OF THE  
POROUS MEDIA FLOW PROBLEM <sup>1</sup>**

Jiří Maryška

Technical report No. 609

**Institute of Computer Science, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic  
Pod vodárenskou věží 2, 182 07 Prague 8, Czech Republic  
phone: (+422) 66414244 fax: (+422) 8585789  
e-mail: uivt@uivt.cas.cz**

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported by the GA CR under the grant no. 201/93/0067, AMS classification: 65N30, 65K10, 73C99

**APPROXIMATION OF THE  
MIXED-HYBRID FORMULATION OF THE  
POROUS MEDIA FLOW PROBLEM <sup>1</sup>**

Jiří Maryška

Technical report No. 609

**Abstract**

The porous media flow problem with a mixed boundary conditions is considered. Mixed-hybrid formulation of porous media flow problem is described and the existence and uniqueness of the solution is proved. Mixed-hybrid finite element method is used for approximate solutions. A-priori error estimates are derived with some conditions for convergence.

**Keywords**

Porous media flow problem, hybrid-mixed formulation, finite element method

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported by the GA CR under the grant no. 201/93/0067, AMS classification: 65N30, 65K10, 73C99

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with a piecewise smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . The porous media flow problem is described by Darcy's law

$$\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\nabla p; \quad (1.1)$$

and the continuity equation for incompressible fluid

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = q, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}$  is a velocity of the flow,  $p$  is a piezometric head,  $q$  is a density of sources and  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  is positive definite tensor of permeability of porous media. (i.e. there exists  $\alpha_0 > 0$  such that  $\sum_{i,j \leq 3} [\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})]_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq \alpha_0 \sum_{i \leq 3} \xi_i^2$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and almost everywhere on  $\Omega$ ). Further we suppose  $[\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})]_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , for  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . From macroscopic point of view it is necessary to involve the tectonical discontinuity into permeability tensor.

The boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is composed from two parts. It holds  $\partial\Omega = \overline{\partial\Omega_D} \cup \overline{\partial\Omega_N}$ ,  $\partial\Omega_D \cap \partial\Omega_N = \emptyset$ . The following boundary conditions are considered

$$p = p_D \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega_D, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n} = u_N \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega_N, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}$  denotes the unit outward normal to boundary  $\partial\Omega$  (It exists almost everywhere).

## 2 Mixed-hybrid formulation of the porous media flow problem, existence and uniqueness of solution

Consider the decomposition  $\mathcal{E}_h$  of domain  $\Omega$  by elements  $e_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , such that it is valid (see [8])

- (i)  $\overline{\Omega} = \cup_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \overline{e}$ ;
- (ii)  $e_i \cap e_j = \emptyset$ , if  $i \neq j$ ;
- (iii)  $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$  is open subset  $\Omega$  with a piecewise smooth boundary  $\partial e$ .

We shall denote by  $\Gamma_h = \cup_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \partial e - \partial\Omega_D$  the structure of faces of elements from which we shall exclude the faces with Dirichlet boundary conditions  $\partial\Omega_D$ . Define following spaces on the decompositions  $\mathcal{E}_h$ ,  $\Gamma_h$ :

$$\mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h) = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega); \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e \in L^2(e), \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_h \} \quad (2.1)$$

with the norm

$$\| \mathbf{v} \|_{\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h} = [ \| \mathbf{v} \|_{0, \Omega}^2 + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \| \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e \|_{0, e}^2 ]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\mathbf{v}^e$  denotes the restriction of vector function  $\mathbf{v}$  on the element  $e$  and

$$H_D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h) = \{ \mu : \Gamma_h \rightarrow R; \exists \varphi \in H_D^1(\Omega), \mu^e = \gamma_h \varphi^e, \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_h \}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $H_D^1(\Omega) = \{ \varphi \in H^1(\Omega); \gamma \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_D \}$ ,  $\gamma_h$  is the trace mapping of functions from  $H_D^1(\Omega)$  on the structure of faces  $\Gamma_h$  and  $\gamma$  is the trace mapping on  $\partial\Omega$ . In the functional space  $H_D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h)$  we define norm

$$\| \mu \|_{\frac{1}{2}, \Gamma_h} = \inf_{\varphi \in H_D^1(\Omega)} \{ |\varphi|_{1, \Omega}; \gamma_h \varphi = \mu \text{ na } \Gamma_h \}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $|\varphi|_{1, \Omega} = (\nabla \varphi, \nabla \varphi)_{0, \Omega}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Further let

$$\mathbf{W}_{D,h} = \mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h) \times L^2(\Omega) \times H_D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h) \quad (2.5)$$

be function space with standard norm

$$\| \mathbf{w} \|_{\mathbf{W}, \Omega} = ( \| \mathbf{v} \|_{\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h}^2 + \| \phi \|_{0, \Omega}^2 + \| \mu \|_{\frac{1}{2}, \Gamma_h}^2 )^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.6)$$

We introduce a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w})$  on the product  $\mathbf{W}_{D,h} \times \mathbf{W}_{D,h}$  by relations

$$\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \mathcal{B}_e(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^e, \mathbf{w}^e), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_e(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^e, \mathbf{w}^e) &= (\mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e, \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e} - (\tilde{\phi}^e, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e} - (\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e, \phi^e)_{0,e} + \\ &+ \langle \tilde{\mu}^e, \mathbf{n}^e \cdot \mathbf{v}^e \rangle_{\partial e} + \langle \mathbf{n}^e \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e, \mu^e \rangle_{\partial e}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}^e$  denotes the unit outward normal to  $\partial e$ . Further we define a linear continuous functional on  $\mathbf{W}_{D,h}$  by formula

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \{ - (q^e, \phi^e)_{0,e} - \langle p_D^e, \mathbf{n}^e \cdot \mathbf{v}^e \rangle_{\partial e \cap \partial\Omega_D} + \langle u_N^e, \mu^e \rangle_{\partial e \cap \partial\Omega_N} \}. \quad (2.9)$$

**DEFINITION 1.1:** The function  $\mathbf{w}^* \in \mathbf{W}_{D,h}$  is said to be a weak solution of mixed-hybrid formulation of porous media flow problem described by equations (1.2), (1.1) using with boundary conditions (1.3), (1.4), the decomposition  $\mathcal{E}_h$  of domain  $\Omega$  and structure of faces  $\Gamma_h$ , if

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{w}^*, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{w}), \quad \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{W}_{D,h}. \quad (2.10)$$

Now we shall prove some lemmas, which introduce some functions important for showed of existence and uniqueness of weak solution.

**LEMMA 1.1:** *Let us choose  $\mu \in H_D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h)$  and let  $\varphi \in H_D^1(\Omega)$  be a function such that for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$  will be  $\varphi^e$  weak solution of problem*

$$- \nabla \cdot \nabla \varphi^e = 0 \text{ in } e, \quad (2.11)$$

with boundary condition

$$\varphi^e = \mu^e \quad \text{on } \partial e. \quad (2.12)$$

Then

$$|\varphi|_{1,\Omega}^2 = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_{\partial e} \frac{\partial \varphi^e}{\partial \mathbf{n}^e} \mu^e dS = \|\mu\|_{\frac{1}{2}, \Gamma_h}^2. \quad (2.13)$$

PROOF: Applying the Green formula to equation  $-(\nabla \cdot \nabla \varphi^e, \varphi^e)_{0,e} = 0$  and considering boundary condition (2.12), we obtain

$$|\varphi^e|_{1,e}^2 = \int_{\partial e} \frac{\partial \varphi^e}{\partial \mathbf{n}^e} \mu^e dS. \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.14) we get the left equality in (2.13). From the variational formulation of problem (2.11) with boundary condition (2.12) we can write:

$$|\varphi^e|_{1,e}^2 = \inf_{\phi \in H_D^1(\Omega)} \{ |\phi^e|_{1,e}^2 ; \phi^e = \mu^e \text{ on } \partial e \} = \|\mu^e\|_{\frac{1}{2}, \partial e}^2, \quad (2.15)$$

which implies (2.13).  $\square$

Let us denote

$$|\mathbf{A}| = \sup_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{0,\Omega}=1} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})_{0,\Omega}. \quad (2.16)$$

REMARK 1.1: Let  $\mu \in H_D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h)$  and let  $\tilde{\varphi}$  be a function such that for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$  will be  $\tilde{\varphi}^e$  weak solution of equation (2.11) with boundary condition  $\tilde{\varphi}^e = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|} \mu^e$  on the  $\partial e$ . Then

$$|\tilde{\varphi}|_{1,\Omega}^2 = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} |\tilde{\varphi}^e|_{1,e}^2 = |\mathbf{A}|^{-2} \|\mu\|_{\frac{1}{2}, \Gamma_h}^2. \quad (2.17)$$

LEMMA 1.2: Let  $\mu \in H_D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h)$  and  $\phi \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Let  $\psi$  be the solution of the following problem

$$-\nabla \cdot \nabla \psi = \phi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\psi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_D, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_N. \quad (2.19)$$

Then

$$\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \langle \mu^e, \nabla \psi^e \cdot \mathbf{n}^e \rangle_{\partial e} = 0. \quad (2.20)$$

PROOF: Because  $\mu \in H_D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h)$  there exists  $\varphi \in H_D^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\gamma_h \varphi = \mu$ . Using the Green formula we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \langle \mu^e, \nabla \psi^e \cdot \mathbf{n}^e \rangle_{\partial e} &= \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} [(\varphi^e, \nabla \cdot \nabla \psi^e)_{0,e} + (\nabla \varphi^e, \nabla \psi^e)_{0,e}] = \\ &= (\varphi, \nabla \cdot \nabla \psi)_{0,\Omega} + (\nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi)_{0,\Omega} = \langle \gamma \varphi, \nabla \psi \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial\Omega} = \\ &= \langle \gamma \varphi, \nabla \psi \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial\Omega_N} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

$\square$

REMARK 1.2: There exists  $C_\Omega$  depending only on domain  $\Omega$  such that

$$|\psi|_{1,\Omega} \leq C_\Omega \|\phi\|_{0,\Omega}. \quad (2.22)$$

For  $C_\Omega$  and  $|\mathbf{A}|$  (see also (2.16))  $\tilde{\psi}$  is a weak solution of the problem

$$-\nabla \cdot \nabla \tilde{\psi} = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|C_\Omega^2} \phi \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (2.23)$$

with boundary conditions (2.19). Then using (2.22) we get

$$|\tilde{\psi}|_{1,\Omega} \leq \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|C_\Omega} \|\phi\|_{0,\Omega}. \quad (2.24)$$

**THEOREM 1.1:** *Mixed-hybrid formulation porous media flow problem defined by equation (2.10) has a unique solution  $\mathbf{w}^* \in \mathbf{W}_{D,h}$ .*

PROOF. First we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu^e, \mathbf{v}^e \cdot \mathbf{n}^e \rangle_{\partial e} &= (\nabla \phi^e, \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e} + (\phi^e, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e} \leq \\ &\leq \|\phi^e\|_{1,e} \|\mathbf{v}^e\|_{div,e} = \|\mu^e\|_{\frac{1}{2},\partial e} \|\mathbf{v}^e\|_{div,e}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Choosing  $\mathbf{w}^e = (\mathbf{v}^e, \phi^e, \mu^e)$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^e = (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e, \tilde{\phi}^e, \tilde{\mu}^e)$  and applying the Schwartz inequality to the bilinear form (2.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_e(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^e, \mathbf{w}^e)| &\leq |\mathbf{A}| \|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e\|_{0,e} \|\mathbf{v}^e\|_{0,e} + \|\tilde{\phi}^e\|_{0,e} \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e\|_{0,e} + \\ &+ \|\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e\|_{0,e} \|\phi^e\|_{0,e} + \|\tilde{\mu}^e\|_{\frac{1}{2},\partial e} \|\mathbf{v}^e\|_{div,e} + \\ &+ \|\mu^e\|_{\frac{1}{2},\partial e} \|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e\|_{div,e} \leq \\ &\leq 2 \max\{|\mathbf{A}|, 1\} \|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^e\|_{\mathbf{W},e} \cdot \|\mathbf{w}^e\|_{\mathbf{W},e}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Introduce  $C_1 = 2 \max\{|\mathbf{A}|, 1\}$  and  $a_0 = \inf_{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})_{0,\Omega}=1} (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})_{0,\Omega} > 0$ . For any  $\mathbf{w}^e = (\mathbf{v}^e, \phi^e, \mu^e)$  we choose  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^e = (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e, \tilde{\phi}^e, \tilde{\mu}^e)$  in the following

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}^e &= -2\phi^e - 2\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e &= 2\mathbf{v}^e + 2\nabla \tilde{\phi}^e + \nabla \tilde{\psi}^e, \\ \tilde{\mu}^e &= -2\mu^e, \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where  $\tilde{\phi}^e$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  were defined above. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_e(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^e, \mathbf{w}^e) &= (\mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e, \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e} - (\tilde{\phi}^e, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e} - (\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e, \phi^e)_{0,e} + \\ &+ \langle \tilde{\mu}^e, \mathbf{v}^e \cdot \mathbf{n}^e \rangle_{\partial e} + \langle \mu^e, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e \cdot \mathbf{n}^e \rangle_{\partial e} \geq \\ &\geq \min\left\{\frac{a_0}{2}, 2, \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|}, \frac{1}{2|\mathbf{A}|C_\Omega^2}\right\} \cdot \|\mathbf{w}^e\|_{\mathbf{W},e}^2 + \langle \mu^e, \nabla \tilde{\psi}^e \cdot \mathbf{n}^e \rangle_{\partial e} = \\ &= k(a_0, |\mathbf{A}|, C_\Omega) \cdot \|\mathbf{w}^e\|_{\mathbf{W},e}^2 + \langle \mu^e, \nabla \tilde{\psi}^e \cdot \mathbf{n}^e \rangle_{\partial e}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$k(a_0, |\mathbf{A}|, C_\Omega) = \min\left[\frac{a_0}{2}, 2, \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|}, \frac{1}{2|\mathbf{A}|C_\Omega^2}\right]. \quad (2.28)$$

For the components of the function  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e)_{0,e} &\leq 7 \|\mathbf{v}^e\|_{0,e}^2 + \frac{7}{|\mathbf{A}|^2} \|\mu^e\|_{\frac{1}{2},\partial e}^2 + \frac{3}{|\mathbf{A}|^2 C_\Omega^2} \|\phi^e\|_{1,e}^2, \\
(\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e, \nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^e)_{0,e} &\leq \left(4 + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}| C_\Omega^2}\right) \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e\|_{0,e}^2 + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}| C_\Omega^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}| C_\Omega^2}\right) \|\phi^e\|_{0,e}^2, \\
(\tilde{\phi}^e, \tilde{\phi}^e)_{0,e} &\leq 6 \|\phi^e\|_{0,e}^2 + 2 \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e\|_{0,e}^2, \\
\|\tilde{\mu}^e\|_{\frac{1}{2},\partial e}^2 &= 4 \|\mu^e\|_{\frac{1}{2},\partial e}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^e\|_{\mathbf{W},e}^2 \leq K^2(|\mathbf{A}|, C_\Omega) \|\mathbf{w}^e\|_{\mathbf{W},e}^2,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
K(|\mathbf{A}|, C_\Omega) &= \left\{ \max \left\{ \left[ 6 + \frac{3}{|\mathbf{A}|^2 C_\Omega^2} + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}| C_\Omega^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}| C_\Omega^2}\right) \right], 7, \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left[ 6 + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}| C_\Omega^2} \right], \left[ 4 + \frac{7}{|\mathbf{A}|^2} \right] \right\} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{B}_e(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^e, \mathbf{w}^e) \geq \frac{k}{K} \|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^e\|_{\mathbf{W},e} \|\mathbf{w}^e\|_{\mathbf{W},e} + \langle \mu^e, \nabla \tilde{\psi}^e \cdot \mathbf{n}^e \rangle_{\partial e}$$

and summing up we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \mathcal{B}_e(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^e, \mathbf{w}^e) \geq \frac{k}{K} \|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}\|_{\mathbf{W},\Omega} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{W},\Omega}.$$

Introducing

$$C_2 = \frac{k}{K} > 0 \tag{2.29}$$

we get

$$\inf_{\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}\|_{\mathbf{W},h}=1} \sup_{\|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{W},h} \leq 1} \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w}) \geq C_2. \tag{2.30}$$

From the symmetry of the bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w})$  follows immediately

$$\inf_{\|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{W},h}=1} \sup_{\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}\|_{\mathbf{W},h} \leq 1} \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{w}) \geq C_2. \tag{2.31}$$

By [1] Theorem 2.1. there exists unique solution of problem (2.10), satisfying

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}\|_{\mathbf{W},h} \leq \frac{1}{C_2} \{ \|q\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|p_D\|_{\frac{1}{2},\partial e}^2 + \|u_N\|_{-\frac{1}{2},\partial e}^2 \}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.32}$$

where  $\|u_N\|_{-\frac{1}{2},\partial e}^2 = \inf_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_N(\text{div},\Omega)} \{ \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\text{div},\Omega}; u_N = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \text{ on } \partial\Omega_N \}$ .

Constants  $C_1 = 2 \max\{|\mathbf{A}|, 1\}$ ,  $C_2 = \frac{k}{K} > 0$  are independent on the decomposition  $\mathcal{E}_h$  of domain  $\Omega$ .  $\square$



REMARK 1.3: Let  $p^0$  be a classical solution of equation  $-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1} \nabla p = q$  with boundary conditions (1.3), (1.4). Consider  $\mathbf{w}^0$  in the form  $\mathbf{w}^0 = (\mathbf{u}^0, p^0, p^0|_{\Gamma_h})$ . Then  $\mathbf{w}^0 \in \mathbf{W}_{D,h}$  and for any  $\Omega' \subset \Omega$  such that  $\overline{\Omega'} \subset \Omega$  is  $p^0 \in H^2(\Omega')$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}^{0e}, \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e \cap \Omega'} - (p^{0e}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e \cap \Omega'} + \langle p^{0e}|_{\Gamma_h}, \mathbf{n}^e \cdot \mathbf{v}^e \rangle_{(\partial e \cap \Omega'_N) \cup (e \cap \partial \Omega'_N)} \\ & = \langle p^{0e}, \mathbf{n}^e \cdot \mathbf{v}^e \rangle_{e \cap \partial \Omega'_D}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

Here  $\partial \Omega'_D$ , resp.  $\partial \Omega'_N$ , approximate parts of boundary  $\partial \Omega_D$ , resp.  $\partial \Omega_N$ , and  $\Omega'_N = \Omega' \cup \partial \Omega'_N$ .

From the continuity of  $p^0$  and  $\nabla p^0$  in the domain  $\Omega$  and from the equation  $-\mathbf{A}^{-1} \frac{\partial p^0}{\partial \mathbf{n}^e} = \mathbf{u}^{0e} \cdot \mathbf{n}^e$  on  $\partial \Omega$  it follows for  $\Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}^{0e}, \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e \cap \Omega'} \rightarrow (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}^{0e}, \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e}, \\ & (p^{0e}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e \cap \Omega'} \rightarrow (p^{0e}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e}, \\ & \langle p^{0e}|_{\Gamma_h}, \mathbf{n}^e \cdot \mathbf{v}^e \rangle_{(\partial e \cap \Omega'_N) \cup (e \cap \partial \Omega'_N)} \rightarrow \langle p_D, \mathbf{n}^e \cdot \mathbf{v}^e \rangle_{\partial e \cap \partial \Omega_N}, \\ & \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \langle \mathbf{u}^{0e} \cdot \mathbf{n}^e, \mu^e \rangle_{e \cap \partial \Omega'} \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{u}^0 \cdot \mathbf{n}, \mu \rangle_{\partial \Omega_N} = \langle u_N, \mu \rangle_{\partial \Omega_N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

Now for any  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{W}_{D,h}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{w}^0, \mathbf{w}) &= \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \mathcal{B}_e(\mathbf{w}^{0e}, \mathbf{w}^e) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \{ (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}^{0e}, \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e} - \\ & -(p^{0e}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e)_{0,e} - (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{0e}, \phi^e)_{0,e} + \langle p^{0e}, \mathbf{n}^e \cdot \mathbf{v}^e \rangle_{\partial e} + \langle \mathbf{n}^e \cdot \mathbf{u}^{0e}, \mu^e \rangle_{\partial e} \} = \\ & = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \{ -(q^e, \phi^e)_{0,e} - \langle p_D^e, \mathbf{n}^e \cdot \mathbf{v}^e \rangle_{\partial e \cap \partial \Omega_D} + \langle u_N^e, \mu^e \rangle_{\partial e \cap \partial \Omega_N} \} = \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{w}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\mathbf{w}^0$  solves equation (2.10) and considering uniqueness we have  $\mathbf{w}^0 = \mathbf{w}^*$ .

### 3 Approximation of mixed-hybrid formulation

Assume the decomposition  $\mathcal{E}_h$  of the domain  $\Omega$  strongly regular, i.e. there exists constant  $C_0$  independent on  $\mathcal{E}_h$  such that

$$\max_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \frac{h_e}{\rho_e} \leq C_0, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $h^e = \text{diam } e$  and  $\rho_e$  denotes the diameter of the spheres inscribed in  $e$ .

Let us introduce the class of polynomials of degree at most  $k$  in  $e$   $P_k(e)$  and for  $k, r, t \in \mathbb{N}$  we define spaces

$$P_k(\mathcal{E}_h) = \{ \phi_h \in L^2(\Omega); \phi_h^e \in P_k(e), \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_h \}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_r(\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h) = \{ \mathbf{v}_h; \mathbf{v}_h^e \in \mathbf{H}(\text{div}, e), \mathbf{v}_h^e \in [P_r(e)]^3, \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_h \}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$H_{D,t}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h) = \{ \mu_h \in H_D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h); \exists \varphi_h^e \in P_t(e), \mu_h^e = \gamma \varphi_h^e, \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_h \}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{D,h(k,r,t)} = \mathbf{H}_r(\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h) \times P_k(\mathcal{E}_h) \times H_{D,t}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h) \subset \mathbf{W}_{D,h}. \quad (3.5)$$

DEFINITION 2.1: The function  $\mathbf{w}_h^* \in \mathbf{W}_{D,h(k,r,t)}$  is the approximation of mixed-hybrid formulation, if holds

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{w}_h^*, \mathbf{w}_h) = \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{w}_h), \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_h \in \mathbf{W}_{D,h(k,r,t)}, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ , resp.  $\mathcal{Q}(\cdot)$ , was defined (2.7), resp. (2.9).

We shall show some conditions for existence unique solution of (3.6). First we introduce necessary conditions.

LEMMA 2.1: Assume there exists a unique solution of (3.6), then

(i)  $\forall \mu_h \in H_{D,t}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h)$  :

$$\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \langle \mathbf{v}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}^e, \mu_h \rangle_{\partial e} = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H}_r(\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h) \implies \mu_h = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

(ii)  $\forall \varphi_h \in P_k(\mathcal{E}_h)$  :

$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h, \varphi_h)_{0,e} = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H}_r(\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h) \implies \varphi_h^e = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

PROOF. Let (i) be invalid, then there exists  $\hat{\mu}_h \in H_{D,t}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h)$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_h \neq 0$  such that

$$\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \langle \mathbf{v}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}^e, \hat{\mu}_h \rangle_{\partial e} = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H}_r(\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h).$$

Then  $\mathcal{B}((\mathbf{0}, 0, \hat{\mu}_h), \mathbf{w}_h) = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{w}_h \in \mathbf{W}_{D,h(k,r,t)}$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{w}_{0h}^* = (\mathbf{0}, 0, 0)$  is not unique solution of (3.6) for  $q = 0$ ,  $p_D = 0$ ,  $u_N = 0$ .

Let (ii) is invalid, then there exists  $\hat{\varphi}_h \in P_k(\mathcal{E}_h)$ ,  $\hat{\varphi}_h^e \neq 0$  such that

$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e, \hat{\varphi}_h^e)_{0,e} = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}^e \in \mathbf{H}_r(\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h).$$

Then  $\mathcal{B}((\mathbf{0}, \hat{\varphi}_h, 0), \mathbf{w}_h) = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{w}_h \in \mathbf{W}_{D,h(k,r,t)}$ , and so  $\mathbf{w}_{h0}^* = (\mathbf{0}, 0, 0)$  is not unique solution of (3.6) for  $q = 0$ ,  $p_D = 0$ ,  $u_N = 0$ .  $\square$

For (3.7) is necessary  $\{\mathbf{v}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}^e; \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H}_r(\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h), e \in \mathcal{E}_h\}$  generated complete system of functionals on  $H_{D,t}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h)$ . Let us choose  $\mu_h \in H_{D,t}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h)$  and consider the problem

$$-\nabla \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi}_h = 0 \quad \text{in } e, \quad \tilde{\varphi}_h = \mu_h \quad \text{on } \partial e.$$

For  $\mathbf{v}_h = \nabla \tilde{\varphi}_h \in [P_{t-1}(e)]^3$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$  we get

$$\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \langle \nabla \tilde{\varphi}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}^e, \mu_h \rangle_{\partial e} = |\tilde{\varphi}_h|_{1,\Omega}^2 = \|\mu_h\|_{\frac{1}{2},\Gamma_h}^2. \quad (3.9)$$

For fulfilment (3.7) it is necessary to satisfy  $r \geq t - 1$ .

For (3.8) it is necessary  $\{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h; \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H}_r(\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h)\}$  to generate the complete system of functionals on  $P_k(\mathcal{E}_h)$ . From that we have the condition  $r \geq k + 1$ .

Let  $\phi_h \in P_k(\mathcal{E}_h)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_h \in H^1(\Omega)$  be a solution of the equation

$$-\nabla \cdot \nabla \tilde{\psi}_h = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|C_\Omega^2} \phi_h \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (3.10)$$

with mixed boundary conditions

$$\tilde{\psi}_h = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_D, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_h}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_N. \quad (3.11)$$

Then

$$\nabla \tilde{\psi}_h \in \mathbf{H}_r(\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h). \quad (3.12)$$

We introduce

$$\mathbf{H}_0(\text{div}, e) = \{ \mathbf{v}^e \in [P_r(e)]^3; \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^e = 0 \}. \quad (3.13)$$

**THEOREM 2.1:** *Let  $r = k + 1 \geq t - 1$ . Then there exists unique solution of (3.6).*

**PROOF.** For  $\mathbf{w}_h^e = (\mathbf{v}_h^e, \phi_h^e, \mu_h^e)$  we choose  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^e = (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_h^e, \tilde{\phi}_h^e, \tilde{\mu}_h^e)$  in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_h^e &= 2\mathbf{v}_h^e + 2\nabla \tilde{\varphi}_h^e + \nabla \tilde{\psi}_h^e, \\ \tilde{\phi}_h^e &= -2\phi_h^e - 2\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h^e, \\ \tilde{\mu}_h^e &= -2\mu_h^e. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_e(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^e, \mathbf{w}_h^e) &= 2(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_h^e, \mathbf{v}_h^e)_{0,e} + 2(\mathbf{A}\nabla \tilde{\varphi}_h^e, \mathbf{v}_h^e)_{0,e} + (\mathbf{A}\nabla \tilde{\psi}_h^e, \mathbf{v}_h^e)_{0,e} + \\ &+ (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h^e, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h^e)_{0,e} - (\nabla \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi}_h^e, \phi_h^e)_{0,e} - (\nabla \cdot \nabla \tilde{\psi}_h^e, \phi_h^e)_{0,e} + \\ &+ 2 \langle \mu_h^e, \nabla \tilde{\varphi}_h^e \cdot \mathbf{n}^e \rangle_{\partial e} + \langle \mu_h^e, \nabla \tilde{\psi}_h^e \cdot \mathbf{n}^e \rangle_{\partial e}. \end{aligned}$$

$\nabla \tilde{\varphi}_h^e \in \mathbf{H}_0(e)$  and therefore  $(\nabla \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi}_h^e, \phi_h^e)_{0,e} = 0$ .

Further analogously to the Theorem 1.1 we get

$$\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h, \mathbf{w}_h) \geq k \|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{\mathbf{W},h}^2, \quad (3.14)$$

and because  $\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h\|_{\mathbf{W},h} \leq K \|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{\mathbf{W},h}$ , we obtain

$$\inf_{\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{\mathbf{W},h}=1} \sup_{\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h\|_{\mathbf{W},h} \leq 1} |\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)| \geq C_2. \quad (3.15)$$

The bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)$  is symmetric and so

$$\inf_{\|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h\|_{\mathbf{W},h}=1} \sup_{\|\mathbf{w}_h\|_{\mathbf{W},h} \leq 1} |\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h, \mathbf{w}_h)| \geq C_2. \quad (3.16)$$

According to [1] there exists unique solution of (3.6) and following estimate is valid

$$\|\mathbf{w}^* - \mathbf{w}_h^*\|_{\mathbf{W}_{D,h}} \leq \left(1 + \frac{C_1}{C_2}\right) \inf_{\mathbf{w}_h \in \mathbf{W}_{D,h}(r,k,t)} \|\mathbf{w}^* - \mathbf{w}_h\|_{\mathbf{W}_{D,h}} \quad (3.17)$$

□

Let  $s$  is integer and we introduce the Sobolev space  $H^s(\Omega)$  (see [7]). We denote by  $\|\cdot\|_{s,\Omega}$  the norm of the space  $H^s(\Omega)$ .

LEMMA 2.2: *Let  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for any  $\varphi \in H^s(\Omega)$ ,  $s \geq 0$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists constant  $K_1 > 0$  independent on  $h$  and function  $\varphi_h \in P_k(\mathcal{E}_h)$  such that*

$$\|\varphi - \varphi_h\|_{0,\Omega} \leq K_1 h^{\alpha_1} \|\varphi\|_{s,\Omega}, \quad (3.18)$$

where  $\alpha_1 = \min\{k+1, s\}$ .

LEMMA 2.3: *Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for any  $\mathbf{u}^e \in [H^m(e)]^3$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists constant  $K_2 > 0$  independent on  $h$  and function  $\mathbf{u}_h \in \mathbf{H}_r(\text{div}, \mathcal{E}_h)$  such that*

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\text{div},\Omega} \leq K_2 h_e^{\alpha_2} \|\mathbf{u}^e\|_{m,\Omega}, \quad (3.19)$$

where  $\alpha_2 = \min\{r, m-1\}$ .

LEMMA 2.4: *Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

$$H_{D,t}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h) = \{\mu_h \in H_D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h); \exists \varphi_h^e \in P_t(e), \mu_h^e = \gamma_h \varphi_h^e, \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_h\}. \quad (3.20)$$

Then for any  $\varphi \in H^\ell(\Omega)$ ,  $\ell \geq 1$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu = \varphi$  on  $\Gamma_h$  there exists constant  $K_3 > 0$  independent on  $h$  and function  $\mu_h \in H_{D,t}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h)$  such that

$$\|\mu - \mu_h\|_{\frac{1}{2},\Gamma_h} \leq K_3 h^{\alpha_3} \|\varphi\|_{\ell,\Omega}, \quad (3.21)$$

where  $\alpha_3 = \min\{t, \ell-1\}$ .

Proof of inequalities (3.18), (3.19) is introduced in [2]. Inequality (3.21) follows immediately from the definition of norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\frac{1}{2},\Gamma_h}$ .

If  $\varphi_h \in H_D^1(\Omega) \cap P_t(e)$ , for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$  and  $\varphi_h|_{\Gamma_h} = \mu_h \in H_{D,t}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_h)$ , then we get the inequality

$$\|\mu - \mu_h\|_{\frac{1}{2},\Gamma_h} \leq |\varphi - \varphi_h|_{1,\Omega} \quad (3.22)$$

THEOREM 2.2: *Let  $p^0 \in H^\ell(\Omega)$ ,  $\ell \geq 2$  be the exact solution of equation  $-\nabla \cdot \nabla p = q$  and let  $r = k+1 \geq t-1$ .*

*Let  $\mathbf{w}_h^* = (\mathbf{u}_h, p_h, \lambda_h) \in \mathbf{W}_{D(r,k,t)}$  be the solution of problem (3.6).*

*Let us denote*

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{w}} = (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{u}}, \varepsilon_p, \varepsilon_\lambda), = (-\mathbf{A}^{-1} \nabla p^0 - \mathbf{u}_h, p^0 - p_h, p^0|_{\Gamma_h} - \lambda_h),$$

$$\alpha = \min\{r, t, \ell-1\},$$

$$C^* = \left(1 + \frac{C_1}{C_2}\right) \max\{K_1, K_2, K_3\}.$$

*Then*

$$\| \varepsilon_{\mathbf{w}} \|_{\mathbf{W}, \Omega} \leq C^* h^\alpha \left[ \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \| p^{0e} \|_{\ell, e}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.23)$$

PROOF. The statement follows from [1], assertion of the Theorem 2.1. and from lemmas 2.2 , 2.3 and 2.4.  $\square$

# Bibliography

- [1] I. Babuška, *Error Bounds for Finite Element Method*, Numerische Mathematik **16** (1971), 322–333.
- [2] P.G. Ciarlet, *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*, North-Holland publishing company, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [3] E.F. Kaasschieter, A.J.M. Huijben, *Mixed-hybrid finite elements and streamline computation for the potential flow problem*, Report PN-90-02-A, TNO Institute of Applied Geoscience, Delft, 1990.
- [4] J. Maryška, D. Frydrych, *Mixed-hybrid method finite elements for the potential flow problem*, Proceedings of mathematics in Liberec, 1993.
- [5] J. Maryška, M. Rozložník, M. Tůma, *Mixed-hybrid finite element approximation of the potential fluid flow problem*, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Report No. 605, 1994.
- [6] J. Maryška, M. Rozložník, M. Tůma, *Numerical experiments with the mixed-hybrid approximation in the underground water flow modelling*, in preparation, .
- [7] J. Nečas, *Les methodes directes en theorie des equations elliptiques*, Academia, Praha, 1967.
- [8] J.T. Oden, J.K. Lee, *Dual-mixed hybrid finite element method for second-order elliptic problems*, In: Mathematical Aspects of Finite Element Methods, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 606, Ed. I. Galliani, E. Magenes, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1977), 275-291.
- [9] P.A. Raviart, J.M. Thomas, *A mixed finite element method for 2-nd order elliptic problems*, In: Mathematical Aspects of Finite Element Methods, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 606, Ed. I. Galliani, E. Magenes, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1977), 292-315.