

Transmission of exchange rate shocks into domestic inflation

Babetskaia-Kukharchuk, Oxana 2007 Dostupný z http://www.nusl.cz/ntk/nusl-123988

Dílo je chráněno podle autorského zákona č. 121/2000 Sb.

Tento dokument byl stažen z Národního úložiště šedé literatury (NUŠL). Datum stažení: 26.05.2024

Další dokumenty můžete najít prostřednictvím vyhledávacího rozhraní nusl.cz .

# WORKING PAPER SERIES 12

Oxana Babetskaia-Kukharchuk: Transmission of Exchange Rate Shocks into Domestic Inflation: The Case of the Czech Republic



## **WORKING PAPER SERIES**

Transmission of Exchange Rate Shocks into Domestic Inflation: The Case of the Czech Republic

Oxana Babetskaia-Kukharchuk

12/2007

#### **CNB WORKING PAPER SERIES**

The Working Paper Series of the Czech National Bank (CNB) is intended to disseminate the results of the CNB's research projects as well as the other research activities of both the staff of the CNB and collaborating outside contributor, including invited speakers. The Series aims to present original research contributions relevant to central banks. It is refereed internationally. The referee process is managed by the CNB Research Department. The working papers are circulated to stimulate discussion. The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official views of the CNB.

Printed and distributed by the Czech National Bank. Available at http://www.cnb.cz.

Reviewed by:Luboš Komárek<br/>Balázs Egert(Czech National Bank)<br/>(OeNB)Iikka Korhonen(Bank of Finland)

Project Coordinator: Vladislav Flek

© Czech National Bank, December 2007 Oxana Babetskaia-Kukharchuk

#### Transmission of Exchange Rate Shocks into Domestic Inflation: The Case of the Czech Republic

Oxana Babetskaia-Kukharchuk\*

#### Abstract

This paper aims at estimating the exchange rate pass-through (ERPT) for the Czech Republic. The existing empirical literature does not come to a consensus about the degree of pass-through to Czech inflation. Since there is no unique approach regarding how to measure ERPT, we use various specifications found in the pass-through literature for the Czech Republic. In addition, we estimate the pass-through along the distribution chain in the spirit of McCarthy (2007). We try to explore the properties of exchange rate shock transmission into Czech consumer prices by comparing impulse responses among 11 specifications estimated on data transformed in monthly differences and in annual rates. Equilibrium pass-through is estimated with the help of the VEC model. In addition, we try to account for possible variation in time. The simplest approach is a re-estimation of VAR models on two sub-periods. Our second strategy is the estimation of the error correction equation with the Kalman filter. Finally, we explore how the pass-through differs between tradable (3 sub-groups) and non-tradable goods. We find that the speed of exchange rate shock transmission to all prices is quite high. However, in absolute terms, ERPT does not exceed 25 - 30%.

JEL Codes:E31, E52, E58, F31.Keywords:Exchange rate pass-through, inflation, Kalman filter, VAR, VECM.

<sup>\*</sup> Oxana Babetskaia-Kukharchuk, Czech National Bank; Centre d'Economie de la Sorbonne – University of Paris 1 ; State University – Higher School of Economics (e-mail: Oxana.Babetskaia-Kukharchuk@cnb.cz). This work was supported by Czech National Bank Research Project No.E6/2005.

The author is grateful to Luboš Komárek, Balázs Égert, and Iikka Korhonen for valuable comments. Special thanks go to Juraj Antal, Ian Babetskii, Vít Bárta, Vladislav Flek, Martin Fukač, Kamil Galuščák, Tomáš Holub, Roman Horváth and Jiří Podpiera for their constructive suggestions. The author would also like to thank Hugh Metcalf and other participants of the 7 INFER Workshop on International Economics held in Murcia on March 28-29, 2008. All errors remain those of the author. The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the author and do not necessary reflect the views of the Czech National Bank, the University of Paris 1, or the State University – Higher School of Economics.

#### **Nontechnical Summary**

The choice of the optimal monetary policy for the new EU members is not straightforward. On the one hand, future participation in the ERM-II and euro adoption require exchange rate stability visà-vis euro. On the other hand, the catching-up process induces real exchange rate appreciation. Furthermore, the exchange rate in the new member states still represents an instrument of adjustment to various shocks. Together with Hungary and Poland, the Czech Republic is in the last group for euro adoption among the new member states of the 2004 wave of enlargement, and assessment of exchange rate pass-through is important on its road toward the euro.

Understanding the pass-through mechanism is also crucial for CPI inflation targeting. As a small open economy, the Czech economy can be sensitive to external shocks. At the same time, the empirical literature finds low pass-through in a low inflation environment or when a country has inflation targeting. In case of a significant exchange rate shock, it is important to know how strongly domestic prices will react to this shock, in other words, how far inflation might deviate from the target and how quickly prices might return to the equilibrium level. Under inflation targeting, the monetary authority tends to maintain price stability, which should reduce the pass-through effect. Finally, understanding exchange rate pass-through could be a useful element for inflation prediction and, more generally, for prediction of real sector behavior.

The paper aims to provide a better understanding of how domestic consumer prices respond to exchange rate shocks. The proposed empirical analysis tends to answer how strong and how fast is the exchange rate pass-through to domestic inflation, whether it changes over time, whether it has the same magnitude for tradables and non-tradables, how it is different from the reaction of import and producer prices, and how robust the results are when alternative specifications are used.

Existing pass-through studies for the Czech Republic report short-run exchange rate pass-through varying from 0 to almost 40 percent. Applying alternative specifications and econometric procedures to two datasets (m-o-m changes and y-o-y changes), we find that the pass-through to the CPI is close to the upper bound of the existing results during 1996–2001, and is almost at the lower bound during 2002–2006. The speed of exchange rate shock transmission to all prices is quite high, which is usual for a small open economy. However, in absolute terms, the peak impulse response does not exceed 25%, and the total reaction to the exchange rate shock is likely to be less than 30% for the estimations performed on the whole sample. The estimations obtained from the whole sample are more significant than those performed on two sub-periods. Longer time span and lower volatility in the data are possible explanations of this result. Notice that it is difficult to find meaningful results when static and time varying vector error correction models (VECM) are used instead of VAR. Finally, in line with the theory, we find that tradable goods react more to an exchange rate shock than do non-tradable goods. Furthermore, the magnitude of the exchange rate pass-through decreases from the initial stage of production to final goods. We found that the pass-through to producer prices is lower than that to consumer prices. High competition on the domestic market or high pass-through to imported goods in the consumption basket could be a possible explanation for this result.

### **1. Introduction**

By joining the European Union, the new EU members take on an obligation to adopt the euro at some point. This is preceded by a required minimum two-year membership in the ERM-II, which imposes certain exchange rate stability criteria. At the same time, the catching-up process in the new member states is reflected in higher productivity growth vis-à-vis the euro area, which, in turn, entails real exchange rate appreciation. Also, the exchange rate still represents a useful instrument of adjustment to various shocks. The new member countries that join the ERM-II might be viewed as balancing their monetary policy between low inflation, as required by the Maastricht criteria, and a stable exchange rate and inflation, or, more precisely, the *pass-through effect* of a variation in the nominal exchange rate on domestic inflation, receives particular attention. In the context of euro adoption. As a small open economy, the Czech Republic can be sensitive to external shocks. At the same time, the empirical literature finds low pass-through in a low inflation environment or when a country has inflation targeting.

From a policy perspective, understanding the transmission mechanism of exchange rate shocks into domestic inflation is vital for the implementation of a country's monetary and exchange rate policies. In case of a significant exchange rate shock, it is important to know how strongly domestic prices will react to this shock, in other words, how far inflation might deviate from the target and how quickly prices return to the equilibrium level. Under inflation targeting (which is the case of the Czech Republic), the monetary authority tends to maintain price stability, which should reduce the pass-through effect. Finally, understanding exchange rate pass-through could be a useful element for inflation prediction and, more generally, for prediction of real sector behavior.

The proposed empirical study contributes to the clarification of exchange rate shock transmission into domestic inflation. The aim of the present paper, therefore, is to assess the dynamics and magnitude of exchange rate pass-through. Given the high interdependence of economic factors, the transmission mechanism of an exchange rate shock into the domestic economy does not appear simple. It is not surprising that there is no unique model to measure the pass-through effect, and the results vary significantly across studies and across countries.

The existing empirical literature on pass-through for the Czech Republic reports estimates varying in the short run between 0% and almost 40%. The diversity of the previous results gives additional motivation to this study. Furthermore, many of the papers are already out of date. The literature on exchange rate pass-through comes to a consensus that the pass-through to domestic inflation is incomplete. However, the reasons are quite diverse. The low reaction of domestic prices is explained by strong competition, pricing to market, currency invoicing, degree of openness, and other factors. Since it is not clear which factor dominates, the present paper estimates ERPT using numerous specifications; then the results are discussed.

The paper has the following structure. The first section gives a definition of pass-through and discusses its properties. In the second section, we explain the different approaches to modeling ERPT and take corresponding examples from the literature on ERPT to consumer prices estimated for the Czech Republic. The third section explains the estimation methods. The data and

preliminary tests are described in the fourth section. Section five shows the results. The main empirical findings are summarized in the conclusion.

## 2. Definition and Properties of ERPT

Traditionally, exchange rate pass-through is defined as "the percentage change in the local currency price of an imported good resulting from a 1 per cent change in the nominal exchange rate between the exporting and importing countries."<sup>1</sup> Menon (1995) makes a survey of empirical studies on exchange rate pass-through conducted between 1974 and 1994. Most of these studies analyzed pass-through effects to export and import prices, estimated for large developed economies. Later, the analysis of exchange rate pass-through was extended to consumer and producer prices. Starting from McCarthy (1999), the majority of the ERPT studies focusing on domestic inflation base their analysis on the so-called distribution chain: input prices, intermediate prices, and prices of final goods. The main advantage of this approach is its ability to compare the reaction of prices to an exchange rate shock at different stages of the distribution process.

The standard distribution chain specification contains import prices, producer prices, and consumer prices. Import prices transmit an exchange rate shock into domestic inflation indirectly, via inputs and intermediate goods for domestically produced products, or directly, via imported goods, which constitute a part of final consumption. Consequently, an exchange rate shock affecting one stage of production is transmitted to consumer prices. Since the production and distribution process takes some time, the transmission mechanism is not likely to be immediate. Faruqee (2006) and Choudhri et al. (2005) estimate one of the longest distribution chains. The authors use the three aforementioned price indices, unit labor costs, and export prices. By and large, ERPT is expected to decline along the distribution chain, i.e., consumer prices are expected to react much less than import prices. When the reaction to a shock is less than the initial shock, the pass-through is incomplete.

Most empirical studies find incomplete pass-through. A class of the New Open Economics Models (NOEM) assumes that exchange rate pass-through may be endogenous to the country's monetary policy and the country's inflation performance. Starting from Taylor (2000) numerous empirical studies find that exchange rate pass-through declines if the inflation environment turns low-inflationary, because this causes a "decline in the expected persistence of cost and price changes." Choudhri and Hakura (2006) test this relation empirically in a sample of 71 countries over 1979-2000. The authors argue that ERPT tends to be low in economies where inflation is low and monetary policy is more credible. This finding is also proved by Bailliu and Fujii (2004) and Gagnon and Ihrig (2004) in the long run. The authors use a macroeconomic model and Monte-Carlo simulations for parameterization of their model. Then, the model is fitted for 20 industrial countries using quarterly data over 1971–2003. Flamini (2004) proposes an analytical framework for incomplete or delayed pass-through to CPI inflation in a small-open economy. His approach is based on a dynamic stochastic general equilibrium model. Coricelli et al. (2006) explain differences in the completeness of pass-through also by differences in exchange rate regimes: countries with inflation targeting and flexible exchange rate arrangements have much smaller pass-through that those with less flexible exchange rate regimes. The authors find complete pass-through in Slovenia and Hungary. The impact of an exchange rate shock is smaller

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bailliu and Fujii (2004)

in Poland and the Czech Republic. The other possible explanations of low pass-through include a low share of imported goods, price stickiness of non-tradable goods in the consumption basket, the substitution effect (Burstein *et al.*, 2002), the presence of intermediaries between exporters and consumers, currency invoicing and local distribution costs (Bacchetta and van Wincoop, 2003),<sup>2</sup> and slow adjustment of consumer goods prices in a highly competitive environment. The signal from the exchange rate can also be distorted by menu costs and contracting costs (Devereux and Yetman, 2002) or firms' pricing-to-market strategy (Corsetti and Dedola, 2005). Notice, however, that changes in domestic prices are not necessarily caused by changes in the exchange rate. For this reason, Darvas (2001) proposes to decompose price changes into pass-through and price convergence effects.

Pass-through estimates on the aggregate consumer price index assume that pass-through is the same for all goods in the consumption basket. Parsley (1995), cited in Darvas (2001), criticizes the use of aggregated price indices for the estimation of exchange rate pass-through. Inference from the disaggregated level has some advantages. For example, different industries may have different sensitivities to inflation changes. One explanation for this fact is a different degree of competition among market segments. The use of disaggregated price indices can, furthermore, let us take into account that prices of non-tradables grow faster than prices of tradables. However, due to data availability, most of the existing studies use aggregated price indices, and only a few studies, mostly performed on US data, analyze pass-through using disaggregated data. For developed countries this question was addressed, for example, in Pollard and Coughlin (2003). For transition economies, to our knowledge, there are only two studies on disaggregated pass-through. Dabusinskas (2003) estimates disaggregated pass-through for Estonia, and Bitāns (2004) shows impulse responses of various CPI sub-groups for Latvia. According to these results, the level of price aggregation affects the estimates of pass-through. Last but not least, ERPT is not necessarily symmetric and constant over time.<sup>3</sup>

Based on the general pass-through properties, we expect that the ERPT to Czech inflation is far from complete. This is due to both a low-inflation environment and inflation targeting. Import prices constitute about 25% of the CPI. Furthermore, according to the CNB Inflation Report (2004), in the second quarter of 2004 only 18% of imports were designated for final consumption, as against 63% for intermediate consumption. On the contrary, pass-through to import prices is likely to be very high for at least two reasons. First, the Czech Republic is a small open economy with a ratio of exports/imports to GDP exceeding 60%. Second, according to Kamps (2006), during 1999–2004 around 90% of contracts for imported goods were denominated in foreign currency (mostly in euro). In the empirical sections of the paper, we address most of the properties of ERPT, namely, the speed and magnitude of its transmission into domestic inflation. In addition, we try to test whether the pass-through varies over time. We show how different the reaction of price indices is along the distribution chain, and how different the results are when more disaggregated data are used instead of the aggregated index.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If foreign exporting firms, e.g., euro area firms, establish their prices in euros, and domestic firms, e.g., noneuro area firms, assembling imported goods and selling final products to consumers set their prices in local currency, one can expect the pass-through to consumer prices to be lower than the pass-through to import prices (see Bacchetta and van Wincoop, 2003, and Bailliu and Fujii, 2004, for a discussion about exchange rate passthrough to producer and consumer prices in industrialized countries).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, for example, Bussière (2006) for asymmetric pass-through and Darvas (2001), Rincon et al. (2005), and Sekine (2006) for time-varying pass-through estimates.

## 3. Approaches to Modeling ERPT: The Case of the Czech Republic

Pass-through to consumer prices is less transparent than pass-through to import prices. In its most basic form, the pass-through is obtained from interactions among the exchange rate, domestic consumer prices, and the most important transmission channel – import prices. Due to data problems, import prices are sometimes replaced by foreign consumer prices, reflecting the influence of the external economic environment. The advantage of such a model is its simplicity, which preserves the degree of freedom when the time series is short. However, it can suffer from misspecification due to neglect of the possible pass-through determinants. This criticism, however, can also be addressed even at sophisticated pass-through models.

Despite the relatively simple definition of exchange rate pass-through, in practice it is approximated and estimated by various different approaches. One possible method is a simple one-equation regression. However, the most commonly applied method is VAR or structural VAR (SVAR).<sup>4</sup> VAR models account for endogeneity and allow the magnitude and dynamics of the pass-through to be measured. Here it is important to distinguish between long- and short-run pass-through. In a VAR framework, short-run pass-through is measured as an impulse response to a given shock<sup>5</sup>, which allows us to infer the magnitude and dynamics of the pass-through. The accumulated impulse responses can be interpreted as long-run pass-through if the time horizon is sufficiently long. In this paper, by short-run pass-through we mean the instant impulse responses and the accumulated impulse responses for the period up to one year. The cointegrated VAR or error correction model allows us to measure equilibrium pass-through. Some time equilibrium pass-through is also called long-run pass-through. According to the definition of the error correction term, equilibrium pass-through represents the equilibrium to which the exchange rate coefficient tends to converge. The advantage of the VEC approach is that it keeps the information in levels taking into account causal relationships and non-stationarity issues.<sup>6</sup>

To sum up, the variety of applied procedures makes it difficult to find any encompassing approach. In addition, there is no single model for measuring pass-through. The pass-through studies for the Czech Republic cover a large spectrum of econometric procedures, including simple univariate methods and more complex systems of equations, such as autoregressive models or models with pre-estimated parameters (e.g., the Quarterly Prediction Model of Beneš et al., 2003). Table 1 shows the variables used in pass-through studies for the Czech Republic. For comparison and further analysis, we also include in Table 1 three specifications with a distribution chain, estimated on a sample containing the euro area countries. In the spirit of McCarthy (2007), we split all the variables into six groups: distribution chain, exchange rate shock, supply, demand and external shocks, and monetary policy variables.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Pass-through estimates can also be obtained from a calibrated structural model. On the one hand, the advantages of this model include its theoretical foundations and the inclusion of monetary policy or central bank reaction functions. On the other hand, one criticism of the structural models concerns the presence of pre-calculated parameters, frequently chosen on an *ad-hoc* basis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Shocks are usually normalized to one percent or to one standard deviation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Notice that the VAR approach is criticized by Coricelli et al. (2006) for inconsistency with the definition of pass-through. In particular, the authors emphasize that any type of shock can cause co-movements between the exchange rate and prices.

author	estima-	short-run				variabl	es inc	cludeo	d in ti	he m	odel			
	tion	and	supply	den			dis	tribut	tion		extern.	mon	letary	
	method	[long-run]	shock	she	ock				chaiı	1		shock	ро	licy
		ERPT	pm <sup>oil</sup>	gap	dgp	s	w	pm	px	ру	рс	$pc^{f}$	m	i
EURO AREA														
McCarthy (1999, 2007)	VAR	0 <sup>d</sup>	Х	Х		х		х		х	x		Xa	Xa
Farugee (2006)	VAR	0.02				X	Х	Х	Х	Х	X			
Hahn (2003)	VAR	0.08	Х	Х		Х		Х		Х	Х			Х
CZECH REPUBLIC	·	-	-	-						-				-
Bitāns (2004)	VAR	0.21;0.13		Х		Х				Х	Х		Х	Х
Ca'Zorzi (2007)	VAR	[0.61;0.55]	Х	Х		Х		Х			Х			Х
Campa Goldberg	OLS	0. [0 60]			v	v					v	v		
(2000) Campa Goldberg	OLS	0, [0.00]			Λ	л					Λ	Λ		
(2006)	SVAR	0				Х		Х			Х	Х		
Coricelli et al. (2006) <sup>b</sup>	CVAR	[0.46]				Х				Х	Х			Х
Darvas (2001) <sup>c</sup>	VAK,E C	0-0.04; 0.15				Х					Х	Х		
Darvas (2001)	TVEC	0.10;0.15				Х					Х	Х		
Korhonen Wachtel	VAD	0.02.0.00	V			v					V	v		
(2000) Mihaliek Klau (2001)	VAK	0.05,0.09	л	v		A V		v			A V	Λ		
$\frac{1}{2001}$	OLS	0.35.0.37		Λ	C t r	A u o t	11	r a	1	11		1 0 1		
Belies et al. (2005)		0.55-0.57	oil	-	517	исі	<u>_</u> u	i u	l			<i>ие</i> і <u> </u>		
			pm <sup>on</sup>	- oil pric	ces		W		- ur	nit la	bor co	sts		
			m	- broad	money		pm	1	- 1n	iport	price	S		
			1	- interes	t rate		px		- ex	port	prices	5		
			gap	- output	gap		py		- pr	oauc	er pri	ies CDI		
			ugp	- real G	DP		pc		- 00	msur	ner pr	ices -CPI		
			S	- exchar	nge rate		pc <sup>1</sup>		- fo	reigi	ı CPI			

*Note:* <sup>a</sup> McCarthy (2007) only. <sup>b</sup> Coricelli et al. (2006) use inflation and interest rate differentials. Therefore, it is difficult to compare the model and the results with other estimations. <sup>c</sup> Darvas (2001) also estimates an equilibrium real exchange rate model with time-invariant parameters. <sup>d</sup> The original model was estimated for industrialized countries. The impulse response of the CPI to an exchange rate shock is insignificant for the majority of the euro area countries included in the sample.

The different econometric methodologies and specifications lead to a variety of pass-through estimates. Short-run pass-through varies from 0 to almost 40 percent (column 3 in Table 1). For comparison, the ERPT to the euro area HICP varies between 2 percent (Faruqee, 2006) and 8 percent (Hahn, 2003). It is mostly insignificant in McCarthy (1999 and 2007). Long-run pass-through is found to be around 0.5–0.6, which is far from complete. Studies with cross-countries analysis find the lowest pass-through for the Czech Republic among the Central European countries (Coricelli et al., 2006, and Darvas, 2001) or the emerging economies (Mihaljek and Klau, 2001). In addition, Mihaljek and Klau (2001) find high inflation inertia for the Czech Republic (the highest in the sample). Most studies estimate pass-through using the effective exchange rate, but others, e.g., Mihaljek and Klau (2001), prefer the bilateral exchange rate, which is more transparent for firms in comparison with the effective exchange rate. Korhonen and Wachtel (2005) estimate pass-through using the euro and dollar exchange rates. Pass-through is found to be higher when the euro is used.

It worth mentioning that such variation in the exchange rate pass-through results may be due to different estimation periods and differences in definitions of pass-through, as well as to different frequency of the data (monthly and quarterly). It may also be partially due to variation in the underlying price indices. For example, Beneš et al. (2003) and Darvas (2001) exclude food, energy, and administered prices from the aggregated price index. Coricelli et al. (2006) and Mihaljek and Klau (2001) use the aggregated index of CPI inflation.

### 4. General Estimation Strategy

None of the aforementioned approaches can be considered the best. Therefore, the alternative methods should rather be viewed as complementary to each other. With a few exceptions<sup>7</sup> we replicate the specifications already applied to the Czech Republic data. In addition, we estimate McCarthy-type specifications with a distribution chain (see Table 1). If oil prices and the interest rate are included in the model, we consider them first as exogenous and then as endogenous. Therefore, we obtain about 20 specifications, but only 11 passed the stability test. Only stationary specifications are used for the pass-through analysis. These 11 specifications are listed in Table 2.

VAR			endogeno	ous			exoge	enous			
No.			variable	es			varia	ıbles			
1	S	pm	px	ру	pc	W	-				
2	pm <sup>oil</sup>	gap	s	pm <sup>non oil</sup>	ру	pc					
3	gap	S	pm <sup>non oil</sup>	ру	pc		pm <sup>oil</sup>				
4	gap	S	pm <sup>non oil</sup>	ру	pc		pm <sup>oil</sup>	i			
5	gap	S	pm <sup>non oil</sup>	рс			pm <sup>oil</sup>	i			
6	gap	s	рс				$pc^{EU}$				
7	S	pm	рс				$\mathrm{pc}^{\mathrm{EU}}$				
8	S	рс					$\mathrm{pc}^{\mathrm{EU}}$				
9	pm <sup>oil</sup>	S	рс				$\mathrm{pc}^{\mathrm{EU}}$				
10	s	pc					$\mathrm{pc}^{\mathrm{EU}}$	pm <sup>oil</sup>			
11	gap	S	pm	рс							
pm <sup>oil</sup>	- oil prie	ces		W	- ur	it labo	or costs				
pm <sup>non oil</sup>	- oil prie	ces		pm	- in	nport p	rices				
i	- interes	st rate		px	- ex	port p	rices				
gap	- output	gap		ру	- pr	oduce	ducer prices				
S	- exchar	nge rate	(NEER)	pc	- co	nsume	er prices -	CPI			
				$pc^{EU}$	- eu	iro are	a CPI				

Table 2: Estimated VAR Specifications

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> We do not use, for example, broad money. Also, we do not replicate the model of Coricelli et al. (2006), estimated with inflation and interest rate differentials. Finally, Darvas (2001) estimates the time-invariant equilibrium real exchange rate, but there is no unique approach to estimating the equilibrium exchange rate (for different strategies of equilibrium exchange rate estimation for transition economies, see Égert et al., 2006). In addition, it is not necessarily the case that the equilibrium exchange rate is stable over time. Therefore, we do not follow this approach.

All 11 specifications are estimated for five consumer price indices: pc - aggregated CPI,  $pc^{tt} - tradables only, pc^{tf} - food only, pc^{to} - other tradables (excluding food and beverages), and <math>pc^{nt}$  - non-tradables excluding regulated prices. The majority of pass-through studies use the data transformed into month-on-month changes. This data, however, may contain additional noise. For this reason, we complement the estimations on month-on-month changes with estimations done on the data transformed into annual growth rates:  $(X_t-X_{t-12})/X_{t-12}*100$  (here and after year-on-year changes). Estimates are performed for three periods: the whole period and two sub-periods. In total, this gives us 11\*5\*2\*3=330 estimated VAR models. Price indices and the exchange rate are transformed into log-differences for the month-on-month (m-o-m) estimates and one-period differences for the year-on-year (y-o-y) estimates. The interest rate is transformed into one-period differences in the y-o-y VARs.

A VAR process is generally described as  $y_t = C_o + C(L)y_{t-1} + u_t$ , where  $y_t$  is a vector of endogenous variables in first log differences or in annual rates. Short-run pass-through is computed as an impulse response from the VAR model. To compute the impulse responses, Cholesky ordering is selected. Cholesky decomposition implies a predetermined ordering of the impulse responses: in the first period the first variable is affected only by its own shock, the second variable is affected by the first variable's shock and its own shock, etc., and the last variable is affected by the shocks from all the variables. Let  $y_i$  be a vector of n endogenous variables in log differences. The relation between the variables follows an autoregressive process  $By_{-A_0+A(L)y_{++\varepsilon_1}}$ , where B is an  $n \times n$  matrix with unitary elements along its of the form: diagonal, A(L) is a lag polynomial of order p (we select p=2),  $A_0$  is a vector of constant terms, and  $\mathcal{E}_t$  denotes a matrix of disturbance terms. The reduced-form VAR is obtained by multiplying both sides by  $B^{-1}$ :  $y_t = C_0 + C(L)y_{t-1} + u_t$ , where  $C_0 = B^{-1}A_0$ ,  $C = B^{-1}A$ , and  $u_t = B^{-1}\varepsilon_t$  are reduced-form residuals, given by the shocks from all six variables. B is not observed directly (with unrestricted VAR we can estimate only the  $C_0$  and C coefficients). If B is not diagonal, innovations  $u_t$  will be correlated with each other. This does not allow us to pick out shocks from a particular variable. To ensure orthogonality of the innovations in the model with n variables, at least  $(n^2 - n)/2$ restrictions should be imposed (for example, for Faruqee's 2006 specification with six endogenous variables the number of restrictions is equal to  $(6^2-6)/2=15$ ). One possible way to do so is to transform the symmetrical residual covariance matrix into a Cholesky lower triangular matrix. Thus, we obtain the following recursive system:

 $\mathcal{E}_{1}=C_{11}\mathcal{U}_{1}$   $\mathcal{E}_{2}=-C_{21}\mathcal{E}_{1}+C_{22}\mathcal{U}_{2}$   $\mathcal{E}_{3}=-C_{31}\mathcal{E}_{1}-C_{32}\mathcal{E}_{2}+C_{33}\mathcal{U}_{3}$   $\mathcal{E}_{4}=-C_{41}\mathcal{E}_{1}-C_{42}\mathcal{E}_{2}-C_{43}\mathcal{E}_{3}+C_{44}\mathcal{U}_{4}$   $\mathcal{E}_{5}=-C_{51}\mathcal{E}_{1}-C_{52}\mathcal{E}_{2}-C_{53}\mathcal{E}_{3}-C_{54}\mathcal{E}_{4}+C_{55}\mathcal{U}_{5}$   $\cdots$   $\mathcal{E}_{n}=-C_{n1}\mathcal{E}_{1}-C_{n2}\mathcal{E}_{2}-C_{n3}\mathcal{E}_{3}-C_{n4}\mathcal{E}_{4}-C_{n5}\mathcal{E}_{5}+C_{nn}\mathcal{U}_{n}$ 

Notice that the ordering of the endogenous variables matters. In practice, it is difficult to test all the possible combinations, e.g., a system of 6 variables gives 6!=720 possibilities for ordering the variables. We selected the ordering of variables which corresponds to the distribution chain hypothesis. In the spirit of McCarthy (1999 or 2007) we place variables approximating demand and supply shocks before the distribution chain.

The next step after the short-run pass-through estimates is to estimate the equilibrium passthrough. The latter is based on VECM estimates. The cointegrated equation shows the equilibrium to which the integrated variables will converge. Therefore, the equilibrium pass-through is computed as the inverse of the coefficients from the cointegrated equation.

We also try to assess the time-varying pass-through. First, time-varying results can be obtained from a simple break-up of the sample into two sub-periods. The relatively short sample size prevents us from experimenting with more than two sub-periods. An alternative strategy is to estimate a time-variant model. There are three possibilities for estimating the time-varying coefficients: a Kalman filter, a Markov switching process and smooth transition threshold autoregression (STAR). Darvas (2006) argues that the Kalman filter is the best technique, since it preserves flexibility. By contrast, a smooth transition between the beginning and end of the period and the particular path assumed by the STAR model or the several regimes with the possibility of returning to a previous regime (Markov switching) seem to be unrealistic or too restrictive for countries like the Czech Republic. We therefore build a state and space model where changes in the CPI index are explained by changes in the exchange rate and the error correction term. By applying the Kalman filter, we allow the exchange rate coefficient to vary over time.

#### **5. Data Description and Preliminary Tests**

Aggregated CPI, export, and import prices are obtained from the Czech Statistical Office. The nominal effective exchange rate<sup>8</sup> and the 3-month interest rate are taken from the ARAD database, while producer prices, labor costs, GDP, and the HICP for the euro area come from Eurostat. Sub-components of the Czech CPI are estimated by CNB staff. GDP, available on a quarterly basis, was converted to monthly frequency. The output gap is estimated from GDP data using the Hodrick-Prescott filter. In order to keep as much information as possible, we start with the estimations over the whole period. Then the data are split into sub-periods: 1996:1–2001:12 and 2002:1–2006:12. The second interval encompasses the period of CPI inflation targeting. We do not divide the second sub-sample into the pre- and post-EU accession periods, in order to keep the time series relatively long.

The original series, apart from GDP and the interest rate, are expressed in indices equal to 100 for the base year 2000. For the CPI, export, and import price indices, there was a methodological change in 2001: the number of representative price categories increased by approximately 20%. Since there is no obvious way of combining the two methodologies, we merge the pre-2001 and post-2001 series using 2000 as the base year.<sup>9</sup>

Before starting the econometric estimations, some commonly used procedures are applied to test the data properties. First, the series are checked for stationarity. Generally, the number of lags for

the unit root test is based on the following formula:  $k_{\text{max}} = \inf\left(A^* \frac{T}{100}^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)$ , where T is the number of

observations and A is equal to 12 for monthly data. However, this gives us up to 13 lags. That is too much for a small sample. The unit root tests are estimated with the maximum number of lags set to  $6^{10}$  Tables 3 and 4 summarize the test statistics for the ADF and KPSS tests. For additional unit root tests, see Annex 1 and 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The weights account for trade with 23 principal trade partners.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The same approach was applied in the Emerging Market Database (EMED) to construct the series of Czech export and import prices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Estimation with 2, 4, or 8 lags gives quite similar results.

	who	ole period	1996	-2001	2002	-2006
	ADF	KPSS	ADF	KPSS	ADF	KPSS
			Estimatio	on in levels		
S	-2.32	0.27 ***	-2.14	0.17 **	-1.55	0.20 **
px	-1.96	0.25 ***	-1.93	0.08	-1.96	0.16 **
pm	-2.20	0.19 **	-2.16	0.11	-4.01 **	0.09
pm <sup>non oil</sup>	-2.48	0.17 **	-2.27	0.12	-3.54 **	0.08
pm <sup>oil</sup>	-1.86	0.16 **	-1.39	0.20 **	-2.22	0.17 **
ру	-2.18	0.23 ***	-1.60	0.11	-2.39	0.11
pc	-1.69	0.33 ***	-1.12	0.24 ***	-2.61	0.18 **
$pc^{EU}$	-1.35	0.22 ***	-0.58	0.20 **	-2.42	0.20 **
pc <sup>tt</sup>	-1.84	0.34 ***	-1.98	0.17 **	-3.33 *	0.10
pc <sup>tf</sup>	-2.48	0.22 ***	-2.03	0.13 *	-3.60 **	0.09
pc <sup>to</sup>	-1.49	0.35 ***	-1.09	0.23 ***	-3.62 **	0.08
pc <sup>nt</sup>	-1.52	0.33 ***	-0.84	0.26 ***	-1.91	0.20 **
pc <sup>no</sup>	-1.75	0.36 ***	-1.21	0.26 ***	-1.31	0.14 *
W	-2.35	0.17 **	-2.17	0.10	-2.78	0.13 *
i	-1.69	0.14 *	-2.01	0.15 **	-3.06	0.20 **
gap	-2.78	0.07	-4.52 ***	0.18 **	-2.10	0.19 **
•		F	Estimation in	first difference		
S	-6.38 *	*** 0.04	-6.30 ***	0.05	-7.37 ***	* 0.08
рх	-6.82 *	*** 0.04	-3.66 **	0.08	-5.85 ***	* 0.12 *
pm	-7.42 *	*** 0.05	-4.59 ***	0.11	-5.79 ***	* 0.11
pm <sup>non oil</sup>	-7.30 *	*** 0.05	-4.60 ***	0.09	-5.91 ***	* 0.11
pm <sup>oil</sup>	-9.98 *	*** 0.06	-7.03 ***	0.12	-5.61 ***	<sup>∗</sup> 0.11
ру	-4.85 *	*** 0.08	-7.08 ***	0.09	-2.32	0.14 *
pc	-10.87 *	*** 0.09	-7.42 ***	0.08	-7.03 ***	∗ 0.09
$pc^{EU}$	-11.58 *	*** 0.09	-7.49 ***	0.17 **	-8.27 ***	* 0.09
pc <sup>tt</sup>	-9.02 *	*** 0.08	-6.57 ***	0.12	-5.00 ***	° 0.10
pc <sup>tf</sup>	-8.59 *	*** 0.06	-6.26 ***	0.12	-4.94 ***	* 0.15 **
pc <sup>to</sup>	-4.80 *	*** 0.08	-8.25 ***	0.06	-5.12 ***	<sup>∗</sup> 0.06
pc <sup>nt</sup>	-12.85 *	*** 0.13 *	-9.41 ***	0.09	-8.31 ***	* 0.10
pc <sup>no</sup>	-9.89 *	*** 0.08	-6.54 ***	0.10	-7.42 ***	* 0.08
W	-7.60 *	*** 0.19 **	-4.75 ***	0.06	-10.23 ***	* 0.10
i	-8.80 *	*** 0.15 **	-6.14 ***	0.13 *	-5.82 ***	* 0.09
gap	-4.35 *	<sup>***</sup> 0.09	-2.63	0.12 *	-2.60	0.08

Table 3: ADF and KPSS Tests on m-o-m Data in Log-levels and Log-differences

*Note:* <sup>a</sup> see Table 2 for the definition of the variables. The upper scripts for the CPI denote the following sub-groups: no upper script – aggregated CPI, tt – tradables only, tf – food only, to – other tradables (excluding food and beverages), and nt – non-tradables excluding regulated prices. <sup>b</sup> H0 in the ADF test assumes non-stationarity of the series. H0 in the KPSS test says the variables are stationary.

By and large, all the variables except the output gap are non-stationary in levels and stationary in first difference. The output gap is stationary by construction. For the interest rate, the ADF and KPSS tests generate controversial results. The unit root test estimated on the sub-sample is less conclusive. Notice that in short samples unit root tests can lack power to reject the null of non-stationarity. Also, as noted by Enders (1995, Chapter 4), the Dickey-Fuller and Phillips Perron tests (see Annex 1 and 2) are unable to distinguish between unit roots and non-linear trends. Since in the majority of pass-through studies the interest rate and the output gap are estimated in levels, we also include these variables in the VAR models in levels. The other variables are estimated in log differences (m-o-m data) or first difference (y-o-y data). According to common practice, it is also possible to have some non-stationary variables if the whole model passes the stability test.

	wł	iole p	eriod		1	1996–2	2001		,	2002-	-2006	
-	ADF		KPSS		ADF		KPSS		ADF		KPSS	
					Estima	ation i	n levels	5				
S	-3.52	**	0.06		-3.27	*	0.06		-2.60		0.11	
px	-3.71	**	0.05		-2.69		0.07		-2.12		0.13	*
pm	-3.20	*	0.06		-2.44		0.10		-2.33		0.10	
pm <sup>non oil</sup>	-3.53	**	0.05		-2.74		0.10		-2.84		0.10	
pm <sup>oil</sup>	-2.75		0.06		-1.79		0.12	*	-0.50		0.13	*
ру	-3.93	**	0.09		-2.36		0.10		-3.12		0.16	**
pc	-2.42		0.14	**	-1.71		0.12		-1.45		0.15	**
$pc^{EU}$	-2.32		0.08		-1.83		0.21	**	-1.97		0.17	**
pc <sup>tt</sup>	-3.35	*	0.12		-2.52		0.15	**	-1.68		0.14	*
pc <sup>tf</sup>	-2.93		0.10		-2.16		0.17	**	-2.24		0.15	**
pc <sup>to</sup>	-2.29		0.12	*	-1.31		0.12	*	-1.99		0.07	
pc <sup>nt</sup>	-1.99		0.13	*	-1.84		0.11		-1.56		0.14	**
pc <sup>no</sup>	-3.03		0.09		-1.74		0.11		-2.71		0.14	**
W	-3.13	*	0.08		-2.10		0.18	**	-4.28	***	0.09	
				Es	timation	in firs	st diffe	rence				
S	-5.85	***	0.04		-3.61	**	0.07		-6.45	***	0.12	*
px	-4.66	***	0.04		-3.41	*	0.09		-5.26	***	0.18	**
pm	-4.84	***	0.04		-3.89	**	0.11		-5.84	***	0.06	
pm <sup>non oil</sup>	-4.63	***	0.04		-3.68	**	0.10		-5.64	***	0.10	
pm <sup>oil</sup>	-3.36	*	0.05		-2.67		0.15	**	-5.75	***	0.16	**
ру	-4.31	***	0.04		-4.52	***	0.10		-2.74		0.10	
pc	-5.83	***	0.04		-5.09	***	0.11		-7.11	***	0.06	
$pc^{EU}$	-11.66	***	0.08		-6.54	***	0.13	*	-7.83	***	0.12	*
pc <sup>tt</sup>	-5.37	***	0.04		-2.54		0.11		-5.73	***	0.10	
pc <sup>tf</sup>	-4.97	***	0.04		-2.75		0.09		-5.21	***	0.18	**
pc <sup>to</sup>	-10.76	***	0.04		-5.14	***	0.14	*	-6.32	***	0.07	
pc <sup>nt</sup>	-11.41	***	0.06		-7.33	***	0.17	**	-7.11	***	0.09	
pc <sup>no</sup>	-9.25	***	0.04		-5.99	***	0.14	*	-1.81		0.10	
W	-6.83	***	0.29	***	-4.02	**	0.08		-4.58	***	0.05	

Table 4: ADF and KPSS Tests on Data Transformed into Annual Changes

*Note:* <sup>a</sup> see Table 2 for the definition of the variables. The upper scripts for the CPI denote the following sub-groups: no upper script – aggregated CPI, tt – tradables only, tf – food only, to – other tradables (excluding food and beverages), and nt – non-tradables excluding regulated prices. <sup>b</sup> H0 in the ADF test assumes non-stationarity of the series. H0 in the KPSS test says the variables are stationary.

					VA	R N	0.:				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
<b>m-o-m</b>											
AIC	6	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	6	6	6
SIC	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	0	1	2
у-о-у											
AIC	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	6	6	6	8
SIC	0	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	0	2	2

 Table 5: Lag Length Selection

*Note:* AIC – Akaike information criterion, SIC – Schwarz information criterion. The numbers in the table show the number of lags in the VAR suggested under the selected information criteria.

Table 5 shows the optimal number of lags for each VAR based on the Akaike and Schwarz information criteria. The Akaike information criterion suggests 6–8 lags. According to the Schwarz information criterion, the number of lags should not be greater than 2. Since for short samples the Schwarz criterion is preferred, we estimate all the VAR models with 2 lags. All 11 VAR models passed the stability test.

#### 6. Results

The measure of pass-through is based on the approach described in section 3. First, we show the results from the VAR models. Then we present calculations based on VECM. Finally, we discuss possible time variation and asymmetry in the pass-through.

The impulse responses based on VAR models show incomplete and fast short-run pass-through. The effect of the exchange rate shock to the CPI attains its maximum after roughly 6 months, and at least half of this effect occurs during the first 3 months. In other words, if we consider the accumulated impulse response after 12 months as a complete response, the ERPT after 3 months accounts for at least 50% depending on the specification chosen. In order to check how sensitive our results are to the selected specification, we put the results obtained from different specifications in the same figure. Figures 1 and 2 plot the peak impulse response for each specification estimated for 5 CPI indices<sup>11</sup> over 3 periods. The numbers from 1 to 11 on the horizontal axis correspond to the VAR numbering in Table 2. In other words, each small graph in Figures 1 and 2 shows 11 peak impulse responses and their confidence intervals estimated from the 11 different VAR models.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> We assume that the exchange rate shock may be transmitted through intermediate inputs that do not necessarily belong to the same group as the final product. Therefore, we keep all other variables unchanged when the disaggregated consumer price index is used instead of the aggregated one.





*Note:* The upper scripts for the CPI denote the following sub-groups: no upper script – aggregated CPI, tt – tradables only, tf – food only, to – other tradables (excluding food and beverages), and nt – non-tradables excluding regulated prices. The numbers from 1 to 11 on the horizontal axis denote the specification number as in Table 2. The solid line shows the peak impulse response from each specification. The dashed line is the 95% confidence interval. The peak impulse response is observed in the 2nd month for the sub-period 2002–2006 for all prices except other tradables. Otherwise, the peak impulse response occurs in the 3rd month.



#### Figure 2: Peak Impulse Response to a 1% Exchange Rate Shock; y-o-y Data



According to our expectations, the magnitude of the pass-through is not the same for tradables and non-tradables. For non-tradables it is the smallest and is almost insignificant, in line with the theoretical literature. Among the three groups of tradable goods (total index, food, and other tradables), the peak impulse response has more or less the same magnitude. The year-on-year estimates produce more significant results than the estimates done on month-on-month changes. Estimated on the whole sample, the peak impulse response of the y-o-y CPI is between 7% and 12%. For some specifications it is slightly higher during the first period, but it becomes insignificant in the second period. The m-o-m estimates report a peak response of around 10% for the estimates done on the whole sample. In the first period, only the aggregated index and selected specifications for other tradables have a statistically significant impulse response (around 10%–15%). In the second period, the peak impulse is significant in half of the specifications with the total tradables index.

After 6 months, the ERPT is mostly transmitted into consumer prices. Figures 3 and 4 show the accumulated impulse response in the 6th period. We display the results in the same manner as in figures 1 and 2. The ERPT to the aggregated CPI does not exceed 25% for the m-o-m estimates and fluctuates around 30% for the y-o-y results. It is found to be higher in the first period (up to 40%–50%) and lower and insignificant in the second period. The lower pass-through in the second period is in line with the gradual decrease of the target level of inflation during 2002–2005. However, it is very unlikely that there is no ERPT to domestic inflation in the small open economy with a significant share of imported goods in the consumption basket. In case of the true magnitude of the pass-through. For this reason, estimations performed on the whole sample seem to provide more reliable results. Notice that an insignificant accumulated impulse response means that the shock has already been transmitted and no longer affects the variable of interest. However, it can also be insignificant if all the instant impulse responses are insignificant.



#### Figure 3: Accumulated Impulse Response after 6 Periods; m-o-m Data

*Note:* The upper scripts for the CPI denote the following sub-groups: no upper script – aggregated CPI, tt – tradables only, tf – food only, to – other tradables (excluding food and beverages), and nt – non-tradables excluding regulated prices. The numbers from 1 to 11 on the horizontal axis denote the specification number as in Table 2. The solid line shows the peak impulse response from each specification. The dashed line is the 95% confidence interval.





*Note:* The upper scripts for the CPI denote the following sub-groups: no upper script – aggregated CPI, tt – tradables only, tf – food only, to – other tradables (excluding food and beverages), and nt – non-tradables excluding regulated prices. The numbers from 1 to 11 on the horizontal axis denote the specification number as in Table 2. The solid line shows the peak impulse response from each specification. The dashed line is the 95% confidence interval.

Finally, we show the pass-through evolution along the distribution chain. The vertical axis on Table 5 shows the magnitude of the pass-through (accumulated impulse responses) to import prices, producer prices, and consumer prices. The horizontal axis displays the periods of time. We show the results from the two VAR models where there is an aggregated import price index and the ERPT to consumer prices is significant. The estimations are done on the whole sample.



Figure 5: Pass-through Along the Distribution Chain (accumulated impulse response)

*Note:* pc is the aggregated consumer price index, py is the producer price index, and pm is the import price index. The numbers 1 and 11 in the legend denote the specification number as in Table 2. The periods (months) are plotted on the horizontal axis.

The results are in line with the general findings of a fading pass-through effect along the distribution chain: the highest - close-to-complete - pass-through is found for import prices; the pass-through is much lower for the PPI and CPI. The pass-through to import prices is around 85% on the m-o-m data, which is close to Farugee (2006) for the euro area. The pass-through is lower, and the distance between the accumulated impulse responses of import and consumer prices is much narrower, when year-on-year changes are used instead. Interestingly, the reaction of producer prices to the exchange rate shock is lower than the reaction of consumer prices to the same shock. Bacchetta and van Wincoop (2003) explain the high pass-through to import prices and the low pass-through to domestic prices by high competition in the domestic market. In other words, foreign exporting firms sell intermediate goods to domestic firms and set their prices in the foreign currency. Domestic firms produce final goods, which include foreign components. If the degree of competition among local producers in the local market is high, the domestic firm prefers to set its prices in the local currency, which leads to a high pass-through to import prices and low pass-through to consumer prices. Therefore, the main absorption of the exchange rate shock occurs during the production process. The higher pass-through to consumer prices relative to producer prices in this case is a result of the foreign component in the consumption basket.

The majority of VAR-type pass-through estimates are taken in first differences, due to nonstationary of the variables in levels. However, as pointed out by Elbourne *et al.* (2001), if series are non-stationary in levels but cointegrated, the vector error correction model may be more appropriate. Before proceeding to the VEC estimate, we test for a number of cointegrated equations in each specification using the Johansen cointegration test. The results are summarized in Table 6.

			m-o-m						у-о-у		
ECM No.	PC	PCTT	PC <sup>TF</sup>	PC <sup>TO</sup>	PC <sup>NT</sup>	_	PC	PCTT	PCTF	PC <sup>TO</sup>	PC <sup>NT</sup>
1	2	1	2	2	2		2	2	2	2	2
2,3	1	1	1	1	1		4*	3	4*	3	3
4	2	1	1	2	2		3*	3	3*	3	3
5	3	2*	-	3	2*		3*	3*	-	3	3
6	2	2*	2	3	2*		3*	3*	3*	3	3
7	2	2	1	2	2		2*	1*	2*	1	1
8	1	1	0	1	1		1	1	1	0	1
9,10	2	2	1	2	2		4	1*	4	1	1
11	1	1	1	1	1		4	2	4	1	1

Table 6: Number of Cointegrated Equations Based on Johansen Cointegration Test

*Note:* The upper scripts for the CPI denote the following sub-groups: no upper script – aggregated CPI, tt – tradables only, tf – food only, to – other tradables (excluding food and beverages), and nt – non-tradables excluding regulated prices. The numbers from 1 to 11 in the first column denote the specification number as in Table 2. Initial test assumptions: a linear trend in the data, but only with an intercept and no trend in the cointegrated equations. \* denotes the number of cointegrated equations selected under the different test assumptions.

Since the interpretation of equilibrium pass-through becomes very difficult when the number of cointegrated equations is greater than one, we compute the equilibrium pass-through from the specifications where the Johansen cointegration test detects only one cointegrated equation. Therefore, the equilibrium pass-through is estimated for specifications 2, 3, 8, and 11 on the m-o-m data. The results are summarized in Table 7.

No.	PC	PCTT	PCTF	РСТО	PCNT
			whole perio	bd	
2	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.82	0.00
3	-1.01	-0.54	0.66	-1.09	-1.96
8	1.12	0.89	0.65	1.31	1.03
11	-0.64	0.00	-0.36	0.31	-1.15
			1996-2001	L	
2	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.33	0.97
3	1.11	0.42	0.65	0.70	1.28
8	0.98	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	-1.14	-0.43	-0.42	-0.82	-2.42
			2002-2000	5	
2	0.00	-0.42	-0.20	-0.61	0.82
3	-0.27	-0.45	-0.20	-0.45	1.31
8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	0.00	0.00	-0.52	0.00	0.00

 Table 7: Equilibrium Pass-through

*Note:* The upper scripts for the CPI denote the following sub-groups: no upper script – aggregated CPI, tt – tradables only, tf – food only, to – other tradables (excluding food and beverages), and nt – non-tradables excluding regulated prices. The numbers in the first column denote the specification number as in Table 2.

In the strict sense, we cannot interpret the coefficients from the cointegration equation as elasticities, since the relation of the causality is not explicitly accounted for. However, the cointegrating relationship represents some equilibrium state to which the series tend to converge.

Hence, it may be interpreted to some extent as the long-run pass-through. Long pass-through is computed as the inverse of the long-run cointegrating coefficients. The estimated equilibrium pass-through is found to be sensitive to the specification and time period selected. It is also not uniform across different CPI groups. One possible explanation of this result could be instability of equilibrium pass-through over time. We do not test this due to the short time series.

We apply the Kalman filter to the exchange rate coefficient in the equation where changes in the CPI are explained by changes in the exchange rate and the error correction equation. The timevarying coefficient is found to be insignificant in all specifications. Notice that the pass-through is also found to be low or insignificant when the time-varying procedure is applied (see, for example, Darvas, 2001).

It worth noting that the assumption of asymmetry in price adjustments could be important for the pass-through estimates. In general, prices can be more rigid downward (see the menu-cost model of Ball and Mankiw, 1994, for possible theoretical explanations of asymmetric price adjustments in the presence of positive trend inflation, and Pollard and Coughlin, 2003, for estimates of asymmetric pass-through to import prices). This implies that the reaction to exchange rate appreciation is not the same as that to exchange rate depreciation. The standard approach to the estimation of impulse response functions ignores this issue; for example, ERPT is calculated from impulse responses to a negative exchange rate shock, which corresponds to exchange rate depreciation. However, in the case of impulses of the same magnitude but of the opposite sign (exchange rate appreciation), the responses would also have the opposite sign and the same magnitude. In fact, this VAR structure implicitly assumes that prices react to exchange rate appreciation and depreciation with the same magnitude. Some preliminary results obtained from the 3-variable VAR (exchange rate, import prices, and consumer prices) estimated on the whole period with two coefficients for the exchange rate for periods of appreciation and depreciation show very low pass-through (around zero) in the case of exchange rate appreciation and around 9%–12% in the case of exchange rate depreciation. It is not clear, however, how to define periods of appreciation and depreciation and how to distinguish temporary and permanent changes. We already have a broad range of pass-through estimates, and we found a very low pass-through during 2002–2006. Therefore, the results from the time-varying model are in line with the passthrough estimates for the second period. A simple asymmetric model is not sufficient to draw a conclusion on the magnitude of the asymmetric pass-through, and the results obtained do not significantly contribute to the main conclusion. We expect to develop an asymmetric model in the future.

#### 7. Conclusion

In this paper, we measure the dynamics and completeness of the pass-through for the Czech Republic by applying alternative specifications and econometric procedures to two datasets: m-om changes and y-o-y changes. The speed of the exchange rate shock transmission to all prices is quite high (at least 50% of the shock is transmitted during the first 3 months and 100% after 6 months), which is usual for a small open economy. However, in absolute terms, the peak impulse response does not exceed 25%, and the total reaction to the exchange rate shock is likely to be less than 30% for the data estimated on the whole sample. The pass-through is found to be somewhat higher than 30% during 1996–2001, but it is mostly insignificant between 2002 and 2006. Insignificant pass-through is also found when the time-varying model is used instead of VAR. Shorter time-series and possible volatility in the data may be responsible for insignificant passthrough estimates. Since it is very implausible that pass-through to domestic prices is zero for the Czech Republic, results based one the whole sample estimates seem to provide more reliable results. We also find that tradable goods react much more to an exchange rate shock than do nontradable goods, in line with the theoretical foundations. Furthermore, the magnitude of the exchange rate pass-through decreases from the initial stage of production to final goods. However, we found lower pass-through to producer prices than to consumer prices. High competition on the domestic market or the presence of imported goods in the consumption basket could be a possible explanation for this result.

Previous studies find a short run pass-through of around 0–40%. In some cases it is extremely low, but in other cases it is likely to be more than 30%. Therefore, our estimates for 1996–2001 are close to the upper bound and our estimations for 2002–2006 close to the lower bound of the pass-through results available for the Czech Republic. These results are in line with the decrease in the level of target inflation during 2002–2005. Last but not least, as the present research is based on relatively short time series of a fast transforming economy, the estimated exchange rate pass-through should be interpreted in relative terms rather than in absolute values. The results are viewed as emphasizing the general tendencies in pass-through behavior, but thanks to easily updatable data it will be possible to survey further pass-through developments in the future.

#### References

- BACCHETTA, P. AND E. VAN WINCOOP (2003): "Why Do Consumer Prices React Less Than Import Prices to Exchange Rates?" NBER Working Paper No. 9352. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, Vol. 1(2–3), pp. 662–670.
- BAILLIU, J. AND E. FUJII (2004): "Exchange Rate Pass-Through and the Inflation Environment in Industrialized Countries: An Empirical Investigation." Bank of Canada Working Paper No. 2004-21.
- BALL, L. AND N. G. MANKIW (1994): "A Sticky-Price Manifesto." Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy, Vol. 41, pp. 127–151.
- BENEŠ, J., T. HLÉDIK, D. VÁVRA, AND J. VLČEK (2003): "The Quarterly Projection Model and its Properties." in: Coats, W., D. Laxton, and D. Rose (eds.): *The Czech National Bank's Forecasting and Policy Analysis System*. Czech National Bank, Prague.
- BITĀNS, M. (2004): "Pass-Through of Exchange Rates to Domestic Prices in East European Countries and the Role of Economic Environment." Bank of Latvia Working Paper, No. 4/2004.
- BURSTEIN, A. T., M. EICHENBAUM, AND S. REBELO (2002): "Why Are Rates of Inflation So Low After Large Devaluations?" CEPR Discussion Paper, 8748.
- BUSSIÈRE, M. (2006): "Exchange Rate Pass-Through in the G7 Economies: The Role of Nonlinearities and Asymmetries." ECB, mimeo.
- CA'ZORZI, M., E. HAHN, AND M. SÁNCHEZ (2007): "Exchange Rate Pass-Through in Emerging Markets." ECB Working Paper, No. 739.
- CAMPA, J. AND L. GOLDBERG (2006): "Distribution Margins, Imported Inputs, and the Sensitivity of the CPI to Exchange Rates." NBER Working Paper, 12121.
- CAMPA, J. M. AND J. M. GONZALEZ MINGUEZ (2006): "Differences in Exchange Rate Pass-Through in the Euro Area." *European Economic Review*, Vol. 50(1), pp. 121–145.
- CHOUDHRI, E. U. AND D. S. HAKURA (2006): "Exchange Rate Pass-Through to Domestic Prices: Does the Inflationary Environment Matter?" *Journal of International Money and Finance*, Vol. 25(4), pp. 614–639.
- CHOUDHRI, E. U., H. FARUQEE, AND D. S. HAKURA (2005): "Explaining the Exchange Rate Pass-Through in Different Prices." *Journal of International Economics*, Vol. 65(2), pp. 349–374.
- CNB (2004): Inflation Report. October.
- CORICELLI, F., B. JAZBEC, AND I. MASTEN (2006): "Exchange Rate Pass-Through in EMU Acceding Countries: Empirical Analysis and Policy Implications." *Journal of Banking & Finance*, Vol. 30(5), pp. 1375–1391.
- CORSETTI, G. AND L. DEDOLA (2005): "A Macroeconomic Model of International Price Discrimination." *Journal of International Economics*, Vol. 67(1), pp. 129–155.
- DABUSINSKAS, A. (2003): "Exchange Rate Pass-Through to Estonian Prices." Eesti Pank Working Paper, No. 1003.

- DARVAS, Z. (2001): "Exchange Rate Pass-Through and Real Exchange Rate in EU Candidate Countries." Economic Research Centre of the Deutsche Bundesbank, Discussion Paper, 10/01.
- DARVAS, Z. (2006): "Monetary Transmission in the New EU Member States: Evidence from Time-Varying Coefficient Vector Autoregression." OENB Focus on European Economic Integration, No. 1/2006, pp. 140–156.
- DEVEREUX, M. B. AND J. YETMAN (2002): "Price-Setting and Exchange Rate Pass-Through: Theory and Evidence." Proceedings of a conference held at the Bank of Canada, November 2002. Ottawa: Bank of Canada.
- ÉGERT, B., L. HALPERN, AND R. MACDONALD (2006): "Equilibrium Exchange Rates in Transition Economies: Taking Stock of the Issues." *Journal of Economic Surveys*, Vol. 20(2), pp. 257–324.
- ÉGERT, B. AND R. MACDONALD (2006): "Monetary Transmission Mechanism in Transition Economies: Surveying the Surveyable." Magyar Nemzeti Bank Working Paper, No. 2006/5.
- ELBOURNE, A., J. DE HAAN, AND E. STERKEN (2001): "Monetary Transmission in EMU: A Reassessment of VAR studies." mimeo.
- ENDERS, W. (1995): Applied Econometric Time Series. Wiley.
- FARUQEE, H. (2004): "Exchange Rate Pass-Through in the Euro Area: The Role of Asymmetric Pricing Behavior." IMF Working Paper, No. 04/14.
- FLAMINI, A. (2004): "Inflation Targeting and Exchange Rate Pass-Through." HEI Working Paper, No. 04/2004.
- GAGNON, J. E. AND J. E. IHRIG (2004): "Monetary Policy and Exchange Rate Pass-Through." Updated version of FRB International Finance Discussion Paper, No. 704, July 2001. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, Vol. 9(4), pp. 315–338.
- HAHN, E. (2003): "Pass-Through of External Shocks to Euro Area Inflation." ECB Working Paper, No. 243.
- KAMPS, A. (2006): "The Euro as Invoicing Currency in International Trade." ECB Working Paper, No. 665.
- KORHONEN, I. AND P. WACHTEL (2006): "A Note on Exchange Rate Pass-Through in CIS Countries." *Research in International Business and Finance*, Vol. 20(2), pp. 215–226.
- MCCARTHY, J. (1999): "Pass-Through of Exchange Rates and Import Prices to Domestic Inflation in Some Industrialized Economies." BIS Working Paper, No. 79.
- MCCARTHY, J. (2007): "Pass-Through of Exchange Rates and Import Prices to Domestic Inflation in Some Industrialized Economies." *Eastern Economic Journal*, Vol. 33(4), pp. 511–537.
- MENON, J. (1995): "Exchange Rate Pass-Through." Journal of Economic Surveys, Vol. 9(2), pp. 197–231.

- MIHALJEK, D. AND M. KLAU (2001): "A Note on the Pass-Through from Exchange Rate and Foreign Price Changes to Inflation in Selected Emerging Market Economies." in BIS Papers, No. 8 Modelling Aspects of the Inflation Process and the Monetary Transmission Mechanism in Emerging Market Countries, pp. 69–81.
- POLLARD, P. S. AND C. C. COUGHLIN (2003): "Pass-Through Estimates and the Choice of an Exchange Rate Index." Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Working Paper, No. 2003-004B.
- RINCON, H., E. CAICEDO, AND N. RODRÍGUEZ (2005): "Exchange Rate Pass-Through Effects: A Disaggregate Analysis of Colombian Imports of Manufactured Goods." Central Bank of Colombia Working Paper, No.330.
- SEKINE, T. (2006): "Time-Varying Exchange Rate Pass-Through: Experiences of Some Industrial Countries." BIS Working Paper, No. 202.
- TAYLOR, J. (2000): "Low Inflation, Pass-Through, and the Pricing Power of Firms." *European Economic Review*, Vol. 44(7), pp. 1389–1408.

	whole period							1996–2001								2002–2006								
	DFGLS		PP		ERS		NP		DFGLS		PP		ERS		NP		DFGLS		PP		ERS		NP	
											Estim	ation	in leve	ls										
neer	-1.75		-1.76		13.47		-7.28		-2.16		-1.64		6.80	*	-14.89	*	-1.62		-1.75		17.13		-5.11	
px	-1.36		-2.17		21.09		-4.81		-2.18		-1.65		5.41	**	-17.53	**	-1.82		-2.07		13.78		-7.05	
pm	-1.88		-2.12		10.52		-9.50		-2.35		-1.59		3.03	***	-29.74	***	-2.46		-3.27	*	9.64		-12.19	
pm <sup>non oil</sup>	-1.53		-2.55		11.28		-5.56		-2.39		-1.71		7.06		-22.66	**	-2.33		-3.39	*	11.10		-10.81	
pm <sup>oil</sup>	-1.76		-1.94		14.36		-6.42		-1.38		-1.39		22.92		-3.89		-2.12		-1.62		9.52		-9.75	
ру	-1.34		-2.45		18.77		-5.61		-1.64		-1.36		9.80		-10.14		-2.14		-2.02		7.32		-14.09	
pc	-0.14		-1.69		106.30		-0.15		-0.81		-1.13		30.76		-1.64		-1.81		-2.61		22.38		-5.11	
$pc^{EU}$	-1.38		-1.37		22.21		-3.96		-0.81		-0.70		38.53		-1.81		-1.94		-2.39		17.17		-6.16	
pc <sup>tt</sup>	-0.22		-2.03		88.95		-0.42		-1.31		-1.87		27.37		-3.75		-2.43		-2.88		10.27		-11.46	
pc <sup>tf</sup>	-1.38		-2.49		24.64		-4.23		-1.68		-1.96		17.75		-5.62		-2.35		-2.90		11.47		-11.53	
pc <sup>to</sup>	0.36		-1.53		149.58		0.45		-0.68		-1.01		38.08		-1.47		-3.74	***	-3.02		3.19	***	-30.26	***
pc <sup>nt</sup>	-0.25		-1.52		76.36		-0.70		-0.66		-0.77		55.29		-1.16		-1.70		-1.84		19.07		-5.05	
pc <sup>no</sup>	-0.26		-1.75		65.51		-0.83		-0.96		-1.03		37.75		-2.20		-1.37		-1.34		21.02		-4.06	
W	-2.40		-6.31	***	8.82		-10.32		-2.09		-4.41	***	12.40		-7.13		-1.57		-6.36	***	17.22		-4.40	
i	-1.42		-2.68		21.74		-4.04		-1.21		-2.58		29.64		-3.06		-1.53		-2.38		10.89		-6.28	
gap	-2.08		-2.67		11.59		-8.56		-4.89	***	-1.98		10.55		-11.16		-1.72		-2.24		12.90		-9.39	
										Es	timation	ı in fi	rst diff	erenc	e									
neer	-9.75	***	-9.73	***	0.45	***	-68.33	***	-6.22	***	-6.36	***	1.61	***	-32.32	***	-7.38	***	-7.37	***	3.19	***	-28.95	***
px	-3.67	***	-6.80	***	2.48	***	-24.65	***	-3.72	***	-3.61	**	5.02	**	-20.26	**	-5.59	***	-5.79	***	3.97	***	-26.47	***
pm	-3.86	***	-7.45	***	1.99	***	-25.54	***	-4.64	***	-4.59	***	3.70	***	-25.66	***	-5.67	***	-5.80	***	3.81	***	-26.78	***
pm <sup>non oil</sup>	-3.37	**	-7.31	***	2.53	***	-20.26	**	-4.63	***	-4.62	***	3.68	***	-25.55	***	-5.68	***	-5.91	***	3.99	***	-26.76	***
pm <sup>oil</sup>	-10.03	***	-10.00	***	1.31	***	-69.02	***	-7.08	***	-7.04	***	2.63	***	-34.12	***	-5.70	***	-5.49	***	3.35	***	-26.85	***
ру	-2.02		-9.71	***	6.47	*	-4.60		-3.54	***	-7.10	***	3.08	***	-16.64	*	-2.45		-5.04	***	9.99		-8.84	
pc	-10.87	***	-10.86	***	1.30	***	-70.44	***	-7.47	***	-7.39	***	2.60	***	-34.60	***	-7.10	***	-7.04	***	3.10	***	-28.87	***
$pc^{EU}$	-11.09	***	-11.58	***	1.36	***	-70.66	***	-7.47	***	-7.49	***	2.71	***	-34.59	***	-8.20	***	-8.29	***	3.29	***	-28.74	***
pc <sup>tt</sup>	-8.37	***	-9.02	***	1.57	***	-62.92	***	-6.66	***	-6.58	***	2.66	***	-33.35	***	-5.01	***	-4.63	***	3.81	***	-24.64	***
$pc^{tf}$	-7.82	***	-8.62	***	1.83	***	-60.12	***	-6.30	***	-6.26	***	2.88	***	-32.53	***	-4.95	***	-4.12	***	2.32	***	-38.93	***
pc <sup>to</sup>	-4.50	***	-10.54	***	2.21	***	-30.88	***	-8.24	***	-8.26	***	2.77	***	-34.98	***	-5.58	***	-5.31	***	0.95	***	-26.75	***
pc <sup>nt</sup>	-12.89	***	-12.82	***	1.29	***	-70.52	***	-9.34	***	-9.41	***	2.67	***	-34.51	***	-2.48		-8.35	***	3.69	***	-5.74	
pc <sup>no</sup>	-9.92	***	-9.88	***	1.33	***	-68.77	***	-6.50	***	-6.53	***	2.78	***	-32.96	***	-7.54	***	-7.42	***	3.06	***	-29.00	***
W	-2.61		-24.67	***	2.99	***	-1.97		-2.53		-14.58	***	8.81		-1.67		-4.68	***	-13.50	***	1.81	***	-13.04	
i	-5.33	***	-12.14	***	0.08	***	-66.39	***	-6.22	***	-7.88	***	0.31	***	-307.57	***	-3.72	***	-5.96	***	3.93	***	-18.40	**
gap	-3.20	**	-4.87	***	11.68		-5.92		-1.59		-2.43		8.28		-5.35		-2.35		-3.32	*	7.43		-11.33	

Appendix 1: Unit Root Tests on m-o-m Data

*Note:* All tests are performed with an assumption of constant and trend in time series.

	whole period									19	996–2	001						2	2002-	2006			
	DFGLS	PP		ERS		NP		DFGLS		PP		ERS		NP		DFGLS		PP		ERS		NP	
										Estim	ation	in leve	S										
neer	-3.48 **	-2.87		3.17	***	-28.40	***	-2.88	*	-2.39		4.27	**	-22.68	**	-1.99		-2.70		19.25		-5.83	
px	-3.75 ***	-2.70		2.30	***	-39.83	***	-2.58		-1.97		3.57	***	-30.66	***	-1.51		-2.35		25.20		-4.37	
pm	-3.23 **	-2.48		3.27	***	-27.61	***	-2.54		-1.33		2.87	***	-31.26	***	-2.00		-2.30		11.30		-10.48	
pm <sup>non oil</sup>	-3.48 **	-2.69		2.84	***	-32.25	***	-2.80	*	-1.50		2.37	***	-36.64	***	-1.93		-2.90		16.70		-7.50	
pm <sup>oil</sup>	-2.78 *	-2.18		4.39	**	-20.58	**	-1.84		-1.33		7.32		-11.26		-0.88		-0.77		27.07		-2.43	
ру	-3.73 ***	-2.57		1.35	***	-63.54	***	-2.46		-1.61		3.50	***	-25.42	***	-2.19		-1.55		0.82	***	-13.01	
pc	-2.45	-2.23		6.62	*	-13.79		-1.66		-1.64		15.83		-5.68		-2.17		-1.45		1.33	***	-64.11	***
$pc^{EU}$	-2.13	-2.32		11.01		-8.55		-1.29		-1.90		39.30		-2.63		-2.13		-1.91		10.69		-8.43	
pc <sup>tt</sup>	-3.24 **	-2.39		2.50	***	-36.14	***	-2.12		-1.66		6.30	*	-14.37	*	-1.48		-1.74		3.03	***	-4.49	
pc <sup>tf</sup>	-2.84 *	-2.38		4.97	**	-18.84	**	-2.22		-1.60		7.96		-11.75		-1.50		-2.04		30.33		-3.63	
pc <sup>to</sup>	-2.30	-2.45		9.15		-9.89		-1.52		-1.43		15.78		-5.41		-2.06		-2.22		11.71		-7.72	
pc <sup>nt</sup>	-2.00	-2.12		11.85		-7.61		-1.50		-1.88		25.48		-3.89		-2.23		-1.59		0.13	***	-195.31	***
pc <sup>no</sup>	-3.00 **	-2.59		3.41	***	-26.60	***	-1.63		-1.66		16.14		-5.70		-2.76	*	-1.49		0.84	***	-103.99	***
W	-2.55	-4.90	***	9.46		-9.45		-1.98		-2.84		8.20		-10.69		-2.34		-4.40	***	4.65	**	-13.21	
									Est	imation	in fi	st diffe	erenc	e									
neer	-5.88 ***	-9.44	***	2.16	***	-41.50	***	-3.63	***	-5.60	***	5.11	**	-17.91	**	-6.53	***	-6.45	***	3.89	***	-22.95	**
px	-4.15 ***	-5.98	***	3.21	***	-28.84	***	-3.46	**	-3.41	*	5.31	**	-16.69	*	-5.15	***	-5.28	***	4.56	**	-21.28	**
pm	-4.64 ***	-6.53	***	2.71	***	-33.99	***	-3.94	***	-3.85	**	4.65	**	-19.70	**	-5.73	***	-5.83	***	4.23	**	-22.30	**
pm <sup>non oll</sup>	-4.25 ***	-6.04	***	3.17	***	-29.59	***	-3.74	***	-3.65	**	4.84	**	-18.45	**	-5.54	***	-5.64	***	4.28	**	-22.04	**
pm <sup>on</sup>	-3.37 **	-9.58	***	5.28	**	-16.86	*	-2.69		-6.29	***	9.01		-9.76		-5.80	***	-5.75	***	4.01	***	-22.47	**
ру	-3.81 ***	-5.94	***	3.76	***	-24.77	***	-4.40	***	-4.56	***	4.32	**	-21.81	**	-2.83	*	-2.61		7.43		-12.14	
pc	-5.78 ***	-8.67	***	2.03	***	-44.17	***	-5.18	***	-5.05	***	3.49	***	-25.23	***	-7.09	***	-7.11	***	4.05	***	-22.89	**
pc <sup>EU</sup>	-11.71 ***	-11.72	***	1.39	***	-64.94	***	-6.55	***	-6.54	***	3.23	***	-28.38	***	-7.73	***	-8.03	***	4.18	**	-22.47	**
pc <sup>u</sup>	-5.27 ***	-8.45	***	2.38	***	-37.95	***	-2.68		-4.20	***	8.86		-11.32		-5.86	***	-5.75	***	3.89	***	-22.59	**
pc	-4.90 ***	-7.26	***	2.67	***	-33.95	***	-2.75	*	-4.08	**	7.52		-11.98		-5.29	***	-5.21	***	4.20	**	-21.82	**
peto	-10.77 ***	-10.76	***	1.40	***	-64.81	***	-4.75	***	-5.14	***	6.26	*	-25.87	***	-6.39	***	-6.32					
nt																			***	3.92	***	-22.91	**
pc <sup>m</sup>	-11.46 ***	-11.41	***	1.39	***	-64.99	***	-7.37	***	-7.33	***	3.24	***	-28.99	***	-7.12	***	-7.19	***	3.98	***	-22.88	**
peno	-9.18 ***	-9.29	***	1.47	***	-62.15	***	-6.08	***	-5.99	***	3.31	***	-27.73	***	-1.86		-5.39	***	19.47		-4.45	
W	-2.18	-19.56	***	4.07	***	-2.00		-1.81		-12.37	***	14.42		-1.12		-3.97	***	-11.79	***	0.30	***	-8.71	

**Appendix 2: Unit Root Tests on y-o-y Data** 

*Note*: All tests are performed with an assumption of constant and trend in time series.

#### **CNB WORKING PAPER SERIES**

12/2007	Oxana Babetskaia- Kukharchuk	<i>Transmission of exchange rate shocks into domestic inflation: The case of the Czech Republic</i>
11/2007	Jan Filáček	Why and how to assess inflation target fulfilment
10/2007	Michal Franta Branislav Saxa Kateřina Šmídková	Inflation persistence in new EU member states: Is it different than in the Euro area members?
9/2007	Kamil Galuščák Jan Pavel	Unemployment and inactivity traps in the Czech Republic: Incentive effects of policies
8/2007	Adam Geršl Ieva Rubene Tina Zumer	Foreign direct investment and productivity spillovers: Updated evidence from Central and Eastern Europe
7/2007	Ian Babetskii Luboš Komárek Zlatuše Komárková	Financial integration of stock markets among new EU member states and the euro area
6/2007	Anca Pruteanu-Podpiera Laurent Weill Franziska Schobert	Market power and efficiency in the Czech banking sector
5/2007	Jiří Podpiera Laurent Weill	Bad luck or bad management? Emerging banking market experience
4/2007	Roman Horváth	<i>The time-varying policy neutral rate in real time: A predictor for future inflation?</i>
3/2007	Jan Brůha Jiří Podpiera Stanislav Polák	The convergence of a transition economy: The case of the Czech Republic
2/2007	Ian Babetskii Nauro F. Campos	Does reform work? An econometric examination of the reform-growth puzzle
1/2007	Ian Babetskii Fabrizio Coricelli Roman Horváth	Measuring and explaining inflation persistence: Disaggregate evidence on the Czech Republic
13/2006	Frederic S. Mishkin Klaus Schmidt- Hebbel	Does inflation targeting make a difference?
12/2006	Richard Disney Sarah Bridges John Gathergood	Housing wealth and household indebtedness: Is there a household 'financial accelerator'?
11/2006	Michel Juillard Ondřej Kameník Michael Kumhof Douglas Laxton	Measures of potential output from an estimated DSGE model of the United States
10/2006	Jiří Podpiera Marie Raková	Degree of competition and export-production relative prices when the exchange rate changes: Evidence from a panel of Czech exporting companies
9/2006	Alexis Derviz Jiří Podpiera	Cross-border lending contagion in multinational banks
8/2006	Aleš Bulíř Jaromír Hurník	The Maastricht inflation criterion: "Saints" and "Sinners"

7/2006	Alena Bičáková Jiří Slačálek Michal Slavík	Fiscal implications of personal tax adjustments in the Czech Republic
6/2006	Martin Fukač Adrian Pagan	Issues in adopting DSGE models for use in the policy process
5/2006	Martin Fukač	New Keynesian model dynamics under heterogeneous expectations and adaptive learning
4/2006	Kamil Dybczak Vladislav Flek Dana Hájková Jaromír Hurník	Supply-side performance and structure in the Czech Republic (1995–2005)
3/2006	Aleš Krejdl	Fiscal sustainability – definition, indicators and assessment of Czech public finance sustainability
2/2006	Kamil Dybczak	Generational accounts in the Czech Republic
1/2006	Ian Babetskii	Aggregate wage flexibility in selected new EU member states
14/2005	Stephen G. Cecchetti	The brave new world of central banking: The policy challenges posed by asset price booms and busts
13/2005	Robert F. Engle Jose Gonzalo Rangel	The spline GARCH model for unconditional volatility and its global macroeconomic causes
12/2005	Jaromír Beneš Tibor Hlédik Michael Kumhof David Vávra	An economy in transition and DSGE: What the Czech national bank's new projection model needs
11/2005	Marek Hlaváček Michael Koňák Josef Čada	The application of structured feedforward neural networks to the modelling of daily series of currency in circulation
10/2005	Ondřej Kameník	Solving SDGE models: A new algorithm for the sylvester equation
9/2005	Roman Šustek	Plant-level nonconvexities and the monetary transmission mechanism
8/2005	Roman Horváth	<i>Exchange rate variability, pressures and optimum currency area criteria: Implications for the central and eastern european countries</i>
7/2005	Balázs Égert Luboš Komárek	Foreign exchange interventions and interest rate policy in the Czech Republic: Hand in glove?
6/2005	Anca Podpiera Jiří Podpiera	Deteriorating cost efficiency in commercial banks signals an increasing risk of failure
5/2005	Luboš Komárek Martin Melecký	The behavioural equilibrium exchange rate of the Czech koruna
4/2005	Kateřina Arnoštová Jaromír Hurník	<i>The monetary transmission mechanism in the Czech Republic (evidence from VAR analysis)</i>
3/2005	Vladimír Benáček Jiří Podpiera Ladislav Prokop	Determining factors of Czech foreign trade: A cross-section time series perspective
2/2005	Kamil Galuščák Daniel Münich	Structural and cyclical unemployment: What can we derive from the matching function?
1/2005	Ivan Babouček Martin Jančar	Effects of macroeconomic shocks to the quality of the aggregate loan portfolio

10/2004	Aleš Bulíř Kateřina Šmídková	<i>Exchange rates in the new EU accession countries: What have we learned from the forerunners</i>
9/2004	Martin Cincibuch Jiří Podpiera	Beyond Balassa-Samuelson: Real appreciation in tradables in transition countries
8/2004	Jaromír Beneš David Vávra	Eigenvalue decomposition of time series with application to the Czech business cycle
7/2004	Vladislav Flek, ed.	Anatomy of the Czech labour market: From over-employment to under-employment in ten years?
6/2004	Narcisa Kadlčáková Joerg Keplinger	Credit risk and bank lending in the Czech Republic
5/2004	Petr Král	Identification and measurement of relationships concerning inflow of FDI: The case of the Czech Republic
4/2004	Jiří Podpiera	Consumers, consumer prices and the Czech business cycle identification
3/2004	Anca Pruteanu	The role of banks in the Czech monetary policy transmission mechanism
2/2004	Ian Babetskii	EU enlargement and endogeneity of some OCA criteria: Evidence from the CEECs
1/2004	Alexis Derviz Jiří Podpiera	Predicting bank CAMELS and S&P ratings: The case of the Czech Republic

## CNB RESEARCH AND POLICY NOTES

2/2006	Garry J. Schinasi	Private finance and public policy
1/2006	Ondřej Schneider	The EU budget dispute – A blessing in disguise?
5/2005	Jan Stráský	<i>Optimal forward-looking policy rules in the quarterly projection model of the Czech National Bank</i>
4/2005	Vít Bárta	Fulfilment of the Maastricht inflation criterion by the Czech Republic: Potential costs and policy options
3/2005	Helena Sůvová Eva Kozelková David Zeman Jaroslava Bauerová	Eligibility of external credit assessment institutions
2/2005	Martin Čihák Jaroslav Heřmánek	Stress testing the Czech banking system: Where are we? Where are we going?
1/2005	David Navrátil Viktor Kotlán	The CNB's policy decisions – Are they priced in by the markets?
4/2004	Aleš Bulíř	External and fiscal sustainability of the Czech economy: A quick look through the IMF's night-vision goggles
3/2004	Martin Čihák	Designing stress tests for the Czech banking system
2/2004	Martin Čihák	Stress testing: A review of key concepts
1/2004	Tomáš Holub	Foreign exchange interventions under inflation targeting: The Czech experience

#### CNB ECONOMIC RESEARCH BULLETIN

December 2007	Fiscal policy and its sustainability
August 2007	Financial stability in a transforming economy
November 2006	ERM II and euro adoption
August 2006	Research priorities and central banks
November 2005	Financial stability
May 2005	Potential output
October 2004	Fiscal issues
May 2004	Inflation targeting
December 2003	Equilibrium exchange rate

Czech National Bank Economic Research Department Na Příkopě 28, 115 03 Praha 1 Czech Republic phone: +420 2 244 12 321 fax: +420 2 244 14 278 http://www.cnb.cz e-mail: research@cnb.cz ISSN 1803-2397