národní
úložiště
šedé
literatury

Evaluating the Links Between the Financial and Real Sectors in a Small Open Economy

Konečný, Tomáš ; Babecká Kucharčuková, Oxana
2013

Dostupný z http://www.nusl.cz/ntk/nusl-178228

CNB CZECH
NATIONAL BANK

WORKING PAPER SERIES

**Evaluating the Links Between the Financial and Real Sectors
in a Small Open Economy: The Case of the Czech Republic**

Tomáš Konečný
Oxana Babecká Kucharčuková

10/2013

CNB WORKING PAPER SERIES

The Working Paper Series of the Czech National Bank (CNB) is intended to disseminate the results of the CNB's research projects as well as the other research activities of both the staff of the CNB and collaborating outside contributors, including invited speakers. The Series aims to present original research contributions relevant to central banks. It is refereed internationally. The referee process is managed by the CNB Research Department. The working papers are circulated to stimulate discussion. The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official views of the CNB.

Distributed by the Czech National Bank. Available at http://www.cnb.cz.

Evaluating the Links Between the Financial and Real Sectors
in a Small Open Economy: The Case of the Czech Republic

Tomáš Konečný and Oxana Babecká Kucharčuková*

Abstract

Various approaches have been employed to study the possibility of non-linear feedback between the real and financial sector. We employ the threshold Bayesian VAR with block restrictions to evaluate the non-linear dynamics in a small open economy using the example of the Czech Republic. The study combines information on aggregate credit and non-performing loans (NPLs) to find that procyclicality of the financial sector matters for the real economy. A positive shock to credit and a negative shock to NPLs support industrial production over the entire time horizon, yet the responses do not differ substantially across credit spread regimes. Our results also suggest that the responses of the financial sector to real shocks differ depending on the credit market conditions. Finally, the direct impact of foreign factors on lending seems to be rather limited given that the financial sector in the Czech Republic is largely bank-based and funded predominantly by domestic deposits.

Abstrakt

Možné nelineární zpětné vazby mezi reálným a finančním sektorem jsou zkoumány různými přístupy. Tato studie ke zhodnocení významu nelineární dynamiky v malé otevřené ekonomice na příkladu České republiky využívá prahový bayesovský VAR (threshold BVAR) s blokovým omezením. Studie kombinuje informace o celkovém objemu úvěrů a úvěrů v selhání (non-performing loans – NPL) a dochází k závěru, že procyklické chování finančního sektoru má význam pro reálnou ekonomiku. Kladný šok u poskytnutých úvěrů a záporný šok u NPL působí kladně na objem průmyslové výroby v celém časovém horizontu, odezvy se však v jednotlivých režimech úrokového rozpětí příliš neliší. Naše výsledky dále naznačují potenciálně rozdílné reakce finančního sektoru na reálné šoky v závislosti na úvěrových podmínkách. V neposlední řadě se přímý dopad zahraničních faktorů na poskytování úvěrů zdá být poměrně omezený vzhledem ke skutečnosti, že finanční sektor v České republice je do značné míry založen na bankách a financován převážně z tuzemských vkladů.

Nontechnical Summary

The high vulnerability of financial markets worldwide and its adverse effects on real economic activity have been a subject of much debate in recent years. For a rigorous assessment of the impact of one sector on another it is crucial not only to identify possible transmission channels, but also to know whether or not this relationship is linear. Various approaches have been employed to study the possibility of non-linear feedback links between the real and financial sector. The endogeneity of credit markets in the financial accelerator mechanism, the propagating sectoral dynamics of the liquidity channel and, for example, the relevance of the bank capital channel for a subset of (less capitalized) banks each point to the potential importance of non-linearities in applied work.

We use a small empirical model which is generally applied in studies focused on the transmission mechanism in a small open economy and augment it by financial sector aggregates: aggregate credit and non-performing loans (NPLs). The model is estimated as a Bayesian VAR with an endogenous threshold. By allowing for endogenous regime shifts, we impose greater flexibility than in the case of a linear system, so that the potential non-linearities in the transmission of shocks from and to the financial system can be evaluated. The endogenous threshold is determined by the tightness of the credit market conditions, specifically by the value of the credit spread between the rate on newly issued loans and the Pribor rate. Based on this threshold two regimes are identified: *high* (large credit spread) and *low* (small credit spread). The model is estimated for the Czech Republic on monthly data over the period January 2004–March 2012.

Our results indicate that the omission of non-linearities might lead to an imprecise understanding of the interactions and transmission mechanisms between the real economy and the financial sector. Despite the absence of asymmetries in the effects of positive and negative shocks, the magnitude and, less frequently, the timing of the impulse responses differ in the high and low credit spread regimes. We find that shocks to the financial sector matter for the real economy. A positive shock to credit and a negative shock to NPLs support industrial production over the entire time horizon, yet the responses to credit shocks do not differ substantially across credit spread regimes. This finding differs from the results of other studies employing the threshold VAR framework, which report asymmetric feedback from credit to the real economy. Asymmetries are likewise absent from the responses of the real economy to shocks to NPLs.

Turning to shock transmission in the opposite direction, i.e. to financial variables from the real sector, we find the responses to credit shocks are roughly similar across regimes, with the exception of the monetary policy shock, which is more pronounced when the credit spread is small (*low* regime). This finding differs from the results of other studies employing the threshold VAR framework, which report asymmetric feedback from credit to the real economy.

Finally, the direct impact of foreign factors on lending seems to be rather limited and credit volumes tend to be affected more through the domestic production sector of the economy. One possible explanation of this result is the fact that the financial sector in the Czech Republic is largely bank-based and funded predominantly by domestic deposits in the home currency.

1. Introduction

The persisting climate of financial market vulnerability in Europe since the escalation of the recent crisis and its adverse impact on macroeconomic development, combined with the limited operability of "traditional" policy instruments in the presence of the zero lower bound, has intensified the debate on the interactions between the real and financial sectors. The efforts by researchers, industry experts and policymakers have ultimately transformed into a number of both theoretical and empirical studies (for a detailed survey see, for example, BIS 2011), which either build upon existing channels or develop novel ones linking the real and financial sides of the economy. The influential balance sheet or "financial accelerator" framework of Bernanke and Gertler (1995) emphasizes capital market frictions, including moral hazard, asymmetric information and imperfect contract enforcement problems, and the subsequent need for collateral to access credit. As a result, shocks to collateral value arising in the real economy might in turn feed back from the banking sector into real economic activity.[1] The bank lending and bank capital channels instead focus on banks' asset and liability structure. The former channel relies on the inability of banks to fully substitute for lost liabilities in the event of a monetary contraction (Bernanke and Blinder, 1988), while the latter reflects banks' incentives given exogenous shocks to capital and interactions of capital with regulatory requirements. In such a setting, adverse changes to bank capital can have a pronounced impact on the lending of less capitalized banks (Van den Heuvel, 2002; Meh and Moran, 2010). The liquidity channel, as discussed, for example, by Brunnermeier and Pedersen (2009), has received considerable attention, especially due to the spillover mechanisms amplifying the recent financial crisis.[2] Last but not least, apart from the standard channels of transmission, i.e. those considered for the monetary policy transmission mechanism, the regulatory framework matters. The literature on capital requirements has identified additional feedback effects of regulation through shifts in risk-weighted assets in the capital-asset ratio (Borio et al., 2001; Goodhart et al., 2004).

While the majority of macroeconomic models focused on the transmission mechanism assume a linear relation among the dependent variables, the interactions between the real sector and the financial sector are not necessarily linear. The endogeneity of credit markets in the financial accelerator mechanism, the propagating sectoral dynamics of the liquidity channel and, for example, the relevance of the bank capital channel for a subset of (less capitalized) banks each point to the potential importance of non-linearities in applied work. In this study we follow previous literature on the interactions between the financial and real sector and test whether the magnitude of shock transmission depends on the tightness of the credit market conditions.

The contribution of this paper is threefold. First, the present study aims to gauge the non-linear interactions both within and between the real sector and the financial sector. We estimate an empirical model for a small open economy. We augment a specification which is often used for overall analysis of the transmission mechanism by financial sector aggregates and estimate it as a Bayesian threshold VAR (BTVAR). By allowing for regime shifts depending on credit market conditions, we impose greater flexibility than in the case of a linear system, so that the potential non-linearities in the transmission of shocks from the financial system can be evaluated. The second contribution is

---

[1] Given the dominant position of bank credit in the financing of Czech corporates and households, the authors use the terms banking sector and financial sector interchangeably.

[2] Other studies on market and funding liquidity include Wagner (2010) and Strahan (2008).

methodological, as we extend the single-equation Bayesian threshold model by Chen and Lee (1995) into the multiple-equation setting with block restrictions to account for external factors in a small open economy. Third, given that most of the related empirical studies have focused on developed economies (Çatik and Martin 2012 being the sole exception), the study provides complementary evidence on the role of non-linearities for a small open economy.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. The next section provides a brief overview of the empirical evidence on real sector-finance linkages. Section 3 describes the data and methodology. Section 4 presents estimated generalized impulse responses for key variables of interest and discusses the results. Section 5 concludes.

2. Empirical Literature

The empirical links between the real economy and the financial sector have been studied extensively within distinct analytical frameworks and from different perspectives. Most empirical studies on feedback effects rely on the vector autoregression (VAR) methodology, which links key macroeconomic variables with a selected indicator, or selected indicators, of financial sector performance. These studies typically emphasize the link from the real sector to the financial sector using aggregate-level data within standard (possibly cointegrated) vector autoregressions.[3]

The literature, oriented largely on credit risk, emphasizes the role of macroeconomic aggregates in the modelling of default rates or other dimensions of credit risk, and addresses possible feedback effects from banks to the real sector with more or less frequent reference to stress-testing. Alves (2005) and Åsberg Sommar and Shahnazarian (2008) employ cointegration techniques to find a significant relationship between the expected default frequencies published by Moody's and selected macro-variables. Aspachs et al. (2007) use panel VAR techniques to measure the impact of banks' default probabilities on the GDP variables of seven industrialized economies, while global VAR studies by Pesaran et al. (2006) and Castrén et al. (2008) establish links between global macroeconomic and financial factors and firm-level default rates.

A literature building upon the standard monetary policy framework augmented by financial sector variables typically investigates the monetary policy mechanisms and the transmission channels from finance to the real economy. This includes Gilchrist and Zakrajšek (2011), Helbling et al. (2011) and Meeks (2012), who model the links from credit spreads to business cycle indicators, and de Bondt (1998, 1999), Favero et al. (1999), Altunbas et al. (2002), Hristov et al. (2012) and Milcheva (2013), who focus on the bank lending channel in Europe. Research on Central European economies includes Franta et al. (2011), who study the monetary transmission mechanism in the Czech Republic using a time-varying parameters VAR model, and Vilagi and Tamási (2011), who use Hungarian data and rely on a Bayesian structural VAR model to consider different types of credit shocks. Égert and MacDonald (2009) provide a detailed survey covering the Central and Eastern European region.

While the empirical literature spans a long list of macro-studies on feedback effects between the real economy and the banking sector, the role of non-linearities has been studied to a somewhat lesser extent. As the precise nature of the non-linearities in most situations is not known, authors have opted

---

[3] As DSGE models have only recently moved away from a highly stylized treatment of the financial sector, the present section does not provide a detailed treatment of the DSGE literature (for a survey see Brázdik et al., 2011).

for different estimation frameworks. Among the most prominent are the threshold and Markov-switching VAR models (TVAR and MS-VAR respectively). A frequently cited study by Balke (2000) adopts a structural TVAR model with tight and regular credit regimes using the quarterly U.S. GDP data over 1960–1997. It finds a larger effect of monetary policy shocks on output in the "tight" credit regime and a more pronounced effect of contractionary monetary shocks compared to expansionary ones. Atanasova (2003) in a similar TVAR exercise for the UK supports the evidence on the asymmetry of monetary policy effects in credit constrained and unconstrained regimes as well as different output effects of monetary contractions and expansions. Finally, Calza and Sousa (2006) employ Balke's framework to investigate the role of credit shocks in the euro area and conclude that while present, the non-linearities and asymmetric responses seem to be less pronounced than those found by Balke (2000) for the U.S.

Kaufmann and Valderrama (2007), on the other hand, estimate an MS-VAR model for the euro area and the U.S. Depending on the regime, credit shocks have either a positive or an insignificant effect on the sector for both the euro area and the U.S. In another comparative study by Kaufmann and Valderrama (2008), focusing on German and UK bank lending, the authors apply the MS-VAR model to corporate and household sector data and conclude that shocks to real variables and interest rates impact differently on lending both across regimes within countries and across countries for a given regime.

Studies outside the TVAR and MS-VAR framework include higher-order approximation of a non-linear VAR by Drehmann et al. (2006). The authors relate aggregate credit risk in the UK to macroeconomic variables and find that credit risk responds strongly to macro developments, especially for large shocks. De Graeve et al. (2008) introduce an integrated micro-macro framework at the bank level based on German bank data linked to macroeconomic variables. Utilizing the parameters from a micro-based logit model in a macro VAR, the authors identify feedback effects between the banking sector and the real economy which are absent from the standard linear specification. A study of the euro area by Gambacorta and Rossi (2010) employing the asymmetric vector error correction model addresses possible asymmetries in the transmission mechanism and concludes that the effect of a monetary policy tightening on credit, GDP and prices is larger than the effect of a monetary policy easing.

A common feature of all the above-mentioned studies allowing for non-linearities is their focus on developed market economies. To the best of our knowledge, Çatik and Martin (2012) is the only published study focusing on the non-linear feedback effect from the real economy to the financial sector in an emerging market economy. Using TVAR, the study investigates changes to the macroeconomic transmission mechanism in Turkey after a change of monetary policy regime in the early 2000s and finds sharp changes in transmission mechanisms after 2004, when the reforms were implemented.

3. Methodology and Data

3.1 Bayesian Threshold VAR

The potentially non-linear nature of the feedback effects between the real and financial sectors is addressed within the threshold VAR framework.[4] The advantage of TVAR is that it allows for endogenous switching between different regimes as a result of shocks to the modelled variables. Furthermore, the framework is a convenient and straightforward tool for the treatment of certain types of non-linearities, such as regime switching or multiple equilibria (Balke, 2000). The selection of the threshold variable provides an intuitive reference to the source driving the non-linearities. Potential disadvantages include the omission of other drivers, especially in cases where the nature of the non-linearity is uncertain, and the linearity restriction within a given regime.

Given the limited length of the time series, we assume the existence of a single threshold value. Nonetheless, despite the available evidence of distinct feedback effects between regular and "tight" or "crisis" regimes, one should note that it is still not clear to what extent models allowing for single switching of parameters (i.e. a unique threshold) capture the actual nature of the non-linearities.

The model contains three blocs of variables: (i) the domestic real sector and domestic monetary policy, as represented by the volume of industrial production, the price level and the short-term interest rate, (ii) the domestic financial sector, as measured by the volume of aggregate credit and the share of non-performing loans (NPLs), and (iii) the external sector, proxied by the nominal exchange rate, the volume of foreign industrial production and the foreign interest rate. We use the Bayesian threshold VAR (BTVAR) framework with block restrictions on exogenous foreign industrial production and the CPI to account for the small open economy assumption.

$$y_t = \Pi_1 x_t I[y_{t-d}^{thr} < r] + \Pi_2 x_t I[y_{t-d}^{thr} \geq r] + \varepsilon_t$$
$$t = 1,..,T \qquad \varepsilon_t \approx NI_p(0,\Omega),$$

where y_t stands for a $p \times 1$ vector of endogenous variables, $x_t = [1, y_{t-1}^1,..,y_{t-1}^p,..,y_{t-k}^1..,y_{t-k}^p]$ is a $pk+1$ vector of lagged endogenous variables, and Π_i is a $p \times (1+pk)$ matrix of coefficients with block exogeneity restrictions such that for n foreign and m domestic variables we have

$$\Pi_i = \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_{nn} & 0 \\ \Pi_{nm} & \Pi_{mm} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The block exogeneity assumption postulates that domestic shocks should not impact upon foreign covariates and has been employed by a number of studies on small open economies (e.g. Cushman and Zha, 1997; Zha, 1999; Maćkowiak, 2006; Havránek et al., 2010). The threshold selection in BTVAR accounts for potential volatility shifts across regimes, replacing the restrictive assumption of constant volatility in the TVAR model by Balke (2000) and his successors. Neglecting heteroscedasticity of shocks might cause changes in the magnitude of shocks to be confused with changes in the transmission mechanism (Primiceri, 2005).

---

[4] One possible alternative is the MS-VAR framework, which examines the exogenous (random) transitions between regimes. Time-varying coefficient VARs, on the other hand, are more suited to tracking gradual changes in transmission over time (Boivin et al., 2010).

The identification of shocks relies on recursive (Cholesky) decomposition. The ordering of the variables proceeds from a measure of economic activity, the price level, the interest rate, the exchange rate and a measure approximating the Czech financial sector (Goodhart and Hofmann, 2008; Havránek et al., 2010). For the foreign variables we assume ordering from output to the interest rate. We adopt normal-diffuse priors for the autoregressive coefficients following Kadiyala and Karlsson (1997):

$$\pi_i \approx N(\widetilde{\pi}_i, \widetilde{V}_i^{\,pr}) \text{ and } p(\Sigma_i) \propto \left|\Sigma_i\right|^{-(p+1)/2} \text{ for i=1,2,}$$

where π_i is a vector of stacked coefficients of the matrix Π_i, $\widetilde{\pi}_i$ is a zero column vector with $p(1+pk)$ rows, $\widetilde{V}_i^{\,pr}$ are matrices with elements corresponding to the coefficients on their own lags equal to ϕ_0 / l^2 and elements on other lags equal to $\phi_0 \phi_1 \sigma_{i,q}^2/(l^2 \sigma_{i,r}^2)$. $\sigma_{i,q}^2$ corresponds to the standard error of an AR(1) process of a variable *q* estimated separately for each variable. The values of the hyperparameters are set to $\phi_0 = 0.2$, $\phi_1 = 0.5$.[5] The prior on the residual variance-covariance matrix is diffuse and independent of the priors on the autoregressive coefficients.

The prior on the threshold parameter is assumed to follow a uniform distribution on the interval $\left[r_{q=0.1}, r_{q=0.9}\right]$. Finally, the prior for the delay parameter accounting for possible lagged effects of the shift to another regime follows a multinomial distribution generating the probability of a particular delay equal to $1/d_0$, where d_0 represents the maximum number of delay periods considered.

The likelihood function and the conditional posterior distributions for the individual parameters can be found in the Appendix. For the analysis of feedback between the real sector and the banking sector we computed generalized impulse response functions (GIRFs) based on Koop, Pesaran and Potter (1996). The non-linear GIRFs abandon the symmetry and history independence properties of linear impulse response functions and take into account the size (and sign) of the shock, as well as its evolutionary path (for more details see also Atanasova, 2003). There would be little justification for applying the threshold model if no statistically significant evidence of non-linearities was present. Before embarking on the BTVAR estimation, we tested for non-linearities using the bootstrapping procedure by Hansen (1996). We ran 1,000 realizations of the standard F_n statistic and then obtained its empirical distribution by collecting the statistics over the grid space of the threshold values.[6]

3.2 Data

The sample has a monthly frequency spanning 2004m1–2012m3. The choice of model variables was guided by similar studies on a small open economy (e.g. Borys-Morgese et al., 2009; Havránek et al., 2010; Franta et al., 2011). We prefer industrial production as a proxy for the level of economic activity given that more traditionally used measures such as real GDP and the output gap are available only at quarterly frequency.[7] In the literature on real sector-finance feedback, industrial production was used, for example, by Atanasova (2003). The 3-month Pribor approximates the monetary policy rate and the cost of funds in the economy. The remaining variables in the standard monetary policy

---

[5] For details see Canova (2007).

[6] The original code for the testing procedure was obtained from Atanasova (2003).

[7] Borys-Morgese, Horváth and Franta (2009) originally used quarterly data transformed into monthly frequency using the Hodrick-Prescott filter.

model for a small open economy include the price level and the nominal exchange rate. Aggregate nominal credit and non-performing loans represent alternative measures of banking sector performance. To save on degrees of freedom, each indicator is employed in a separate model. As the Czech Republic is a small open economy, one needs to control for the external environment. We do so by using the 3-month Euribor and the real GDP index of the 17 members of the European Union following the Eurostat definition as of end-2002.

Empirical studies relying on the TVAR framework use a measure of the credit spread (Balke, 2000; Atanasova, 2003) or credit growth (Calza and Sousa, 2006) as a threshold variable to gauge credit market conditions. Balke (2000) employs three alternative indicators of credit market conditions, namely the commercial paper to T-bill spread, the mix of bank loans and commercial paper in firms' total external finance, and the difference between the growth rates in the short-term debt of small and large manufacturing firms. Atanasova (2003) uses the corporate bond spread defined as the redemption yield on ten-year investment-grade corporate bonds minus the equivalent maturity yield on risk-free government debt.[8]

The present study cannot rely on a measure based on corporate bond spread given the thin corporate bond market in the Czech Republic. Instead, we define credit spread as a difference of the average rate charged on newly issued loans and 1-year Pribor rate. The average rate is calculated as a weighted average of rates applied to corporate and household loans, with volumes of newly issued corporate and household loans as respective weights. The Pribor rate is a key reference rate for the cost of funds on the interbank market and serves as an approximation to a risk-free interest rate.[9]

Industrial production, the price level, the exchange rate, credit and EU GDP are expressed in natural logarithms and seasonally adjusted at the source where necessary. For the aggregate data on the real economy we use the information published by the Czech Statistical Office and the ARAD database maintained by the Czech National Bank. Variables capturing the external environment are from Eurostat and Bloomberg. Plots of all the series are available in the Appendix.

4. Empirical Results

The results of Hansen's (1996) procedure indicate a strong presence of non-linearities for both specifications with credit and the non-performing loan ratio (see Table 1). The estimated thresholds correspond to a credit spread of 3.28% for the BTVAR specification with the credit variable and 2.73% for the specification with NPLs.[10] The estimated threshold from the specification with credit highlights the importance for credit developments of the (postponed) advent of the post-Lehmann economic crisis in February 2009 and the following two and a half years of pronounced economic downturn (Figure 1). The threshold from the BTVAR with NPLs as the financial sector variable, on the other hand, points to a pronounced impact of the financial crisis on banks' credit losses extending over the whole post-2009 period (Figure 2).

---

[8] Kaufmann and Valderrama (2008) employ the MS BVAR framework and thus do not need to consider a threshold variable. Nonetheless, they relate the two regimes identified to the general economic conditions.

[9] We do not adopt the Czech government debt yield as an alternative risk-free rate given the impact of recent sovereign crisis on the volatility of sovereign bonds across Europe.

[10] The mean of the credit spread is 2.8%.

**Figure 1: Credit Spread and Estimated Threshold From BTVAR With Credit**

Figure 2: Credit Spread and Estimated Threshold From BTVAR With NPLs


Table 1: Threshold Estimates and Test for Non-Linearity

Model	Estimated r	Hansen (1996)'s chi-square p-value
Credit	3.2821	0.003
NPLs	2.733	0.010

The figures containing the empirical results present generalized impulse response functions conditional on the initial state (*high* or *low* credit spread regime) and the impulse response functions from a symmetric BVAR model without a threshold (benchmark VAR). The size of the permanent shocks corresponds to a positive standard deviation at time $t = 0$. The impulse responses are evaluated over a period of 36 months. We do not report results for a negative shock, as our estimates do not find significant asymmetry in the impulse responses, i.e. the impulse responses have broadly the same magnitude in the case of positive and negative shocks.[11,12] An increase in industrial production, the domestic price level and the 3-month Pribor are the domestic shocks, and an increase in EU industrial production, a rise in the 3-month Euribor and exchange rate depreciation are the external shocks.

4.1 Responses of the Financial Sector

Figure 3 plots the impulse responses of credit to the three domestic and three external shocks. The comparison of the impulse responses from the benchmark VAR and BTVAR provide a mixed picture, with some responses showing a markedly different level and, in the case of CPI, even direction. The subdued response of aggregate credit to a positive shock to industrial production in the *high* credit spread regime might be partly due to the uncertainty about the net present value of potential investment projects of firms and/or the future income streams of households and a resulting unwillingness to take on loans. The credit response to the interest rate shock is, as expected, negative and more pronounced in the threshold specification.[13] The negative response of credit to a positive shock to CPI from the benchmark VAR is somewhat counterintuitive given that credit is expressed in nominal terms.[14] The more flexible BTVAR framework, on the other hand, generates responses that are in line with expectations.

---

[11] The impulse responses for a negative shock can be provided upon request.

[12] Our results are consistent with Atanasova (2003), who did not find asymmetric responses for UK data. Balke (2000) and Gambacorta and Rossi (2010), on the other hand, find asymmetric effects for the U.S. and the euro area respectively.

[13] The results indicate a relatively high sensitivity of credit to interest rate shifts. Table A1 in the Appendix lists the peak responses of credit and non-performing loans with respect to industrial production and the 3-month Pribor rate respectively.

[14] The negative impact on credit of an increase in the price level and the interest rate in the *high* regime may be related to the tightness of firms' and households' budget constraints. An increase in the domestic price level might raise input costs more than revenues in a small open economy with a large proportion of exporting companies. Similarly, a higher price level reduces households' ability to service debt and reduces banks' willingness to lend.

Figure 3: Impulse Response Functions From Real Sector Variables to Credit

The responses of credit to a positive shock to foreign industrial production vary depending on the estimation framework. While the benchmark VAR indicates a positive and long lasting reaction of credit, the BTVAR results suggest a mild and only transitory response path reverting quickly to zero.[15] Given that the overwhelming majority of loans in the Czech financial system are denominated in domestic currency, the positive response to an increase in the Euribor probably reflects a systemic response of the European Central Bank to inflation pressures rather than shifts in the costs of funds.[16]

Finally, the uniformly negative response of aggregate credit to the exchange rate depreciation can be explained by the convergence process of the Czech economy during the sample period, marked by steady appreciation of the Czech koruna, expansion of the Czech financial sector and corresponding growth of credit.

Figure 4 plots the impulse responses of non-performing loans to the macroeconomic variables. The responses of non-performing loans are qualitatively the same regardless of estimation framework and initial regime. A one-time positive shock to industrial production leads to intuitively negative and transitory responses from the threshold estimates as compared to the benchmark VAR, implying a positive effect over the long term. The tamed results in particular in the presence of the *large* credit spread (*high* regime) might possibly be driven by the insufficient size of the economic upturn and the uncertainty about the length of the recovery over the crisis years.

---

[15] Given the relatively small size of the impulse responses from the BTVAR, the counterintuitive negative sign in this case might point to low precision of the estimates rather than model misspecification.

[16] Foreign inflation has not been included in our model due to degrees of freedom considerations.

*Figure 4: Impulse Response Functions From Real Sector Variables to
Non-Performing Loans*

Price and interest rate increases are notably less pronounced using the BTVAR estimates (similarly to the case of industrial production). Conforming to expectations, NPLs initially rise following an interest rate hike.[17] A shock to CPI might proxy for the worsening economic environment with negative repercussions in the level of NPLs, especially so in the *high* regime, i.e. when the credit spread is large. A shock to the EU17 industrial production index lowers NPLs, but to a lower extent in the *high* regime. The negative response of NPLs to the Euribor interest rate rise conforms to the systemic reaction of the ECB to rising inflation. The depreciation of the domestic currency boosts the profits of exporters and connected supply chains, but the impact of the shock for the BTVAR impulse responses is nonetheless not strong enough to support all the beneficiaries of the depreciation, and the effect on NPLs fades away in the second half of the response period.

4.2 Responses of the Real Economy

The response of the domestic economy and the exchange rate to the shocks to credit and NPLs are shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6.[18] The impulse responses for credit in Figure 5 are of similar size and shape irrespective of regime. A positive shock to credit boosts industrial production over the entire time horizon. The benchmark VAR generates a strong procyclical effect over the first twelve months, which nonetheless returns to the response levels obtained from the BTVAR framework. The response of industrial production tends to be somewhat more pronounced in the *low* as opposed to *high* credit spread regime, i.e. when the credit spread is small. While not directly comparable, our finding differs from Balke (2000), who finds that a credit spread shock approximating credit market conditions has substantially larger effects on output growth when the system is in the tight credit regime. Calza and

---

[17] See Table A1 for the (purely indicative) quantification of a 10 bps rise in the interest rate.

[18] Table A2 in the Appendix lists the peak responses of industrial production and the interest rate with respect to credit and non-performing loans respectively.

Sousa (2006) likewise report the response of real GDP to a positive shock to real loan growth to be somewhat bigger but less persistent in the low credit growth regime than in the high credit growth regime.

Figure 5: Impulse Response Functions From Credit to Real Sector Variables

The price level increases as more credit flows into the economy. The positive response of the interest rate tends to reflect the efforts of the monetary authority to curb the inflationary pressures spurred by the credit inflows. The policy response is nonetheless smaller in the BTVAR framework in comparison to the benchmark case, where the initial interest rate reaction is elevated. The exchange rate appreciation following a positive shock to credit can be explained by the convergence process of the Czech economy during the sample period, similarly to the impulse response function from the exchange rate to credit.

*Figure 6: Impulse Response Functions From Non-Performing Loans
to Real Sector Variables.*

Finally, Figure 6 reports the impulse responses for a one-time positive shock raising NPLs by one standard deviation. The responses from the BTVAR are unanimously milder in comparison to the benchmark model and do not differ across regimes. Furthermore, the threshold responses imply zero or close to zero long-term effects of an increase in NPLs on the macroeconomy. The results indicate a negative path for industrial production and lower inflation, as well as depreciation of the currency.

5. Conclusions

Our results indicate that the omission of non-linearities might lead to an imprecise understanding of the interactions and transmission mechanisms between the real economy and the financial sector. We combine the BTVAR framework with information on credit and non-performing loans as measures of the stance of the financial sector in an attempt to provide a more general picture of the feedback in the specific setting of a small open economy. The estimated thresholds obtained from BTVAR identify different cut-off values for the credit spread, indicating the importance of the initial two and a half years of the crisis for credit developments and the pronounced impact of the financial crisis on banks' credit losses extending over the whole post-2009 period.

The magnitude and, in some cases, even the direction of the impulse responses differ in the benchmark and BTVAR frameworks. Furthermore, the impulse responses are in some cases strongly dependent on the initial state. This relates in particular to the tamed response of aggregate credit to a positive shock to industrial production in the *high* credit spread. As the financial sector in the Czech Republic is largely bank-based and funded predominantly by domestic deposits in the domestic currency, the direct impact of foreign factors on lending seems to be rather limited (despite the dominance of foreign ownership) and credit volumes tend to be affected indirectly through the

situation within the production sector of the economy. The complementary investigation of non-performing loans reveals weak procyclicality of NPLs, which, however, vanishes after approximately 18 months. The economic recovery thus needs to be sufficiently robust to translate into lower NPLs.

While the financial sector feeds back to the real sector, the responses to credit shocks are roughly similar across regimes. A positive shock to credit supports industrial production over the entire time horizon, yet the responses do not differ substantially across credit spread regimes. This finding differs from the results of other studies employing the threshold VAR framework, which report asymmetric feedback from credit to the real economy. Asymmetries are likewise absent from the responses of the real economy to shocks to NPLs. Moreover, the financial sector feeds procyclically back into the real economy, thus supporting the argument for regulation of the mechanisms amplifying the current economic crisis (e.g. Borio et al., 2001).

References

ALTUNBAS, Y., O. FAZYLOV, AND P. MOLYNEUX (2002): "Evidence on the Bank-lending Channel in Europe." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 26/11, pp. 2093–2110.

ALVES, I. (2005): "Sectoral Fragility: Factors and Dynamics." In *Investigating the Relationship Between the Financial and Real Economy*, BIS Papers 22, pp. 450–480.

ÅSBERG SOMMAR, P. AND H. SHAHNAZARIAN (2008): "Macroeconomic Impact on Expected Default Frequency." Sveriges Riksbank Working Paper Series 219.

ASPACHS, O., C. A. E. GOODHART, D. P. TSOMOCOS, AND L. ZICCHINO (2007): "Towards a Measure of Financial Fragility." *Annals of Finance* 3/1, pp. 37–74.

ATANASOVA, C. (2003): "Credit Market Imperfections and Business Cycle Dynamics: A Nonlinear Approach." *Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics & Econometrics* 7/4, Article 5.

BALKE, N. S. (2000): "Credit and Economic Activity: Credit Regimes and Nonlinear Propagation of Shocks." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 82/2, pp. 344–349.

BERNANKE, B. S. AND A. S. BLINDER (1988): "Credit, Money, and Aggregate Demand." *American Economic Review* 78/2, pp. 435–439.

BERNANKE, B. S. AND M. GERTLER (1995): "Inside the Black Box: The Credit Channel of Monetary Policy Transmission." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 9/4, pp. 27–48.

BIS (2011): "The Transmission Channels Between the Financial and Real Sectors: A Critical Survey of the Literature." BIS Working Paper 18.

BOIVIN, J., M. T. KILEY, AND M. S. MISHKIN (2010): "How Has the Monetary Transmission Mechanism Evolved Over Time?" NBER Working Paper 15879.

BORIO, C. E. V., C. FURFINE, AND P. W. LOWE (2001): "Procyclicality of the Financial System and Financial Stability: Issues and Policy Options." In *Marrying the Macro and Microprudential Dimensions of Financial Stability*, BIS Papers 1, Basel.

BORYS-MORGESE M., R. HORVÁTH, AND. M FRANTA (2009): "The effects of monetary policy in the Czech Republic: an empirical study." *Empirica* 36/4, pp. 419–443.

BRÁZDIK, F., M. HLAVÁČEK, AND A. MARŠÁL (2011): "Survey of Research on Financial Sector Modeling Within DSGE Models: What Central Banks Can Learn from It." Czech National Bank Research and Policy Note 3/2011.

BRUNNERMEIER, M. K. AND L. H. PEDERSEN (2009): "Market Liquidity and Funding Liquidity." *Review of Financial Studies* 22/6, pp. 2201–2238.

CALZA, A. AND J. SOUSA (2006): "Output and Inflation Responses to Credit Shocks: Are There Threshold Effects in the Euro Area?" *Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics & Econometrics* 10/2, pp. 1–21.

CANOVA, F. (2007): *Methods for Applied Macroeconomic Research*. Princeton University Press.

CASTRÉN, O., S. DÉES, AND F. ZAHER (2008): "Global Macro-Financial Shocks and Expected Default Frequencies in the Euro Area." ECB Working Paper 875.

ÇATIK, A. N., AND C. MARTIN (2012): "Macroeconomic Transitions and the Transmission Mechanism: Evidence from Turkey." *Economic Modelling* 29/4, pp. 1440–1449.

CHEN, C. W. S. AND J. C. LEE (1995): "Bayesian Inference of Threshold Autoregressive Models." *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 16/5, pp. 483–492.

CUSHMAN, D. O. AND T. ZHA (1997): "Identifying Monetary Policy in a Small Open Economy under Flexible Exchange Rates." *Journal of Monetary Economics* 39/3, pp. 433–448.

DE BONDT, G. J. (1998): "Credit Channels in Europe: Bank-level Panel Data Analyses." De Nederlandsche Bank Research Memorandum WO&E 567.

DE BONDT, G. J. (1999): "Credit Channels in Europe: Cross-country Investigation." De Nederlandsche Bank Research Memorandum WO&E, 569.

DE GRAEVE, F., T. KICK, AND M. KOETTER (2008): "Monetary Policy and Financial Instability: An Integrated Micro-Macro Approach." *Journal of Financial Stability* 4/3, pp. 205–231.

DREHMANN, M., A. PATTON, AND S. SORENSEN (2006): "Corporate Defaults and Large Macroeconomic Shocks." Bank of England, mimeo.

ÉGERT, B. AND R. MACDONALD (2009): "Monetary Transmission Mechanism in Central and Eastern Europe: Surveying the Surveyable." *Journal of Economic Surveys* 23, p. 277.

FAVERO, C. A., F. GIAVAZZI, AND L. FLABBI (1999): "The Transmission Mechanism of Monetary Policy in Europe: Evidence from Banks' Balance Sheets." NBER Working Paper 7231.

FRANTA, M., R. HORVÁTH, AND M. RUSNÁK (2011): "Evaluating Changes in the Monetary Transmission Mechanism in the Czech Republic." CNB Working Paper 13.

GAMBACORTA, L. AND C. ROSSI (2010): "Modelling Bank Lending in the Euro Area: A Nonlinear Approach." *Applied Financial Economics* 20/14, pp. 1099–1112.

GILCHRIST, S. AND E. ZAKRAJŠEK (2011): "Monetary Policy and Credit Supply Shocks." *IMF Economic Review* 59, pp. 195–232.

GOODHART, C. AND B. HOFMANN (2008): "House Prices, Money, Credit, and the Macroeconomy." *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 24/1, pp. 180–205.

GOODHART, C. A. E., B. HOFMANN, AND M. SEGOVIANO (2004): "Bank Regulation and Macroeconomic Fluctuations." *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 20/4, pp. 591–615.

HAVRÁNEK, T., R. HORVÁTH, AND J. MATĚJŮ (2010): "Do Financial Variables Help Predict Macroeconomic Environment? " CNB Working Paper 6.

HELBLING, T., R. HUIDROM, M. A. KOSE, AND C. OTROK (2011): "Do Credit Shocks Matter? A Global Perspective." European Economic Review 55/3, pp. 340–353.

HRISTOV, N., O. HÜLSEWIG, AND T. WOLLMERSHÄUSER (2012): "Loan Supply Shocks During the Financial Crisis: Evidence for the Euro Area." *Journal of International Money and Finance* 31/3, pp. 569–592.

KADIYALA, K. R. AND S. KARLSSON (1997): "Numerical Methods for Estimation and Inference in Bayesian VAR-Models." *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 12, pp. 99–132.

KAUFMANN, S. AND M. T. VALDERRAMA (2007): "The Role of Credit Aggregates and Asset Prices in the Transmission Mechanism: A Comparison Between the Euro Area and the US." ECB Working Paper 816.

KAUFMANN, S., AND M. T. VALDERRAMA 2008. "Bank Lending in Germany and the UK: Are There Differences Between a Bank-Based and a Market-Based Country?" *International Journal of Finance and Economics* 13: 266–279.

KOOP, G., M. PESARAN, AND S. POTTER (1996): "Impulse Response Analysis in Nonlinear Multivariate Models." *Journal of Econometrics* 74, pp. 119–148.

MAĆKOWIAK, B. (2006): "How Much of the Macroeconomic Variation in Eastern Europe is Attributable to External Shocks?" *Comparative Economic Studies* 48/3, pp. 523–544.

MEEKS, R. (2012): "Do Credit Market Shocks Drive Output Fluctuations? Evidence from Corporate Spreads and Defaults." *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 36, pp. 568–584.

MEH, C. AND K. MORAN (2010): "The Role of Bank Capital in the Propagation of Shocks." *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 34, pp. 555–576.

MILCHEVA, S. (2013): "A Bank Lending Channel or a Credit Supply Shock?" *Journal of Macroeconomics*, accessed April 20, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmacro.2013.03.004.

PESARAN, M. H., T. SCHUERMANN, B.-J. TREUTLER, AND S. M. WEINER (2006): "Macroeconomic Dynamics and Credit Risk: A Global Perspective." *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking* 38/5, pp. 1211–1261.

PRIMICERI, G. E. (2005): "Time Varying Structural Vector Autoregressions and Monetary Policy." *Review of Economic Studies* 72/3, pp. 821–852.

STRAHAN, P. (2008): "Liquidity Production in 21st Century Banking." NBER Working Papers 13798.

VAN DEN HEUVEL, S. J. (2002): "Does Bank Capital Matter for Monetary Transmission?" *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Economic Policy Review* 8/1, pp. 259–265.

VILAGI, B. AND B. TAMÁSI (2011): "Macroprudential Shocks in an SVAR Framework in Hungary." Work in progress.

WAGNER, W. (2010): "Diversification at Financial Institutions and Systemic Crises." *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 19, pp. 373–386.

ZHA, T. (1999): "Block Recursion and Structural Vector Autoregressions." *Journal of Econometrics* 90, pp. 291–316.

Appendix A

The likelihood function for the threshold BVAR follows Kadiyala and Karlsson (1997):

$$L(\Pi_1,\Pi_2,\Sigma_1,\Sigma_2,r,d\,|\,Y) \propto |\Sigma_1|^{-\frac{n_1}{2}}|\Sigma_2|^{-\frac{n_2}{2}}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}tr\left[\sum_{i=1}^{2}(Y_i-X_i\Pi_i)'\Sigma_i^{-1}(Y_i-X_i\Pi_i)\right]\right\}=$$

$$=|\Sigma_1|^{-\frac{n_1}{2}}|\Sigma_2|^{-\frac{n_2}{2}}$$

$$\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2}(\pi_i-\pi_i^{OLS})'(\Sigma_i^{-1}\otimes X_i'X_i)(\pi_i-\pi_i^{OLS})-\frac{1}{2}tr\left[\sum_{i=1}^{2}\Sigma_i^{-1}(Y_i-X_i\Pi_i^{OLS})'(Y_i-X_i\Pi_i^{OLS})\right]\right\}$$

$$=N\left(\pi_i\,|\,\pi_i^{OLS},\Sigma_i\otimes(X_i'X_i)^{-1}\right)\times iW\left(\Sigma_i\,|\,(Y_i-X_i\Pi_i^{OLS})'(Y_i-X_i\Pi_i^{OLS}),n_i-1+pk-1\right)$$

where $n_1=\sum_{t=1}^{T-k}I_{\{y_i^{thr}\}}$ and $n_2=T-k-n_1$ are parameters dependent on the threshold value r.

For the estimation of the autoregressive coefficients and the residual variance-covariance matrix we employ the Gibbs sampler:

1) AR coefficients:

$$\pi_i\,|\,\Sigma_i,r,d,Y\approx N\left(\pi_i^{post},\left((V_i^{prior})^{-1}+\Sigma_i^{-1}\otimes X_i'X_i\right)^{-1}\right),$$

where $\pi_i^{post}=\left((V_i^{prior})^{-1}+\Sigma_i^{-1}\otimes X_i'X_i\right)^{-1}\left((V_i^{prior})^{-1}\pi_i^{prior}+(\Sigma_i^{-1}\otimes X_i'X_i)\pi_i^{OLS}\right)^{-1}$

2) Residual variance matrix

$$\Sigma_i^{-1}\Big|\pi_i,Y,r,d\approx W\left(\left[(Y_i-X_i\Pi_i^{OLS})'(Y_i-X_i\Pi_i^{OLS})+(\Pi_i-\Pi_i^{OLS})'X_i'X_i(\Pi_i-\Pi_i^{OLS})\right]^{-1},n_i\right)$$

3) Threshold value

For the estimation of the conditional posterior probability of the threshold r we employ the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm following Chen and Lee (1995):

$$p(r\,|\,\Pi_1,\Pi_2,\Sigma_1,\Sigma_2,d,Y)\propto|\Sigma_1|^{-\frac{n_1}{2}}|\Sigma_2|^{-\frac{n_2}{2}}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}tr\left[\sum_{i=1}^{2}(Y_i-X_i\Pi_i)'\Sigma_i^{-1}(Y_i-X_i\Pi_i)\right]\right\}\times pr(r)$$

4) Delay parameter

The conditional posterior follows a multinomial distribution with probability

$$p(d\,|\,\Pi_1,\Pi_2,\Sigma_1,\Sigma_2,d,Y)=\frac{L(\Pi_1,\Pi_2,\Sigma_1,\Sigma_2,r,d\,|\,Y)}{\sum_{d=1}^{d_0}L(\Pi_1,\Pi_2,\Sigma_1,\Sigma_2,r,d\,|\,Y)}.$$

Figure A1: Plots of Model Variables

Table A1: Peak Responses of Credit and NPL to Shocks From Industrial Production and Interest Rate

	from industrial prod. (1% change)		from pribor3m (10 bps change)	
	credit	npl	credit	npl
Benchmark	0.017%	-0.13 bps	-2.90%	28.8 bps
High	0.009%	-0.07 bps	-4.92%	7.68 bps
Low	0.017%	-0.25 bps	-5.79%	19.2 bps

Table A2: Peak Responses of Industrial Production and Interest Rate to Shocks From Credit and NPL

	from credit (1% change)		from npl (1 pp change)	
	industrial prod.	pribor3m	industrial prod.	pribor3m
Benchmark	0.29%	2.43 bps	-1.66%	8.62 bps
High	0.15%	1.17 bps	-0.33%	5.31 bps
Low	0.19%	0.98 bps	-0.33%	3.98 bps

CNB WORKING PAPER SERIES

10/2013	Tomáš Konečný Oxana Babecká Kucharčuková	*Evaluating the links between the financial and real sectors in a small open economy: The case of the Czech Republic*
9/2013	Michal Franta	*The effect of non-linearity between credit conditions and economic activity on density forecasts*
8/2013	Jan Babecký Tomáš Havránek	*Structural reforms and economic growth: A meta-analysis*
7/2013	Michal Andrle Jan Brůha Serhat Solmaz	*Inflation and output comovement in the Euro Area: Love at second sight?*
6/2013	Marek Rusnák	*Nowcasting Czech GDP in real time*
5/2013	Tomáš Havránek Roman Horváth Petra Valičková	*Financial development and economic growth: A meta-analysis*
4/2013	Peter Tóth	*Currency shocks to export sales of importers: A heterogeneous firms model and Czech micro estimates*
3/2013	Aleš Bulíř Jaromír Hurník Kateřina Šmídková	*Inflation reports and models: How well do central banks really write?*
2/2013	František Brázdik	*Expected regime change: Transition toward nominal exchange rate stability*
1/2013	Adam Geršl Jitka Lešanovská	*Explaining the Czech interbank market risk premium*
15/2012	Róbert Ambriško Jan Babecký Jakub Ryšánek Vilém Valenta	*Assessing the impact of fiscal measures on the Czech economy*
14/2012	Václav Hausenblas Ivana Kubicová Jitka Lešanovská	*Contagion risk in the Czech financial system: A network analysis and simulation approach*
13/2012	Michal Franta	*Macroeconomic effects of fiscal policy in the Czech Republic: Evidence based on various identification approaches in a VAR framework*
12/2012	Konstantin Belyaev Aelita Belyaeva Tomáš Konečný Jakub Seidler Martin Vojtek	*Macroeconomic factors as drivers of LGD prediction: Empirical evidence from the Czech Republic*
11/2012	Adam Geršl Petr Jakubík Tomáš Konečný Jakub Seidler	*Dynamic stress testing: The framework for testing banking sector resilience used by the Czech National Bank*
10/2012	Tomáš Havránek Marek Rusnák	*Transmission lags of monetary policy: A meta-analysis*
9/2012	Volha Audzei František Brázdik	*Monetary policy and exchange rate dynamics: The exchange rate as a shock absorber*
8/2012	Alexis Derviz Jakub Seidler	*Coordination incentives in cross-border macroprudential regulation*

7/2012	Peter Claeys Bořek Vašíček	*Measuring sovereign bond spillover in Europe and the impact of rating news*
6/2012	Michal Franta Jan Libich Petr Stehlík	*Tracking monetary-fiscal interactions across time and space*
5/2012	Roman Horváth Jakub Seidler Laurent Weill	*Bank capital and liquidity creation: Granger causality evidence*
4/2012	Jaromír Baxa Miroslav Plašil Bořek Vašíček	*Changes in inflation dynamics under inflation targeting? Evidence from Central European countries*
3/2012	Soňa Benecká Tomáš Holub Narcisa Liliana Kadlčáková Ivana Kubicová	*Does central bank financial strength matter for inflation? An empirical analysis*
2/2012	Adam Geršl Petr Jakubík Dorota Kowalczyk Steven Ongena José-Luis Peydró Alcalde	*Monetary conditions and banks' behaviour in the Czech Republic*
1/2012	Jan Babecký Kamil Dybczak	*Real wage flexibility in the European Union: New evidence from the labour cost data*
15/2011	Jan Babecký Kamil Galuščák Lubomír Lízal	*Firm-level labour demand: Adjustment in good times and during the crisis*
14/2011	Vlastimil Čadek Helena Rottová Branislav Saxa	*Hedging behaviour of Czech exporting firms*
13/2011	Michal Franta Roman Horváth Marek Rusnák	*Evaluating changes in the monetary transmission mechanism in the Czech Republic*
12/2011	Jakub Ryšánek Jaromír Tonner Osvald Vašíček	*Monetary policy implications of financial frictions in the Czech Republic*
11/2011	Zlatuše Komárková Adam Geršl Luboš Komárek	*Models for stress testing Czech banks´ liquidity risk*
10/2011	Michal Franta Jozef Baruník Roman Horváth Kateřina Šmídková	*Are Bayesian fan charts useful for central banks? Uncertainty, forecasting, and financial stability stress tests*
9/2011	Kamil Galuščák Lubomír Lízal	*The impact of capital measurement error correction on firm-level production function estimation*
8/2011	Jan Babecký Tomáš Havránek Jakub Matějů Marek Rusnák Kateřina Šmídková Bořek Vašíček	*Early warning indicators of economic crises: Evidence from a panel of 40 developed countries*

7/2011	Tomáš Havránek Zuzana Iršová	*Determinants of horizontal spillovers from FDI: Evidence from a large meta-analysis*
6/2011	Roman Horváth Jakub Matějů	*How are inflation targets set?*
5/2011	Bořek Vašíček	*Is monetary policy in the new EU member states asymmetric?*
4/2011	Alexis Derviz	*Financial frictions, bubbles, and macroprudential policies*
3/2011	Jaromír Baxa Roman Horváth Bořek Vašíček	*Time-varying monetary-policy rules and financial stress: Does financial instability matter for monetary policy?*
2/2011	Marek Rusnák Tomáš Havránek Roman Horváth	*How to solve the price puzzle? A meta-analysis*
1/2011	Jan Babecký Aleš Bulíř Kateřina Šmídková	*Sustainable real exchange rates in the new EU member states: What did the Great Recession change?*
15/2010	Ke Pang Pierre L. Siklos	*Financial frictions and credit spreads*
14/2010	Filip Novotný Marie Raková	*Assessment of consensus forecasts accuracy: The Czech National Bank perspective*
13/2010	Jan Filáček Branislav Saxa	*Central bank forecasts as a coordination device*
12/2010	Kateřina Arnoštová David Havrlant Luboš Růžička Peter Tóth	*Short-term forecasting of Czech quarterly GDP using monthly indicators*
11/2010	Roman Horváth Kateřina Šmídková Jan Zápal	*Central banks´ voting records and future policy*
10/2010	Alena Bičáková Zuzana Prelcová Renata Pašaličová	*Who borrows and who may not repay?*
9/2010	Luboš Komárek Jan Babecký Zlatuše Komárková	*Financial integration at times of financial instability*
8/2010	Kamil Dybczak Peter Tóth David Voňka	*Effects of price shocks to consumer demand. Estimating the QUAIDS demand system on Czech Household Budget Survey data*
7/2010	Jan Babecký Philip Du Caju Theodora Kosma Martina Lawless Julián Messina Tairi Rõõm	*The margins of labour cost adjustment: Survey evidence from European firms*
6/2010	Tomáš Havránek Roman Horváth Jakub Matějů	*Do financial variables help predict macroeconomic environment? The case of the Czech Republic*
5/2010	Roman Horváth Luboš Komárek Filip Rozsypal	*Does money help predict inflation? An empirical assessment for Central Europe*

4/2010	Oxana Babecká Kucharčuková Jan Babecký Martin Raiser	*A gravity approach to modelling international trade in South-Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States: The role of geography, policy and institutions*
3/2010	Tomáš Havránek Zuzana Iršová	*Which foreigners are worth wooing? A Meta-analysis of vertical spillovers from FDI*
2/2010	Jaromír Baxa Roman Horváth Bořek Vašíček	*How does monetary policy change? Evidence on inflation targeting countries*
1/2010	Adam Geršl Petr Jakubík	*Relationship lending in the Czech Republic*

15/2009	David N. DeJong Roman Liesenfeld Guilherme V. Moura Jean-Francois Richard Hariharan Dharmarajan	*Efficient likelihood evaluation of state-space representations*
14/2009	Charles W. Calomiris	*Banking crises and the rules of the game*
13/2009	Jakub Seidler Petr Jakubík	*The Merton approach to estimating loss given default: Application to the Czech Republic*
12/2009	Michal Hlaváček Luboš Komárek	*Housing price bubbles and their determinants in the Czech Republic and its regions*
11/2009	Kamil Dybczak Kamil Galuščák	*Changes in the Czech wage structure: Does immigration matter?*
10/2009	Jiří Böhm Petr Král Branislav Saxa	*Percepion is always right: The CNB´s monetary policy in the media*
9/2009	Alexis Derviz Marie Raková	*Funding costs and loan pricing by multinational bank affiliates*
8/2009	Roman Horváth Anca Maria Podpiera	*Heterogeneity in bank pricing policies: The Czech evidence*
7/2009	David Kocourek Filip Pertold	*The impact of early retirement incentives on labour market participation: Evidence from a parametric change in the Czech Republic*
6/2009	Nauro F. Campos Roman Horváth	*Reform redux: Measurement, determinants and reversals*
5/2009	Kamil Galuščák Mary Keeney Daphne Nicolitsas Frank Smets Pawel Strzelecki Matija Vodopivec	*The determination of wages of newly hired employees: Survey evidence on internal versus external factors*
4/2009	Jan Babecký Philip Du Caju Theodora Kosma Martina Lawless Julián Messina Tairi Rõõm	*Downward nominal and real wage rigidity: Survey evidence from European firms*
3/2009	Jiri Podpiera	*Measuring excessive risk-taking in banking*

	Laurent Weill	
2/2009	Michal Andrle Tibor Hlédik Ondra Kameník Jan Vlček	*Implementing the new structural model of the Czech National Bank*
1/2009	Kamil Dybczak Jan Babecký	*The impact of population ageing on the Czech economy*
14/2008	Gabriel Fagan Vitor Gaspar	*Macroeconomic adjustment to monetary union*
13/2008	Giuseppe Bertola Anna Lo Prete	*Openness, financial markets, and policies: Cross-country and dynamic patterns*
12/2008	Jan Babecký Kamil Dybczak Kamil Galuščák	*Survey on wage and price formation of Czech firms*
11/2008	Dana Hájková	*The measurement of capital services in the Czech Republic*
10/2008	Michal Franta	*Time aggregation bias in discrete time models of aggregate duration data*
9/2008	Petr Jakubík Christian Schmieder	*Stress testing credit risk: Is the Czech Republic different from Germany?*
8/2008	Sofia Bauducco Aleš Bulíř Martin Čihák	*Monetary policy rules with financial instability*
7/2008	Jan Brůha Jiří Podpiera	*The origins of global imbalances*
6/2008	Jiří Podpiera Marie Raková	*The price effects of an emerging retail market*
5/2008	Kamil Dybczak David Voňka Nico van der Windt	*The effect of oil price shocks on the Czech economy*
4/2008	Magdalena M. Borys Roman Horváth	*The effects of monetary policy in the Czech Republic: An empirical study*
3/2008	Martin Cincibuch Tomáš Holub Jaromír Hurník	*Central bank losses and economic convergence*
2/2008	Jiří Podpiera	*Policy rate decisions and unbiased parameter estimation in conventionally estimated monetary policy rules*
1/2008	Balázs Égert Doubravko Mihaljek	*Determinants of house prices in Central and Eastern Europe*
17/2007	Pedro Portugal	*U.S. unemployment duration: Has long become longer or short become shorter?*
16/2007	Yuliya Rychalovská	*Welfare-based optimal monetary policy in a two-sector small open economy*
15/2007	Juraj Antal František Brázdik	*The effects of anticipated future change in the monetary policy regime*
14/2007	Aleš Bulíř Kateřina Šmídková Viktor Kotlán David Navrátil	*Inflation targeting and communication: Should the public read inflation reports or tea leaves?*
13/2007	Martin Cinncibuch	*Measuring the financial markets' perception of EMU enlargement:*

	Martina Horníková	*The role of ambiguity aversion*
12/2007	Oxana Babetskaia-Kukharchuk	*Transmission of exchange rate shocks into domestic inflation: The case of the Czech Republic*
11/2007	Jan Filáček	*Why and how to assess inflation target fulfilment*
10/2007	Michal Franta Branislav Saxa Kateřina Šmídková	*Inflation persistence in new EU member states: Is it different than in the Euro area members?*
9/2007	Kamil Galuščák Jan Pavel	*Unemployment and inactivity traps in the Czech Republic: Incentive effects of policies*
8/2007	Adam Geršl Ieva Rubene Tina Zumer	*Foreign direct investment and productivity spillovers: Updated evidence from Central and Eastern Europe*
7/2007	Ian Babetskii Luboš Komárek Zlatuše Komárková	*Financial integration of stock markets among new EU member states and the euro area*
6/2007	Anca Pruteanu-Podpiera Laurent Weill Franziska Schobert	*Market power and efficiency in the Czech banking sector*
5/2007	Jiří Podpiera Laurent Weill	*Bad luck or bad management? Emerging banking market experience*
4/2007	Roman Horváth	*The time-varying policy neutral rate in real time: A predictor for future inflation?*
3/2007	Jan Brůha Jiří Podpiera Stanislav Polák	*The convergence of a transition economy: The case of the Czech Republic*
2/2007	Ian Babetskii Nauro F. Campos	*Does reform work? An econometric examination of the reform-growth puzzle*
1/2007	Ian Babetskii Fabrizio Coricelli Roman Horváth	*Measuring and explaining inflation persistence: Disaggregate evidence on the Czech Republic*
13/2006	Frederic S. Mishkin Klaus Schmidt-Hebbel	*Does inflation targeting make a difference?*
12/2006	Richard Disney Sarah Bridges John Gathergood	*Housing wealth and household indebtedness: Is there a household 'financial accelerator'?*
11/2006	Michel Juillard Ondřej Kameník Michael Kumhof Douglas Laxton	*Measures of potential output from an estimated DSGE model of the United States*
10/2006	Jiří Podpiera Marie Raková	*Degree of competition and export-production relative prices when the exchange rate changes: Evidence from a panel of Czech exporting companies*
9/2006	Alexis Derviz Jiří Podpiera	*Cross-border lending contagion in multinational banks*
8/2006	Aleš Bulíř Jaromír Hurník	*The Maastricht inflation criterion: "Saints" and "Sinners"*
7/2006	Alena Bičáková Jiří Slačálek	*Fiscal implications of personal tax adjustments in the Czech Republic*

	Michal Slavík	
6/2006	Martin Fukač Adrian Pagan	*Issues in adopting DSGE models for use in the policy process*
5/2006	Martin Fukač	*New Keynesian model dynamics under heterogeneous expectations and adaptive learning*
4/2006	Kamil Dybczak Vladislav Flek Dana Hájková Jaromír Hurník	*Supply-side performance and structure in the Czech Republic (1995–2005)*
3/2006	Aleš Krejdl	*Fiscal sustainability – definition, indicators and assessment of Czech public finance sustainability*
2/2006	Kamil Dybczak	*Generational accounts in the Czech Republic*
1/2006	Ian Babetskii	*Aggregate wage flexibility in selected new EU member states*

14/2005	Stephen G. Cecchetti	*The brave new world of central banking: The policy challenges posed by asset price booms and busts*
13/2005	Robert F. Engle Jose Gonzalo Rangel	*The spline GARCH model for unconditional volatility and its global macroeconomic causes*
12/2005	Jaromír Beneš Tibor Hlédik Michael Kumhof David Vávra	*An economy in transition and DSGE: What the Czech national bank's new projection model needs*
11/2005	Marek Hlaváček Michael Koňák Josef Čada	*The application of structured feedforward neural networks to the modelling of daily series of currency in circulation*
10/2005	Ondřej Kameník	*Solving SDGE models: A new algorithm for the sylvester equation*
9/2005	Roman Šustek	*Plant-level nonconvexities and the monetary transmission mechanism*
8/2005	Roman Horváth	*Exchange rate variability, pressures and optimum currency area criteria: Implications for the central and eastern european countries*
7/2005	Balázs Égert Luboš Komárek	*Foreign exchange interventions and interest rate policy in the Czech Republic: Hand in glove?*
6/2005	Anca Podpiera Jiří Podpiera	*Deteriorating cost efficiency in commercial banks signals an increasing risk of failure*
5/2005	Luboš Komárek Martin Melecký	*The behavioural equilibrium exchange rate of the Czech koruna*
4/2005	Kateřina Arnoštová Jaromír Hurník	*The monetary transmission mechanism in the Czech Republic (evidence from VAR analysis)*
3/2005	Vladimír Benáček Jiří Podpiera Ladislav Prokop	*Determining factors of Czech foreign trade: A cross-section time series perspective*
2/2005	Kamil Galuščák Daniel Münich	*Structural and cyclical unemployment: What can we derive from the matching function?*
1/2005	Ivan Babouček Martin Jančar	*Effects of macroeconomic shocks to the quality of the aggregate loan portfolio*

| 10/2004 | Aleš Bulíř
Kateřina Šmídková | *Exchange rates in the new EU accession countries: What have we learned from the forerunners* |

9/2004	Martin Cincibuch Jiří Podpiera	*Beyond Balassa-Samuelson: Real appreciation in tradables in transition countries*
8/2004	Jaromír Beneš David Vávra	*Eigenvalue decomposition of time series with application to the Czech business cycle*
7/2004	Vladislav Flek, ed.	*Anatomy of the Czech labour market: From over-employment to under-employment in ten years?*
6/2004	Narcisa Kadlčáková Joerg Keplinger	*Credit risk and bank lending in the Czech Republic*
5/2004	Petr Král	*Identification and measurement of relationships concerning inflow of FDI: The case of the Czech Republic*
4/2004	Jiří Podpiera	*Consumers, consumer prices and the Czech business cycle identification*
3/2004	Anca Pruteanu	*The role of banks in the Czech monetary policy transmission mechanism*
2/2004	Ian Babetskii	*EU enlargement and endogeneity of some OCA criteria: Evidence from the CEECs*
1/2004	Alexis Derviz Jiří Podpiera	*Predicting bank CAMELS and S&P ratings: The case of the Czech Republic*

CNB RESEARCH AND POLICY NOTES

2/2013	Jan Brůha Tibor Hlédik Tomáš Holub Jiří Polanský Jaromír Tonner	*Incorporating judgments and dealing with data uncertainty in forecasting at the Czech National Bank*
1/2013	Oxana Babecká Kucharčuková Michal Franta Dana Hájková Petr Král Ivana Kubicová Anca Podpiera Branislav Saxa	*What we know about monetary policy transmission in the Czech Republic: Collection of empirical results*
3/2012	Jan Frait Zlatuše Komárková	*Macroprudential policy and its instruments in a small EU economy*
2/2012	Zlatuše Komárková Marcela Gronychová	*Models for stress testing in the insurance sector*
1/2012	Róbert Ambriško Vítězslav Augusta Dana Hájková Petr Král Pavla Netušilová Milan Říkovský Pavel Soukup	*Fiscal discretion in the Czech Republic in 2001-2011: Has it been stabilizing?*
3/2011	František Brázdik Michal Hlaváček Aleš Maršál	*Survey of research on financial sector modeling within DSGE models: What central banks can learn from it*

2/2011	Adam Geršl Jakub Seidler	*Credit growth and capital buffers: Empirical evidence from Central and Eastern European countries*
1/2011	Jiří Böhm Jan Filáček Ivana Kubicová Romana Zamazalová	*Price-level targeting – A real alternative to inflation targeting?*
1/2008	Nicos Christodoulakis	*Ten years of EMU: Convergence, divergence and new policy priorities*
2/2007	Carl E. Walsh	*Inflation targeting and the role of real objectives*
1/2007	Vojtěch Benda Luboš Růžička	*Short-term forecasting methods based on the LEI approach: The case of the Czech Republic*
2/2006	Garry J. Schinasi	*Private finance and public policy*
1/2006	Ondřej Schneider	*The EU budget dispute – A blessing in disguise?*
5/2005	Jan Stráský	*Optimal forward-looking policy rules in the quarterly projection model of the Czech National Bank*
4/2005	Vít Bárta	*Fulfilment of the Maastricht inflation criterion by the Czech Republic: Potential costs and policy options*
3/2005	Helena Sůvová Eva Kozelková David Zeman Jaroslava Bauerová	*Eligibility of external credit assessment institutions*
2/2005	Martin Čihák Jaroslav Heřmánek	*Stress testing the Czech banking system: Where are we? Where are we going?*
1/2005	David Navrátil Viktor Kotlán	*The CNB's policy decisions – Are they priced in by the markets?*
4/2004	Aleš Bulíř	*External and fiscal sustainability of the Czech economy: A quick look through the IMF's night-vision goggles*
3/2004	Martin Čihák	*Designing stress tests for the Czech banking system*
2/2004	Martin Čihák	*Stress testing: A review of key concepts*
1/2004	Tomáš Holub	*Foreign exchange interventions under inflation targeting: The Czech experience*

CNB ECONOMIC RESEARCH BULLETIN

November 2013	*Macroeconomic effects of fiscal policy*
April 2013	*Transmission of monetary policy*
November 2012	*Financial stability and monetary policy*
April 2012	*Macroeconomic forecasting: Methods, accuracy and coordination*
November 2011	*Macro-financial linkages: Theory and applications*
April 2011	*Monetary policy analysis in a central bank*
November 2010	*Wage adjustment in Europe*
May 2010	*Ten years of economic research in the CNB*
November 2009	*Financial and global stability issues*
May 2009	*Evaluation of the fulfilment of the CNB's inflation targets 1998–2007*